Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center
UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING

March 12, 2020

North Dakota Community Readiness Survey, 2019

Mike Dorssom, M.A., Associate Research Scientist

With assistance of
Brian Harnisch, M.B.A., Senior Research Scientist
Bistra Anatchkova, Ph.D., Survey Research Manager
Eric Canen, M.S., Senior Research Scientist

Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center
University of Wyoming
1000 E. University Avenue, Department 3592
Laramie, Wyoming 82071
307.766.2189 | wysac@uwyo.edu
www.uwyo.edu/wysac

WYSAC Technical Report No. SRC-1905

ABOUT THIS REPORT

This publication was produced for the North Dakota Department of Human Services, Behavioral Health Division using funding from the Partnerships for Success State Incentive Grant provided by the Substance Abuse and Mental Services Administration.

CITATION

WYSAC (2019). *North Dakota Community Readiness Survey, 2019* by Dorssom, M. (WYSAC Technical Report No. SRC-1905). Laramie: Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center, University of Wyoming.

Short Reference: WYSAC (2019), *North Dakota Community Readiness Survey, 2019.*

© 2020 WYOMING SURVEY & ANALYSIS CENTER

Contents

Contents 3
List of Figures 4
List of Tables 4
Introduction 10
Methods 11
Questionnaire Development 11
Sampling Frame, Sample Design, and Sample Size 12
Mode of Data Collection 13
Survey Administration 13
Response Rates and Margins of Error 14
Data Compilation and Analysis 14
Key Findings 16
Legalization of Marijuana 16
Attitudes on Alcohol and Youth 17
Cultural Acceptance of Youth Alcohol Use 17
Support and Opposition to Alcohol Prevention Laws 21
Perceived Difficulty of Engaging in Alcohol-Related Activities 22
Importance of Alcohol Prevention 25
Complete Survey Results 27
State-wide Estimates 27
State-wide and Population Density Area Estimates 76
References 163

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: North Dakota Human Service Regions 12
Figure 2: North Dakotans' Support/Opposition to Legalizing Marijuana 16
Figure 3: Youth Alcohol Use as a Perceive Problem in the Community 17
Figure 4: North Dakotans' Agreement/Disagreement about the Acceptability of Youth Drinking at Parties. 18
Figure 5: North Dakotans' Agreement/Disagreement about Acceptability of Youth Drinking as Long as They Do Not Drive. 18
Figure 6: North Dakotans' Agreement/Disagreement about Youth Growing Out of Alcohol and Drug Experimentation. 19
Figure 7: North Dakotans' Agreement/Disagreement about Teenage Drinking as an Acceptable Behavior 19
Figure 8: North Dakotans' Agreement/Disagreement about whether Law Enforcement Should Spend More Time Enforcing Minimum Drinking Age 20
Figure 9: North Dakotans' Agreement/Disagreement to the Idea that a Law Should Prohibit Parents from Giving Alcohol to Their Children 20
Figure 10: North Dakotans' Support/Opposition to Minimum Legal Drinking Age of 21. 21
Figure 11: North Dakotans' Support/Opposition to Penalties for Adults Who Provide Alcohol to Youth. 21
Figure 12: North Dakotans' Support/Opposition to Laws Prohibiting Giving Alcohol to Your Own Children 22
Figure 13: Perceived Difficulty of Youth Buying Alcohol at Stores. 23
Figure 14: Perceived Difficulty of Youth Having an Older Person Buy Alcohol for Them. 23
Figure 15: Perceived Difficulty of Youth Obtaining Alcohol by Ordering a Drink at a Bar. 24
Figure 16: Perceived Difficulty of Youth Sneaking Alcohol from Home or Friend's Home. 24
Figure 17: Perceived Difficulty of Youth Getting Alcohol from Their Parents. 25
Figure 18: Perceived Difficulty of Youth Getting Alcohol from Other Family Members. 25
Figure 19: Perceived Importance of Alcohol and Drug Use Prevention. 26

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. 2019 North Dakota Community Readiness Survey Facts 10
Table 2. Completions by Region. 14
Table 3 Alcohol Use in Community as a Problem among Adults 28
Table 4 Alcohol Use in Community as a Problem among Youth 28
Table 5 Tobacco Use in Community as a Problem among Adults 29
Table 6 Tobacco Use in Community as a Problem among Youth 29

Table 7 Marijuana Use in Community as a Problem among Adults 30
Table 8 Marijuana Use in Community as a Problem among Youth 30
Table 9 Inhalants Use in Community as a Problem among Adults 31
Table 10 Inhalants Use in Community as a Problem among Youth 31
Table 11 Cocaine Use in Community as a Problem among Adults 32
Table 12 Cocaine Use in Community as a Problem among Youth 32
Table 13 Heroin Use in Community as a Problem among Adults 33
Table 14 Heroin Use in Community as a Problem among Youth 33
Table 15 Ecstasy Use in Community as a Problem among Adults 34
Table 16 Ecstasy Use in Community as a Problem among Youth 34
Table 17 Methamphetamine Use in Community as a Problem among Adults 35
Table 18 Methamphetamine Use in Community as a Problem among Youth 35
Table 19 Over-the-Counter Drugs Use in Community as a Problem among Adults 36
Table 20 Over-the-Counter Drugs Use in Community as a Problem among Youth 36
Table 21 Prescription Drugs Use in Community as a Problem among Adults 37
Table 22 Prescription Drugs Use in Community as a Problem among Youth 37
Table 23 Synthetic Drugs Use in Community as a Problem among Adults 38
Table 24 Synthetic Drugs Use in Community as a Problem among Youth 38
Table 25 Intravenous (IV) Drugs Use in Community as a Problem among Adults 39
Table 26 Intravenous (IV) Drugs Use in Community as a Problem among Youth 39
Table 27 Contribution of Drugs/Alcohol to Crashes/Injuries 40
Table 28 Contribution of Drugs/Alcohol to Crimes 40
Table 29 Contribution of Drugs/Alcohol to Health Problems 41
Table 30 Contribution of Drug Use to the Spread of Disease 41
Table 31 Okay for Youth to Drink at Parties if they Don't Get Drunk 42
Table 32 Okay for Youth to Drink if they Don't Drive 42
Table 33 Okay for Youth to Smoke Cigarettes 43
Table 34 Okay for Youth to Use E-cigarettes 43
Table 35 Youth Will Grow Out of Experimentation with Alcohol/Drugs 44
Table 36 Okay for Parents to Give Others' Kids Alcohol 44
Table 37 Teen Drinking Accepted in Community 45
Table 38 Okay to Drive Under the Influence 45
Table 39 Okay to Ride with Someone Under the Influence 46
Table 40 More Time Enforcing Minimum Drinking Age 46
Table 41 Schools Dealing with Alcohol/Drug Problems 47
Table 42 Reduce Alcohol/Drug Problems Through Prevention 47
Table 43 Alcohol/Drug Prevention Programs are a Good Investment 48
Table 44 Alcohol/Drug Prevention Programs are Responsibility of Community 48

Table 45 PSAs Change Attitudes About Alcohol/Drug use 49
Table 46 Taxes on Alcohol Should be Increased 49
Table 47 Taxes on Tobacco Products Should be Increased 50
Table 48 E-cigarettes Should be Taxed Same as Other Tobacco 50
Table 49 Increase Minimum Age for Tobacco to 21 51
Table 50 Drinking and Driving Enforced in Community 51
Table 51 Should Prohibit Giving Alcohol to Own Children 52
Table 52 Servers/Bartenders Should be Specially Trained 52
Table 53 Support/Oppose Liquor Advertisements on TV 53
Table 54 Support/Oppose Beer and Wine Advertisements on TV 53
Table 55 Support/Oppose Billboard Alcohol Advertisements 54
Table 56 Support/Oppose Minimum Legal Drinking Age of 21 54
Table 57 Support/Oppose Penalties for Adults that Buy Alcohol for Youth 55
Table 58 Support/Oppose Compliance Checks 55
Table 59 Support/Oppose Restrictions on Alcohol Discounts 56
Table 60 Support/Oppose Prohibition of Giving Alcohol to Own Children 56
Table 61 Support/Oppose DUI Checkpoints 57
Table 62 Support/Oppose Legalization of Marijuana for Personal Use 57
Table 63 Difficulty of Youth Buying Alcohol 58
Table 64 Difficulty of Youth Getting an Adult to Buy Them Alcohol 58
Table 65 Difficulty of Youth Ordering a Drink at a Bar 59
Table 66 Difficulty of Youth Sneaking Alcohol From Home 59
Table 67 Difficulty of Youth Getting Alcohol From Parents 60
Table 68 Difficulty of Youth Getting Alcohol From Other Family Member 60
Table 69 Difficulty of Youth Buying Tobacco Products 61
Table 70 Difficulty of Accessing Marijuana for a Medical Purpose 61
Table 71 Difficulty of Accessing Marijuana for Personal Use 62
Table 72 Difficulty of Accessing Inhalants 62
Table 73 Difficulty of Accessing Cocaine 63
Table 74 Difficulty of Accessing Heroin 63
Table 75 Difficulty of Accessing Ecstasy 64
Table 76 Difficulty of Accessing Methamphetamine 64
Table 77 Difficulty of Accessing Over-the-Counter Drugs 65
Table 78 Difficulty of Accessing Prescription Drugs 65
Table 79 Difficulty of Accessing Synthetic Drugs 66
Table 80 Difficulty of Accessing Intravenous (IV) Drugs 66
Table 81 Preventing Alcohol/Drug Use among Youth is Important 67
Table 82 Sufficient Alcohol/Drug Abuse Prevention Programs in Community 67

Table 83 Leaders in Community Want to Reduce Alcohol/Drug Use/Abuse 68
Table 84 Know Where to Go For Help with Drug/Alcohol Abuse 68
Table 85 Community Policies Address Misuse of Alcohol/Drugs 69
Table 86 Community Takes Action to Prevent Misuse of Alcohol/Drugs 69
Table 87 Age 70
Table 88 Gender 70
Table 89 Race/Ethnic Background 71
Table 90 Hispanic Origin 71
Table 91 Employment 72
Table 92 Employment Sector 73
Table 93 Children 74
Table 94 Alcohol Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA 77
Table 95 Alcohol Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA 78
Table 96 Tobacco Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA 79
Table 97 Tobacco Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA 80
Table 98 Marijuana Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA 81
Table 99 Marijuana Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA 82
Table 100 Inhalants Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA 83
Table 101 Inhalants Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA 84
Table 102 Cocaine Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA 85
Table 103 Cocaine Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA 86
Table 104 Heroin Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA 87
Table 105 Heroin Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA 88
Table 106 Ecstasy Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA 89
Table 107 Ecstasy Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA 90
Table 108 Methamphetamine Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA 91
Table 109 Methamphetamine Use in Community as a Problem Among youth by PDA 92
Table 110 Over-the-Counter Drugs Use in Community as a Problem Among adults by PDA ... 93
Table 111 Over-the-Counter Drugs Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA ... 94
Table 112 Prescription Drugs Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA 95
Table 113 Prescription Drugs Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA 96
Table 114 Synthetic Drugs Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA 97
Table 115 Synthetic Drugs Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA 98
Table 116 Intravenous (IV) Drugs Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA 99
Table 117 Intravenous (IV) Drugs Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA ... 100
Table 118 Contribution of Drugs/Alcohol to Crashes/Injuries by PDA 101
Table 119 Contribution of Drugs/Alcohol to Crimes by PDA 102
Table 120 Contribution of Drugs/Alcohol to Health Problems by PDA 103

Table 121 Contribution of Drug Use to the Spread of Disease by PDA 104
Table 122 Okay for Youth to Drink at Parties Without Getting Drunk by PDA 105
Table 123 Okay for Youth to Drink if they Don't Drive by PDA 106
Table 124 Okay for Youth to Smoke Cigarettes by PDA 107
Table 125 Okay for Youth to Use E-cigarettes by PDA 108
Table 126 Youth Will Grow Out of Experimentation with Alcohol/Drugs by PDA 109
Table 127 Okay for Parents to Give Others' Kids Alcohol by PDA 110
Table 128 Teen Drinking Accepted in Community by PDA 111
Table 129 Okay to Drive Under the Influence by PDA 112
Table 130 Okay to Ride with Someone Under the Influence by PDA 113
Table 131 More Time Enforcing Minimum Drinking Age by PDA 114
Table 132 Schools Dealing with Alcohol/Drug Problems by PDA 115
Table 133 Reduce Alcohol/Drug Problems Through Prevention by PDA 116
Table 134 Alcohol/Drug Prevention Programs are Good Investment by PDA 117
Table 135 Alcohol/Drug Prevention Programs are Responsibility of Community by PDA 118
Table 136 PSAs Change Attitudes About Alcohol/Drug use by PDA 119
Table 137 Taxes on Alcohol Should be Increased by PDA 120
Table 138 Taxes on Tobacco Products Should be Increased by PDA 121
Table 139 E-cigarettes Should be Taxed Same as Other Tobacco by PDA 122
Table 140 Increase Minimum Age for Tobacco to 21 by PDA 123
Table 141 Drinking and Driving Enforced in Community by PDA 124
Table 142 Should Prohibit Giving Alcohol to Own Children by PDA 125
Table 143 Servers/Bartenders Should be Specially Trained by PDA 126
Table 144 Support/Oppose Liquor Advertisements on TV by PDA 127
Table 145 Support/Oppose Beer and Wine Advertisements on TV by PDA 127
Table 146 Support/Oppose Billboard Alcohol Advertisements by PDA 128
Table 147 Support/Oppose Minimum Legal Drinking Age of 21 by PDA 129
Table 148 Support/Oppose Penalties for Adults that Buy Alcohol for Youth by PDA 129
Table 149 Support/Oppose Compliance Checks by PDA 130
Table 150 Support/Oppose Restrictions on Alcohol Discounts by PDA 131
Table 151 Support/Oppose Prohibit Giving Alcohol to Own Children by PDA 131
Table 152 Support/Oppose DUI Checkpoints by PDA 132
Table 153 Support/Oppose Legalizing Marijuana for Personal Use by PDA 132
Table 154 Difficulty of Youth Buying Alcohol by PDA 133
Table 155 Difficulty of Youth Getting Adult to Buy Them Alcohol by PDA 134
Table 156 Difficulty of Youth Ordering a Drink at a Bar by PDA 135
Table 157 Difficulty of Youth Sneaking Alcohol From Home by PDA 136
Table 158 Difficulty of Youth Getting Alcohol From Parents by PDA 137

Table 159 Difficulty of Youth Getting Alcohol From Other Family Member by PDA 138
Table 160 Difficulty of Youth Buying Tobacco Products by PDA 139
Table 161 Difficulty of Accessing Marijuana for a Medical Purpose by PDA 140
Table 162 Difficulty of Accessing Marijuana for Personal Use by PDA 141
Table 163 Difficulty of Accessing Inhalants by PDA 142
Table 164 Difficulty of Accessing Cocaine by PDA 143
Table 165 Difficulty of Accessing Heroin by PDA 144
Table 166 Difficulty of Accessing Ecstasy by PDA 145
Table 167 Difficulty of Accessing Methamphetamine by PDA 146
Table 168 Difficulty of Accessing Over-the-Counter Drugs by PDA 147
Table 169 Difficulty of Accessing Prescription Drugs by PDA 148
Table 170 Difficulty of Accessing Synthetic Drugs by PDA 149
Table 171 Difficulty of Accessing Intravenous (IV) Drugs by PDA 150
Table 172 Preventing Alcohol/Drug Use Among Youth is Important by PDA 151
Table 173 Sufficient Alcohol/Drug Abuse Prevention Programs in Community by PDA 152
Table 174 Leaders in Community Want to Reduce Alcohol/Drug Use/Abuse by PDA 153
Table 175 Know Where to Go For Help with Drug/Alcohol Abuse by PDA 154
Table 176 Community Policies Address Misuse of Alcohol/Drugs by PDA 155
Table 177 Community Takes Action to Prevent Misuse of Alcohol/Drugs by PDA 156
Table 178 Age by PDA 157
Table 179 Gender by PDA 157
Table 180 Race/Ethnic Background by PDA 158
Table 181 Hispanic Origin by PDA 159
Table 182 Employment by PDA 160
Table 183 Employment Sector by PDA 161
Table 184 Children by PDA 162

Introduction

Under contract to the North Dakota Department of Human Services, the Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center (WYSAC) at the University of Wyoming undertook this project as part of the North Dakota Department of Human Services Strategic Prevention Framework State Incentive Grant. The project design envisioned the administration of a state-wide household survey to measure community- and state-level attitudes toward and awareness of drugs and alcohol use, and to assess the public's support for drug and alcohol abuse prevention initiatives. This is the third iteration of this state-wide survey conducted by WYSAC, allowing for change to be tracked over time. As was the case with the surveys conducted in 2015 and 2017, the results will be used to inform policymaking efforts and to provide information to the public in social marketing and public service announcements.

Table 1. 2019 North Dakota Community Readiness Survey Facts

Start and End Dates

March 29 – July 19, 2019

Completed Surveys

2385 Total

Online – 1003 (42%) Paper - 1382 (58%)

Valid Response Rate

30.2%

Questionnaire Length

4 pages, 101 items

Margin of Error State-wide

± 2.1 Percentage Points at 95% Confidence

Margin of Error Regional

From ± 5.2 to ± 7.5 Percentage Points at 95% Confidence

Methods

Questionnaire Development

The Community Readiness Survey (CRS) was first developed and administered in North Dakota in 2008. A large portion of the questionnaire came from the Minnesota Institute of Public Health's survey of the same name (Beebe, Harrison, Sharma & Hedger, 2001). The North Dakota Department of Human Services (DHS) adapted the Minnesota survey to account for specific local data needs and prevention topics. After the 2008 study, DHS worked closely with the Native American Tribal organizations within the state to create a tribal-specific form of the CRS, called the Tribal Readiness Survey.

For the more current iterations of the CRS, the DHS formed a workgroup consisting of DHS staff and the evaluation team of the North Dakota Strategic Prevention Framework State Incentive Grant (SPF SIG). This workgroup reviewed both the 2008 CRS and the TRS. These surveys served as the basis for the 2015, 2017, and 2019 CRSs. The workgroup retained the content of previous iterations and updated the survey instrument slightly to meet current needs and better correlate the CRS and the TRS. WYSAC sought and obtained the University of Wyoming Institutional Review Board approval for the survey.

After the questionnaire received final approval from DHS, the survey instrument was formatted into an Optical Mark Recognition (OMR) scannable document using Teleform software and programmed for online survey administration.

Revisions occurred for the 2017 survey instrument, including the removal of question 6G ("Do you support or oppose each of the following measures: Legalizing marijuana use for medical purposes if a doctor prescribes it") and the splitting of a single item (Q8A: In your opinion, how difficult is access to each of the following substances for adults or youth in your community? – Marijuana) into two distinct items (Q8A: In your opinion, how difficult is access to each of the following substances for adults or youth in your community? – Marijuana for medical use if a doctor prescribes it; and Q8B: In your opinion, how difficult is access to each of the following substances for adults or youth in your community? – Marijuana for personal use). There were no revisions to the 2019 version of the survey instrument.

Sampling Frame, Sample Design, and Sample Size

Figure 1: North Dakota Human Service Regions



Source: North Dakota Department of Health

WYOMING SURVEY & ANALYSIS CENTER

The sampling frame for this survey consisted of all North Dakota households with mailable addresses.

The specifications of the survey required that about 300 completed surveys be obtained from each of the eight geographic regions that were identified by the North Dakota Department of Human Services for a total of about 2,400 surveys state-wide. This requirement necessitated that the sample be disproportionately stratified according to those geographic regions (see Figure 1).

The researchers purchased a probability sample of 8,800 mailable addresses from the Marketing Systems Group (Genesys), a leading national vendor specializing in the generation of scientific samples. The sample drew from the U.S. Postal Service delivery sequence file, which included all mailable addresses in North Dakota (both physical and post office boxes). This sampling frame provided the most complete coverage available, as every household that receives mail had an opportunity to be included in the survey sample. There was no random selection of respondents within households; any adult household member who agreed to participate could complete the survey.

Mode of Data Collection

As was the case in 2015 and 2017, a mixed-mode of data collection was decided upon for this project. Potential respondents were given the option to complete the survey online or use the paper copy mailed to them. With the ever-growing internet connectivity of households, including the online option to complete surveys has become routine when using address-based samples. Using mixed-modes of data collection is intended to "minimize total survey error as much as possible within resource and time constraints" (Dillman, Smyth, & Christian, 2014, p. 12).

Survey Administration

Survey administration protocols replicated those employed in 2017. Data collection began on March 29, 2019, and closed on July 19, 2019. A $2 non-contingent cash incentive was included in the mailings.

The survey administration protocols included the following steps:

- First, a letter was mailed to all households drawn into the sample. This letter was authored and signed by the North Dakota Department of Human Services. It explained the purpose and importance of the survey, and solicited participation. The URL address of the online version of the survey and a unique access code was provided. This first mailing went out on March 29, 2019.
- After about two weeks, the paper version of the survey was mailed to all who had not responded online. This mailing included a postage-paid return envelope and was accompanied by a reminder letter, authored by WYSAC. The option to complete the survey online was once again offered.
- After about three weeks, a reminder postcard was mailed to all households who had not responded with completed surveys.
- The final mailing occurred on June 4, 2019. It contained a replacement copy of the paper questionnaire, a reminder letter authored by WYSAC, and a postage-paid return envelope.

The return address for all outgoing mail used the logo of the North Dakota Department of Human Services, c/o the WYSAC return mailing address.

Response Rates and Margins of Error

By the close of data collection, a total of 2,385 completed surveys were obtained. Of those, 1003 were completed online and 1,382 by mail/paper version. The number of completions by region ranged from 176 to 379, and response rates ranged from 18.8% to 37.0%, respectively, as shown in Table 2.

Random samples of 2,385 yielded margins of error of ± 2.1 percentage points with 95% confidence. Random samples within the different Human Service Regions of about 300 yielded margins of error of about ± 5.8 percentage points with 95% confidence.

Table 2. Completions by Region.

Region	Total	RR	MOE
R1=North West	**176**	18.8%	± 7.4
R2=North Central	**284**	28.9%	± 5.8
R3=Lake Region	**326**	33.3%	± 5.4
R4=North East	**298**	30.9%	± 5.7
R5=South East	**319**	32.0%	± 5.5
R6=South Central	**379**	37.0%	± 5.0
R7=West Central	**315**	30.9%	± 5.5
R8=Badlands	**285**	29.3%	± 5.8
Total	**2382***	30.2%	± 2.1

**There were 3 survey responses with region identifying information removed by respondent.*

WYOMING SURVEY & ANALYSIS CENTER

Data Compilation and Analysis

Upon completion of the data collection, the research team scanned the completed paper version using Teleform software and verified the data entry. Responses to open-ended questions were manually typed and added to the database. The team then merged the paper-survey data file with the data collected online and checked the data file for consistency. Finally, the researchers added the data sets from 2015 and 2017 to the 2019 data file to enable the analysis of changes over time.

The research team weighted the data on age, gender, and county population to bring the sample distribution of these demographic characteristics in line with their actual distribution in the North Dakota population. "Weighting is a correction technique that is used by survey researchers. It refers to statistical adjustments that are made to survey data after they have been collected to improve the accuracy of the survey estimates" (Bethlehem, 2008). Using weighted data during analysis is essential in generalizing findings from the survey respondents to the overall North Dakota population.

In the *State-wide Estimates* section of this report for all survey items, statewide estimates are presented for all three years. All percentage distributions are calculated using weighted data. In

addition, the 2015 (baseline) and 2019 findings were tested for statistical significance of the differences observed. Where statistical significance was found ($p < 0.05$; overall Pearson Chi-square test), a notation is included below the respective table.

In the *Population Density Area Estimates* section of this report, for all survey items, results are presented for all three years state-wide and for three population density areas – urban, rural, and frontier, side by side. To calculate the population density area estimates, additional weighting variables were calculated using the population distribution by age and gender within each area. All percentage distributions were calculated using weighted data. The differences observed by population density area for 2019 were tested for statistical significance. Where statistical significance was found ($p < 0.05$; overall Pearson Chi-square test), a notation is included below the respective table.

The three population density areas were defined using the following criteria:

1. If the respondent lived in a county that had a population density of fewer than six people per square mile, then they were considered to have a Frontier address.
2. Respondents who lived in counties with population densities of 6 or more people per square mile were classified as living at a Rural or Urban address.
    a. Cities with populations of 15,000 people or more were considered to be Urban. These cities included: Minot, Grand Forks City, Fargo, West Fargo, Jamestown, Bismarck, Mandan, Dickinson, and Williston. Respondents with zip codes in these cities are considered to have an Urban address.
    b. People living outside of the identified cities or who lived in these higher density counties are considered to have a Rural address.

There were no population changes within the state with enough magnitude to change the classification of an area from 2015 to 2019; results are directly comparable.

Key Findings

The discussion of key findings is focused on two major themes. Firstly, attitudes about the legalization of marijuana are discussed, as there was a significant change on the subject since 2015. The remainder of this section of the report, as agreed upon in consultation with the North Dakota Department of Human Services, focuses on attitudes regarding alcohol and youth. All differences observed between the results from 2015 and those from 2019 were tested for statistical significance using the Pearson Chi-Square test of Independence. In the few instances that statistical significance was established, it is noted in the narrative.

Legalization of Marijuana

The opinion of North Dakotans regarding the legalization of small amounts of marijuana for personal use changed since 2015. In 2019, there was a statistically significant increase from 31% in 2015 to 40% (2019) for those in support of legalizing small amounts of marijuana for personal use. As a result, the percentage of individuals against personal use legalization decreased over time from 50% to 45%, as did the percentage reporting no opinion on the issue. Neither decrease was statistically significant.

Figure 2: North Dakotans' Support/Opposition to Legalizing Marijuana



Attitudes on Alcohol and Youth

Many questions from the survey focused on alcohol-related issues as they related to youth in North Dakota communities. The presented results represent the most relevant issues as identified by the North Dakota Department of Humans Services, Behavioral Health Division. For all agree/disagree items presented in this section, response categories were collapsed from a 5-point scale to a 3-point scale.

When asked how much of a problem alcohol is in the community among youth, the opinion of North Dakotans had not changed much since 2015. Figure 3 below shows that the majority of North Dakota residents feel that alcohol use among youth was a moderate or serious problem.

Figure 3: Youth Alcohol Use as a Perceive Problem in the Community



Cultural Acceptance of Youth Alcohol Use

The survey asked respondents how much they agree or disagree with a series of statements, many of which relate to youth and alcohol use. Figures 4-9 below are specific to these statements. In each of the following figures, the survey results have been consistent over time.

As displayed in Figure 4, there has been no statistically significant change since 2015 on whether North Dakotans agree or disagree that "It is okay for youth to drink at parties as long as they don't get drunk," with over 80% disagreeing with the statement (Q3a).

With a very similar distribution, the vast majority (over 80%) of North Dakotans disagree with the statement, "Youth should be able to drink as long as they don't drive afterwards." These percentages can be seen in Table 32 on page 42 of this report. There were indications ($p < 0.05$ based on Chi-Square test of independence) that more adults "Agree[d]" with the statement in 2019 than 2015; however, those changes largely disappeared when *Agree* and *Strongly agree* were aggregated (Q3b) and presented in Figure 5.

Figure 4: North Dakotans' Agreement/Disagreement about the Acceptability of Youth Drinking at Parties.



NOTE: Disagree includes the response choices of *Disagree* and *Strongly disagree* combined. Agree includes the response choices *Agree* and *Strongly agree* combined.

Figure 5: North Dakotans' Agreement/Disagreement about Acceptability of Youth Drinking as Long as They Do Not Drive.



NOTE: Disagree includes the response choices of *Disagree* and *Strongly disagree* combined. Agree includes the response choices *Agree* and *Strongly agree* combined.

Over three-quarters of North Dakota residents also disagree that "Youth who experiment with alcohol or other drugs almost always grow out of it." Figure 6 shows a great deal of consistency between 2015, 2017, and 2019 regarding this opinion.

As can be seen in Figure 7, 57% of North Dakotans in 2019 disagree that, "In my community, drinking among teenagers is acceptable," compared to 56% in 2017 and 59% in 2015, again showing consistency over time.

In Figure 8, the results show more people agree than disagree that, "Law enforcement should be spending more time enforcing the minimum drinking age."

Figure 6: North Dakotans' Agreement/Disagreement about Youth Growing Out of Alcohol and Drug Experimentation.



NOTE: Disagree includes the response choices of *Disagree* and *Strongly disagree* combined. Agree includes the response choices *Agree* and *Strongly agree* combined.

Figure 7: North Dakotans' Agreement/Disagreement about Teenage Drinking as an Acceptable Behavior



NOTE: Disagree includes the response choices of *Disagree* and *Strongly disagree* combined. Agree includes the response choices *Agree* and *Strongly agree* combined.

Figure 8: North Dakotans' Agreement/Disagreement about whether Law Enforcement Should Spend More Time Enforcing Minimum Drinking Age



NOTE: Disagree includes the response choices of *Disagree* and *Strongly disagree* combined. Agree includes the response choices *Agree* and *Strongly agree* combined.

Figure 9: North Dakotans' Agreement/Disagreement to the Idea that a Law Should Prohibit Parents from Giving Alcohol to Their Children



NOTE: Disagree includes the response choices of *Disagree* and *Strongly disagree* combined. Agree includes the response choices *Agree* and *Strongly agree* combined.

When asked, about two out of five North Dakotans agree that "There should be a law prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children,[1]" although the majority are neutral or disagree

[1] The current North Dakota Century Code (North Dakota Cen. Code Ann. Section 5-01-08(1) & 5-02-06(1)) prohibits minors of less than age 21 from manufacturing, purchasing, possessing, consuming, or being under the influence of alcohol. It provides no exceptions for parents to provide alcohol to their children.

with that statement. Again, there has been little change over time in the percentage of those who agree or disagree (Figure 9).

Support and Opposition to Alcohol Prevention Laws

Question 6 of the survey asked whether respondents agreed or disagreed with a variety of measures related to alcohol and youth, as presented in Figures 10 through 12. As can be seen in Figures 10 and 11, over 80% of North Dakotans support both the minimum legal drinking age of age 21 and penalties for adults who provide alcohol to youth.

Figure 10: North Dakotans' Support/Opposition to Minimum Legal Drinking Age of 21.



Figure 11: North Dakotans' Support/Opposition to Penalties for Adults Who Provide Alcohol to Youth



When specifically asked whether they supported or opposed "Laws prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children." less than half of North Dakotans support such a measure (see Figure 12).

Also, there was a statistically significant decrease in that support from 2015 to 2019. This result mirrors the result observed in Figure 9 regarding respondents' agreement with the idea that the law should prohibit parents from giving alcohol to their children.

Figure 12: North Dakotans' Support/Opposition to Laws Prohibiting Giving Alcohol to Your Own Children



Perceived Difficulty of Engaging in Alcohol-Related Activities

The survey asked a series of questions (7a – 7f) that focus on how respondents perceive the difficulty that youth have in engaging in alcohol-related activities. The scale used is from "Not at all difficult," to "Extremely difficult," and the results from all three iterations of the survey are listed below.

North Dakotans feel that it is more difficult than not, for youth to buy beer, wine, or hard liquor at stores. As seen in Figure 13, the majority of respondents assessed the difficulty level as somewhat, quite, or extremely difficult.

Figure 13: Perceived Difficulty of Youth Buying Alcohol at Stores.



As can be seen in Figure 14, North Dakotans view it as easier for young people to get an older person to buy alcohol for them than to purchase alcohol from a store or bar (Figure 15). There has been a notable, nonsignificant decrease from 2015 to 2019 in the number of those who feel it is "not at all difficult."

Figure 14: Perceived Difficulty of Youth Having an Older Person Buy Alcohol for Them.



Eighty-four percent of the respondents perceived ordering an alcoholic drink from a bar was at least somewhat difficult for youth in their community. Figure 15 shows that few North Dakotans, approximately one in six, feel it is slightly or not difficult at all for youth to order a drink at a bar.

Figure 15: Perceived Difficulty of Youth Obtaining Alcohol by Ordering a Drink at a Bar.



Sneaking alcohol from their home or a friend's house is seen as the easiest way for youth to obtain alcohol, with the majority of North Dakotans perceiving this activity as "Not at all difficult" (Figure 16).

Figure 16: Perceived Difficulty of Youth Sneaking Alcohol from Home or Friend's Home.



A more even distribution of responses is evident when assessing how difficult it is to get alcohol from parents and other family members. Figures 17 and 18 show that North Dakotans feel it is harder for youth to get their parents to give them alcohol than it is to get alcohol from other

family members, but less than 10% feel that it is "Extremely difficult" to get alcohol from either family group.

Figure 17: Perceived Difficulty of Youth Getting Alcohol from Their Parents.



Figure 18: Perceived Difficulty of Youth Getting Alcohol from Other Family Members.



Importance of Alcohol Prevention

The overwhelming majority (92%) of North Dakotans feel that "Preventing alcohol and other drug use among youth is important." This perception has changed little since 2015.

Figure 19: Perceived Importance of Alcohol and Drug Use Prevention.



Complete Survey Results

State-wide Estimates

In the following tables, the percentage distributions and raw frequency counts of responses to all questions on the survey are presented for 2015, 2017, and 2019 at the state level. Where statistically significant differences between 2015 and 2019 are found ($p < 0.05$; overall Pearson Chi-square test performed), a notation is present. All percentage distributions are calculated using weighted data. Regional distributions are presented in a separate appendix to this report.

Questionnaire items are presented in the order they appeared on the survey; question text is presented verbatim.

The following approach was used in declaring missing values.

For all questions, No answer/Refused and Don't know/Not sure responses are excluded from the valid percent calculations. However, their proportion in the total number of responses is presented as a percentage for each item on the survey in the same tables as the valid percent distributions.

Q1. **In your opinion how much of a problem is the use of each of the following substances in your community among adults and among youth?**

Alcohol – Adults:

Table 3 Alcohol Use in Community as a Problem among Adults

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	191	9.2	172	10.4	191	11.2
Minor problem	325	17.0	276	16.2	323	16.8
Moderate problem	990	44.9	879	43.6	950	43.2
Serious problem	669	28.9	594	29.7	663	28.8
Valid Total	**2175**	**100.0**	**1921**	**100.0**	**2127**	**100.0**
Don't know	178	6.1	155	6.1	185	7.4
No answer	32	1.2	28	0.9	16	0.4
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Alcohol – Youth:

Table 4 Alcohol Use in Community as a Problem among Youth

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	106	7.0	98	7.5	105	8.1
Minor problem	277	16.6	202	13.5	213	13.8
Moderate problem	759	41.9	693	40.3	764	40.5
Serious problem	715	34.4	725	38.8	784	37.6
Valid Total	**1857**	**100.0**	**1718**	**100.0**	**1866**	**100.0**
Don't know	332	13.1	261	11.7	310	13.3
No answer	196	7.5	125	5.2	152	5.6
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Tobacco – Adults:

Table 5 Tobacco Use in Community as a Problem among Adults

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	213	10.3	183	11.4	209	11.1
Minor problem	445	22.2	370	19.8	426	22.0
Moderate problem	903	40.6	811	42.0	911	41.2
Serious problem	609	26.8	523	26.8	535	25.8
Valid Total	**2170**	**100.0**	**1887**	**100.0**	**2081**	**100.0**
Don't know	175	6.2	159	6.3	197	7.2
No answer	40	1.5	58	2.7	50	1.8
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Tobacco – Youth:

Table 6 Tobacco Use in Community as a Problem among Youth

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	117	6.7	111	8.0	124	8.8
Minor problem	311	18.0	319	19.8	353	22.6
Moderate problem	656	34.1	685	41.6	752	39.4
Serious problem	821	41.3	577	30.6	588	29.3
Valid Total	**1905**	**100.0**	**1692**	**100.0**	**1817**	**100.0**
Don't know	299	11.3	266	12.0	340	14.0
No answer	181	7.0	146	6.4	171	6.3
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Marijuana – Adults:

Table 7 Marijuana Use in Community as a Problem among Adults

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	376	23.8	320	24.3	313	22.2
Minor problem	471	27.3	387	27.4	417	26.4
Moderate problem	523	28.4	477	29.9	516	30.6
Serious problem	428	20.5	327	18.3	396	20.8
Valid Total	**1798**	**100.0**	**1511**	**100.0**	**1642**	**100.0**
Don't know	546	18.4	552	22.5	647	24.4
No answer	41	1.3	41	1.3	39	1.4
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Marijuana – Youth:

Table 8 Marijuana Use in Community as a Problem among Youth

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	193	14.8	172	14.2	153	13.6
Minor problem	316	19.9	274	21.5	281	20.1
Moderate problem	557	34.0	464	33.0	492	31.1
Serious problem	546	31.4	490	31.3	581	35.2
Valid Total	**1612**	**100.0**	**1400**	**100.0**	**1507**	**100.0**
Don't know	583	21.0	575	24.5	665	26.7
No answer	190	7.2	129	5.4	156	5.6
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Inhalants – Adults:

Table 9 Inhalants Use in Community as a Problem among Adults

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	543	43.8	409	41.1	494	48.6
Minor problem	386	33.6	354	34.1	355	30.5
Moderate problem	193	15.0	171	15.5	184	13.4
Serious problem	109	7.6	105	9.4	98	7.4
Valid Total	**1231**	**100.0**	**1039**	**100.0**	**1131**	**100.0**
Don't know	1115	43.1	1017	46.1	1159	46.8
No answer	39	1.3	48	1.8	38	1.6
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Inhalants – Youth:

Table 10 Inhalants Use in Community as a Problem among Youth

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	306	29.5	243	29.2	271	32.2
Minor problem	364	33.1	306	31.8	321	31.0
Moderate problem	264	22.5	250	22.1	285	22.1
Serious problem	182	14.9	190	17.0	174	14.8
Valid Total	**1116**	**100.0**	**989**	**100.0**	**1051**	**100.0**
Don't know	1076	41.9	991	46.0	1121	46.4
No answer	193	7.5	124	5.2	156	5.7
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Cocaine – Adults:

Table 11 Cocaine Use in Community as a Problem among Adults

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	382	30.1	326	30.5	375	36.7
Minor problem	336	27.7	282	24.8	326	28.1
Moderate problem	298	22.2	275	24.2	257	19.9
Serious problem	293	20.1	286	20.5	239	15.3
Valid Total	**1309**	**100.0**	**1169**	**100.0**	**1197**	**100.0**
Don't know	1038	40.2	902	40.5	1099	45.1
No answer	38	1.3	33	1.0	32	1.3
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Cocaine – Youth:

Table 12 Cocaine Use in Community as a Problem among Youth

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	346	35.8	274	31.0	300	36.8
Minor problem	285	27.5	268	26.5	297	27.7
Moderate problem	229	18.3	231	21.0	239	21.7
Serious problem	219	18.4	267	21.6	198	13.7
Valid Total	**1079**	**100.0**	**1040**	**100.0**	**1034**	**100.0**
Don't know	1116	43.8	940	43.0	1139	46.9
No answer	190	7.4	124	5.2	155	5.9
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Heroin – Adults:

Table 13 Heroin Use in Community as a Problem among Adults

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	370	26.7	287	24.1	386	36.2
Minor problem	283	23.1	249	21.3	287	25.5
Moderate problem	281	22.5	242	19.3	230	19.4
Serious problem	368	27.6	453	35.4	262	18.9
Valid Total	**1302**	**100.0**	**1231**	**100.0**	**1165**	**100.0**
Don't know	1039	39.3	839	36.6	1129	46.2
No answer	44	1.4	34	1.1	34	1.2
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Heroin – Youth:

Table 14 Heroin Use in Community as a Problem among Youth

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	331	31.6	235	24.4	318	37.9
Minor problem	266	25.6	252	23.8	258	26.1
Moderate problem	205	18.1	228	19.4	225	20.6
Serious problem	281	24.7	377	32.3	190	15.4
Valid Total	**1083**	**100.0**	**1092**	**100.0**	**991**	**100.0**
Don't know	1110	43.2	891	40.3	1174	48.2
No answer	192	7.4	121	5.1	163	6.3
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Ecstasy – Adults:

Table 15 Ecstasy Use in Community as a Problem among Adults

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	443	41.8	352	37.6	411	44.4
Minor problem	315	30.2	258	28.6	283	27.5
Moderate problem	199	17.8	184	18.4	170	16.1
Serious problem	127	10.2	182	15.4	154	12.0
Valid Total	**1084**	**100.0**	**976**	**100.0**	**1018**	**100.0**
Don't know	1249	48.1	1085	48.1	1264	50.5
No answer	52	1.8	43	1.4	46	2.3
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Ecstasy – Youth:

Table 16 Ecstasy Use in Community as a Problem among Youth

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	317	34.6	240	30.6	293	37.9
Minor problem	272	29.0	231	26.5	242	26.6
Moderate problem	209	22.2	206	21.8	191	18.9
Serious problem	160	14.2	216	21.0	190	16.5
Valid Total	**958**	**100.0**	**893**	**100.0**	**916**	**100.0**
Don't know	1221	47.6	1079	48.3	1230	49.7
No answer	206	8.1	132	5.3	182	6.9
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Methamphetamine – Adults:

Table 17 Methamphetamine Use in Community as a Problem among Adults

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	219	12.6	190	12.8	236	18.3
Minor problem	220	13.1	141	9.3	194	11.6
Moderate problem	423	24.8	372	26.3	398	24.7
Serious problem	879	49.4	801	51.6	786	45.4
Valid Total	**1741**	**100.0**	**1504**	**100.0**	**1614**	**100.0**
Don't know	592	22.1	561	23.8	671	28.3
No answer	52	1.9	39	1.3	43	1.8
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Methamphetamine – Youth:

Table 18 Methamphetamine Use in Community as a Problem among Youth

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	198	16.6	151	14.0	180	18.6
Minor problem	264	21.1	177	13.8	205	15.0
Moderate problem	327	22.4	322	24.6	345	24.1
Serious problem	631	39.9	653	47.5	629	42.3
Valid Total	**1420**	**100.0**	**1303**	**100.0**	**1359**	**100.0**
Don't know	768	29.9	674	30.9	791	33.8
No answer	197	7.8	127	5.4	178	6.6
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Over-the-Counter Drugs – Adults:

Table 19 Over-the-Counter Drugs Use in Community as a Problem among Adults

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	296	18.2	231	18.4	295	23.9
Minor problem	355	22.5	288	21.1	339	24.5
Moderate problem	525	34.1	418	30.9	447	28.2
Serious problem	394	25.2	410	29.7	359	23.4
Valid Total	**1570**	**100.0**	**1347**	**100.0**	**1440**	**100.0**
Don't know	775	28.2	726	32.3	858	36.2
No answer	40	1.5	31	0.9	30	0.9
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Over-the-Counter Drugs – Youth:

Table 20 Over-the-Counter Drugs Use in Community as a Problem among Youth

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	221	17.1	179	17.0	211	21.6
Minor problem	343	25.0	253	21.1	288	23.2
Moderate problem	424	32.1	381	31.2	396	28.2
Serious problem	348	25.8	397	30.7	360	27.0
Valid Total	**1336**	**100.0**	**1210**	**100.0**	**1255**	**100.0**
Don't know	852	32.0	777	34.9	913	37.8
No answer	197	7.4	117	4.7	160	5.4
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Prescription Drugs – Adults:

Table 21 Prescription Drugs Use in Community as a Problem among Adults

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	250	14.1	213	15.8	283	21.3
Minor problem	324	19.2	208	14.8	276	18.2
Moderate problem	522	31.5	420	28.1	484	30.7
Serious problem	582	35.2	591	41.3	485	29.8
Valid Total	**1678**	**100.0**	**1432**	**100.0**	**1528**	**100.0**
Don't know	674	24.5	647	28.1	775	32.2
No answer	33	1.3	25	0.9	25	1.1
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Prescription Drugs – Youth:

Table 22 Prescription Drugs Use in Community as a Problem among Youth

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	233	17.5	175	15.2	235	23.4
Minor problem	310	22.8	256	21.5	285	21.7
Moderate problem	404	28.7	329	26.2	381	28.0
Serious problem	409	30.9	465	37.1	372	26.8
Valid Total	**1356**	**100.0**	**1225**	**100.0**	**1273**	**100.0**
Don't know	834	31.4	757	33.4	902	36.9
No answer	195	7.5	122	4.9	153	5.5
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Synthetic Drugs – Adults:

Table 23 Synthetic Drugs Use in Community as a Problem among Adults

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	402	39.6	343	37.7	412	44.9
Minor problem	257	25.9	269	31.2	255	25.0
Moderate problem	173	16.4	171	17.5	183	16.0
Serious problem	198	18.1	146	13.6	145	14.1
Valid Total	**1030**	**100.0**	**929**	**100.0**	**995**	**100.0**
Don't know	1241	48.3	1138	51.6	1304	52.7
No answer	114	3.5	37	1.2	29	1.0
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Synthetic Drugs – Youth:

Table 24 Synthetic Drugs Use in Community as a Problem among Youth

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	415	42.2	268	34.0	318	41.4
Minor problem	245	25.6	227	28.5	221	23.9
Moderate problem	165	16.5	177	20.2	190	18.8
Serious problem	170	15.7	166	17.4	167	15.9
Valid Total	**995**	**100.0**	**838**	**100.0**	**896**	**100.0**
Don't know	1264	48.5	1137	52.6	1284	51.8
No answer	126	5.5	129	5.2	148	5.6
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Intravenous (IV) Drugs – Adults:

Table 25 Intravenous (IV) Drugs Use in Community as a Problem among Adults

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	414	36.4	289	36.8	317	43.7
Minor problem	300	29.0	257	30.7	204	22.4
Moderate problem	225	21.2	181	18.7	190	19.6
Serious problem	150	13.3	143	13.8	155	14.4
Valid Total	**1089**	**100.0**	**870**	**100.0**	**866**	**100.0**
Don't know	1257	47.4	1120	52.0	1302	52.0
No answer	39	1.5	114	3.7	160	6.1
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Intravenous (IV) Drugs – Youth:

Table 26 Intravenous (IV) Drugs Use in Community as a Problem among Youth

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	299	31.2	303	34.8	411	45.3
Minor problem	245	27.0	208	23.6	251	25.1
Moderate problem	246	24.4	207	21.0	186	17.8
Serious problem	168	17.3	213	20.7	136	11.8
Valid Total	**958**	**100.0**	**931**	**100.0**	**984**	**100.0**
Don't know	1235	47.0	1112	50.5	1313	52.5
No answer	192	7.4	61	3.3	31	1.1
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q2. In your opinion how much of a problem is each of the following in your community?

Q2a. Contribution of drug and alcohol use to crashes or injuries (such as automobile, hunting, boating, snowmobiling)

Table 27 Contribution of Drugs/Alcohol to Crashes/Injuries

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	127	5.8	93	5.4	120	7.2
Minor problem	392	19.2	297	18.7	330	18.4
Moderate problem	784	37.2	728	39.3	734	35.2
Serious problem	777	37.8	706	36.6	876	39.3
Valid Total	**2080**	**100.0**	**1824**	**100.0**	**2060**	**100.0**
Don't know	280	9.1	257	10.9	247	10.8
No answer	25	1.1	23	0.7	21	0.6
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q2b. Contribution of drug and alcohol use to crimes

Table 28 Contribution of Drugs/Alcohol to Crimes

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	111	5.2	101	5.9	130	8.4
Minor problem	243	13.5	195	12.8	240	12.9
Moderate problem	716	35.0	568	33.1	632	32.9
Serious problem	970	46.3	939	48.2	992	45.9
Valid Total	**2040**	**100.0**	**1803**	**100.0**	**1994**	**100.0**
Don't know	315	11.2	274	11.7	307	13.1
No answer	30	1.1	27	0.8	27	1.0
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q2c. Contribution of drug and alcohol use to health problems, including cancer, heart disease, and liver disease

Table 29 Contribution of Drugs/Alcohol to Health Problems

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	124	6.2	103	7.2	135	9.5
Minor problem	287	16.3	218	14.9	288	18.1
Moderate problem	719	39.6	605	38.6	651	38.7
Serious problem	690	37.9	656	39.4	632	33.7
Valid Total	**1820**	**100.0**	**1582**	**100.0**	**1706**	**100.0**
Don't know	541	18.4	489	20.7	590	23.4
No answer	24	1.0	33	1.4	32	1.2
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q2d. Contribution of drug use to the spread of chronic diseases, such as HIV and hepatitis

Table 30 Contribution of Drug Use to the Spread of Disease

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not a problem	219	14.1	176	14.9	257	22.4
Minor problem	366	26.8	269	24.1	330	25.2
Moderate problem	474	33.8	453	34.5	402	30.8
Serious problem	378	25.3	379	26.6	313	21.6
Valid Total	**1437**	**100.0**	**1277**	**100.0**	**1302**	**100.0**
Don't know	920	34.3	800	36.4	997	39.4
No answer	28	1.0	27	0.8	29	0.9
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q3. To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

3a. It is okay for youth to drink at parties as long as they don't get drunk

Table 31 Okay for Youth to Drink at Parties if they Don't Get Drunk

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	1197	46.3	1118	48.5	1249	48.7
Disagree	819	34.3	652	31.4	764	33.2
Neither Agree nor Disagree	223	11.9	217	13.4	207	12.0
Agree	97	6.5	80	5.0	79	4.7
Strongly Agree	25	1.1	24	1.7	23	1.4
Valid Total	**2361**	**100.0**	**2091**	**100.0**	**2322**	**100.0**
No answer	24	1.0	13	0.4	6	0.3
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q3b. Youth should be able to drink as long as they don't drive afterwards

Table 32 Okay for Youth to Drink if they Don't Drive

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	1279	50.1	1168	51.8	1320	51.4
Disagree	753	32.4	619	29.4	717	32.9
Neither Agree nor Disagree	176	9.3	158	10.0	155	8.9
Agree	112	7.1	103	6.6	80	4.6
Strongly Agree	25	1.1	30	2.3	34	2.3
Valid Total	**2345**	**100.0**	**2078**	**100.0**	**2306**	**100.0**
No answer	40	1.5	26	0.9	22	0.7
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q3c. It is okay for youth to smoke cigarettes

Table 33 Okay for Youth to Smoke Cigarettes

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	1549	65.3	1328	62.0	1485	61.2
Disagree	670	28.8	611	30.8	676	30.8
Neither Agree nor Disagree	102	4.7	111	5.6	109	6.0
Agree	14	0.7	16	0.8	19	1.0
Strongly Agree	18	0.5	16	0.8	16	0.9
Valid Total	**2353**	**100.0**	**2082**	**100.0**	**2305**	**100.0**
No answer	32	1.1	22	0.9	23	0.8
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q3d. It is okay for youth to use e-cigarettes

Table 34 Okay for Youth to Use E-cigarettes

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	1543	63.1	1310	60.3	1443	58.6
Disagree	648	28.8	595	29.3	672	30.5
Neither Agree nor Disagree	125	6.2	130	7.3	140	7.7
Agree	23	1.4	29	2.1	34	2.1
Strongly Agree	19	0.5	19	0.9	20	1.2
Valid Total	**2358**	**100.0**	**2083**	**100.0**	**2309**	**100.0**
No answer	27	1.0	21	0.7	19	0.8
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q3e. **Youth who experiment with alcohol or other drugs almost always grow out of it**

Table 35 Youth Will Grow Out of Experimentation with Alcohol/Drugs

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	1000	39.3	865	38.2	1009	41.0
Disagree	873	37.2	791	38.3	809	34.9
Neither Agree nor Disagree	382	18.8	349	18.4	369	18.0
Agree	77	3.9	65	4.0	94	4.8
Strongly Agree	25	0.8	15	1.0	23	1.3
Valid Total	**2357**	**100.0**	**2085**	**100.0**	**2304**	**100.0**
No answer	28	1.1	19	0.6	24	1.2
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q3f. **It is okay for parents to offer alcoholic beverages in their home to youth (other than their own children)**

Table 36 Okay for Parents to Give Others' Kids Alcohol

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	1551	61.9	1348	59.5	1539	61.2
Disagree	619	27.9	552	28.2	599	28.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	120	6.4	131	8.8	116	6.8
Agree	39	2.5	44	2.4	48	2.9
Strongly Agree	26	1.3	16	1.1	13	0.7
Valid Total	**2355**	**100.0**	**2091**	**100.0**	**2315**	**100.0**
No answer	30	1.2	13	0.4	13	0.5
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q3g. **In my community, drinking among teenagers is acceptable**

Table 37 Teen Drinking Accepted in Community

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	671	26.3	552	25.8	703	29.2
Disagree	734	30.9	638	30.0	709	30.1
Neither Agree nor Disagree	463	21.2	489	25.2	442	20.6
Agree	403	18.7	342	16.8	390	17.2
Strongly Agree	68	2.8	50	2.2	60	3.0
Valid Total	**2339**	**100.0**	**2071**	**100.0**	**2304**	**100.0**
No answer	46	1.5	33	1.0	24	0.8
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q3h. **Driving under the influence of drugs/alcohol is okay**

Table 38 Okay to Drive Under the Influence

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	1959	83.7	1738	82.4	1930	81.0
Disagree	340	13.6	286	14.2	326	16.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	28	1.5	30	2.0	34	1.7
Agree	13	0.5	12	0.6	6	0.4
Strongly Agree	22	0.7	23	0.8	22	0.6
Valid Total	**2362**	**100.0**	**2089**	**100.0**	**2318**	**100.0**
No answer	23	0.9	15	0.6	10	0.4
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q3i. It is okay to ride in a motor vehicle with someone under the influence of drugs and/or alcohol

Table 39 Okay to Ride with Someone Under the Influence

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	1882	79.6	1692	79.7	1854	76.7
Disagree	397	16.5	311	15.2	382	19.2
Neither Agree nor Disagree	45	2.2	46	3.2	47	2.7
Agree	17	1.0	14	0.7	15	0.8
Strongly Agree	18	0.6	25	1.3	18	0.7
Valid Total	**2359**	**100.0**	**2088**	**100.0**	**2316**	**100.0**
No answer	26	1.1	16	0.7	12	0.4
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q3j. Law enforcement should be spending more time enforcing the minimum drinking age

Table 40 More Time Enforcing Minimum Drinking Age

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	150	7.1	124	7.7	140	6.7
Disagree	268	13.7	259	14.8	248	13.0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	766	35.2	688	32.6	726	32.1
Agree	832	31.5	725	30.3	846	34.4
Strongly Agree	320	12.4	293	14.7	351	13.7
Valid Total	**2336**	**100.0**	**2089**	**100.0**	**2311**	**100.0**
No answer	49	1.7	15	0.7	17	0.6
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q3k. **Schools need to be more active in dealing with alcohol, tobacco, and other drug problems**

Table 41 Schools Dealing with Alcohol/Drug Problems

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	91	3.8	95	4.6	112	6.0
Disagree	160	7.5	136	6.7	165	8.5
Neither Agree nor Disagree	505	22.7	445	23.3	489	23.0
Agree	1059	43.7	904	41.9	1039	41.6
Strongly Agree	539	22.2	500	23.4	510	21.0
Valid Total	**2354**	**100.0**	**2080**	**100.0**	**2315**	**100.0**
No answer	31	1.3	24	0.9	13	0.4
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q3l. **It is possible to reduce alcohol and drug problems through prevention**

Table 42 Reduce Alcohol/Drug Problems Through Prevention

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	56	2.4	66	3.7	69	3.2
Disagree	126	6.1	128	6.8	109	4.9
Neither Agree nor Disagree	441	18.8	365	19.0	445	21.7
Agree	1250	52.0	1116	51.4	1252	52.1
Strongly Agree	486	20.7	409	19.1	435	18.0
Valid Total	**2359**	**100.0**	**2084**	**100.0**	**2310**	**100.0**
No answer	26	1.1	20	0.7	18	0.7
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q3m. **Alcohol and other drug prevention programs are a good investment because they save lives and money**

Table 43 Alcohol/Drug Prevention Programs are a Good Investment

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	62	2.6	75	4.5	68	3.5
Disagree	113	6.2	100	5.1	96	4.5
Neither Agree nor Disagree	381	17.6	340	18.8	400	20.3
Agree	1183	48.5	1028	48.8	1185	49.3
Strongly Agree	606	25.1	538	22.9	557	22.3
Valid Total	**2345**	**100.0**	**2081**	**100.0**	**2306**	**100.0**
No answer	40	1.5	23	0.7	22	1.1
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q3n. **The community has the responsibility to set up prevention programs to help people avoid alcohol and other drug problems**

Table 44 Alcohol/Drug Prevention Programs are Responsibility of Community

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	64	3.0	72	3.8	75	3.6
Disagree	145	7.4	139	7.1	139	6.2
Neither Agree nor Disagree	625	26.9	545	27.8	592	27.9
Agree	1075	44.3	937	43.5	1070	44.4
Strongly Agree	449	18.5	384	17.7	429	17.8
Valid Total	**2358**	**100.0**	**2077**	**100.0**	**2305**	**100.0**
No answer	27	1.2	27	0.8	23	1.0
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q4. To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

Q4a. Public service announcements are a good way to change attitudes about alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use

Table 45 PSAs Change Attitudes About Alcohol/Drug use

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	80	3.8	89	5.1	95	5.4
Disagree	301	15.1	278	14.9	265	12.7
Neither Agree nor Disagree	684	30.0	579	29.9	640	28.4
Agree	1066	43.2	916	41.4	1066	44.1
Strongly Agree	222	7.9	211	8.8	238	9.4
Valid Total	**2353**	**100.0**	**2073**	**100.0**	**2304**	**100.0**
No answer	32	1.2	31	0.9	24	0.6
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q4b. Taxes on alcohol should be increased

Table 46 Taxes on Alcohol Should be Increased

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	234	12.3	213	12.4	248	13.9
Disagree	521	24.4	429	22.5	494	23.4
Neither Agree nor Disagree	623	25.6	535	25.4	606	25.3
Agree	612	23.7	564	23.9	601	23.7
Strongly Agree	366	14.0	342	15.8	362	13.7
Valid Total	**2356**	**100.0**	**2083**	**100.0**	**2311**	**100.0**
No answer	29	1.3	21	0.7	17	0.5
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q4c. Taxes on tobacco products should be increased

Table 47 Taxes on Tobacco Products Should be Increased

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	173	8.1	186	10.6	211	11.5
Disagree	359	16.3	293	14.8	349	16.1
Neither Agree nor Disagree	466	18.9	395	17.9	475	20.2
Agree	673	27.5	613	27.7	657	27.4
Strongly Agree	684	29.3	595	29.1	621	24.8
Valid Total	**2355**	**100.0**	**2082**	**100.0**	**2313**	**100.0**
No answer	30	1.1	22	0.8	15	0.6
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q4d. E-cigarettes should be taxed at the same rate as other tobacco products

Table 48 E-cigarettes Should be Taxed Same as Other Tobacco

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	73	3.5	85	4.6	98	5.0
Disagree	90	4.3	100	5.3	135	6.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	233	9.8	276	14.5	321	16.1
Agree	1021	42.7	918	41.4	1029	42.4
Strongly Agree	942	39.7	704	34.2	732	30.2
Valid Total	**2359**	**100.0**	**2083**	**100.0**	**2315**	**100.0**
No answer	26	1.2	21	0.7	13	0.5
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q4e. **The minimum age of purchase and possession of tobacco products should be raised to age 21**

Table 49 Increase Minimum Age for Tobacco to 21

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	147	8.3	151	10.0	135	7.5
Disagree	296	13.9	250	13.1	329	17.1
Neither Agree nor Disagree	386	16.9	381	19.6	381	17.3
Agree	732	29.0	708	30.5	823	31.7
Strongly Agree	795	31.9	594	26.7	646	26.4
Valid Total	**2356**	**100.0**	**2084**	**100.0**	**2314**	**100.0**
No answer	29	1.1	20	0.6	14	0.5
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q4f. **Drinking and driving laws are enforced in my local community**

Table 50 Drinking and Driving Enforced in Community

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	55	2.2	55	2.8	47	2.3
Disagree	172	7.0	126	6.0	164	7.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	382	15.3	344	16.9	366	16.0
Agree	1367	57.2	1241	56.3	1333	55.6
Strongly Agree	377	18.2	316	18.0	404	18.9
Valid Total	**2353**	**100.0**	**2082**	**100.0**	**2314**	**100.0**
No answer	32	1.2	22	0.7	14	0.5
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q4g. There should be a law prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children

Table 51 Should Prohibit Giving Alcohol to Own Children

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	217	11.9	190	11.4	210	10.0
Disagree	368	18.2	324	16.8	355	17.5
Neither Agree nor Disagree	653	27.6	583	28.1	631	27.8
Agree	635	23.6	580	25.2	623	24.2
Strongly Agree	483	18.7	403	18.5	488	20.5
Valid Total	**2356**	**100.0**	**2080**	**100.0**	**2307**	**100.0**
No answer	29	1.1	24	1.0	21	1.0
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q4h. There should be a law requiring servers and bartenders at restaurants and bars to be specially trained on how to serve alcohol responsibly

Table 52 Servers/Bartenders Should be Specially Trained

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	66	3.1	75	4.7	75	4.1
Disagree	180	8.4	157	7.9	169	7.8
Neither Agree nor Disagree	461	22.3	429	23.0	415	20.2
Agree	1036	42.6	897	40.6	1020	43.0
Strongly Agree	613	23.6	527	23.8	637	25.0
Valid Total	**2356**	**100.0**	**2085**	**100.0**	**2316**	**100.0**
No answer	29	1.2	19	0.7	12	0.5
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q5. Do you support or oppose bans on each of the following?

Liquor Advertisements on TV:

Table 53 Support/Oppose Liquor Advertisements on TV

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Support	740	30.0	703	31.4	732	28.4
Oppose	777	33.7	693	35.1	755	34.6
No Opinion	823	36.3	677	33.5	813	37.0
Valid Total	**2340**	**100.0**	**2073**	**100.0**	**2300**	**100.0**
No answer	45	1.7	31	1.3	28	1.3
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Beer and Wine Advertisements on TV:

Table 54 Support/Oppose Beer and Wine Advertisements on TV

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Support	733	29.5	695	31.2	712	28.2
Oppose	777	33.9	691	35.1	760	34.6
No Opinion	827	36.7	686	33.7	828	37.2
Valid Total	**2337**	**100.0**	**2072**	**100.0**	**2300**	**100.0**
No answer	48	1.8	32	1.5	28	1.5
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Billboard Alcohol Advertisements:

Table 55 Support/Oppose Billboard Alcohol Advertisements

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Support	762	30.9	684	31.1	701	27.8
Oppose	762	33.5	706	35.4	769	34.9
No Opinion	813	35.7	679	33.5	828	37.3
Valid Total	**2337**	**100.0**	**2069**	**100.0**	**2298**	**100.0**
No answer	48	1.7	35	1.5	30	1.5
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q6. **Do you support or oppose each of the following measures?**

Q6a. **Minimum legal drinking age of 21**

Table 56 Support/Oppose Minimum Legal Drinking Age of 21

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Support	1993	81.1	1758	80.1	1927	80.5
Oppose	233	13.2	205	12.8	254	13.4
No Opinion	123	5.7	129	7.2	130	6.2
Valid Total	**2349**	**100.0**	**2092**	**100.0**	**2311**	**100.0**
No answer	36	1.7	12	0.4	17	0.6
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q6b. **Penalties for adults who provide alcohol to youth**

Table 57 Support/Oppose Penalties for Adults that Buy Alcohol for Youth

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Support	1976	81.0	1756	79.9	1977	81.7
Oppose	115	7.0	102	6.3	109	6.5
No Opinion	250	11.9	233	13.7	224	11.8
Valid Total	**2341**	**100.0**	**2091**	**100.0**	**2310**	**100.0**
No answer	44	1.9	13	0.4	18	0.7
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q6c. **Compliance checks (used to identify alcohol establishments that sell alcohol to underage youth)**

Table 58 Support/Oppose Compliance Checks

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Support	2055	86.4	1825	84.4	2053	86.7
Oppose	111	6.5	94	5.6	93	4.5
No Opinion	160	7.1	151	10.1	141	8.8
Valid Total	**2326**	**100.0**	**2070**	**100.0**	**2287**	**100.0**
No answer	59	2.4	34	1.1	41	1.8
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q6d. **Laws restricting the type of alcohol discounts or specials, that merchants are allowed to offer (e.g. two-for-one drink sales, or all-you-can-drink specials for a flat fee)**

Table 59 Support/Oppose Restrictions on Alcohol Discounts

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Support	863	32.4	808	34.8	929	36.4
Oppose	835	42.4	696	38.1	786	37.9
No Opinion	643	25.2	579	27.1	593	25.7
Valid Total	**2341**	**100.0**	**2083**	**100.0**	**2308**	**100.0**
No answer	44	2.0	21	0.7	20	0.8
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q6e. **Laws prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children**

Table 60 Support/Oppose Prohibition of Giving Alcohol to Own Children

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Support	1136	43.1	1027	46.5	1140	46.6
Oppose	647	32.3	575	31.7	662	32.2
No Opinion	541	24.6	467	21.7	493	21.2
Valid Total	**2324**	**100.0**	**2069**	**100.0**	**2295**	**100.0**
No answer	61	2.5	35	1.2	33	1.0
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q6f. DUI checkpoints (used by law enforcement to deter or detect a drunk driver through the use of roadblocks or sobriety checkpoints)

Table 61 Support/Oppose DUI Checkpoints

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Support	1651	67.7	1594	72.7	1751	71.3
Oppose	413	20.6	265	14.9	323	17.2
No Opinion	276	11.7	219	12.4	226	11.5
Valid Total	**2340**	**100.0**	**2078**	**100.0**	**2300**	**100.0**
No answer	45	2.2	26	1.2	28	1.1
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q6g. Legalizing the possession of small amounts of marijuana for personal use

Table 62 Support/Oppose Legalization of Marijuana for Personal Use

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Support	811	40.0	687	38.2	576	30.7
Oppose	1141	44.5	998	43.0	1315	50.4
No Opinion	382	15.5	396	18.8	416	18.9
Valid Total	**2334**	**100.0**	**2081**	**100.0**	**2307**	**100.0**
No answer	51	2.1	23	0.8	21	0.8
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q7. In your opinion, how difficult is it for youth in your community to…

Q7a. Buy beer, wine, or hard liquor at stores themselves?

Table 63 Difficulty of Youth Buying Alcohol

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	215	11.3	183	12.0	212	14.1
Slightly difficult	265	15.7	236	16.6	258	15.2
Somewhat difficult	408	24.6	377	25.8	458	25.6
Quite difficult	514	32.7	433	32.6	461	30.1
Extremely difficult	230	15.7	168	13.0	207	15.0
Valid Total	**1632**	**100.0**	**1397**	**100.0**	**1596**	**100.0**
Don't know	711	27.3	687	29.5	714	28.1
No answer	42	1.7	20	0.7	18	0.7
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q7b. Get an older person to buy alcohol for them?

Table 64 Difficulty of Youth Getting an Adult to Buy Them Alcohol

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	737	41.2	670	43.1	797	48.2
Slightly difficult	492	30.0	406	29.1	453	26.0
Somewhat difficult	337	19.7	308	21.5	304	18.0
Quite difficult	116	7.2	81	4.3	86	5.6
Extremely difficult	33	1.9	28	2.0	34	2.2
Valid Total	**1715**	**100.0**	**1493**	**100.0**	**1674**	**100.0**
Don't know	629	23.8	590	25.2	634	26.0
No answer	41	1.7	21	0.7	20	0.9
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q7c. **Order a drink at a bar?**

Table 65 Difficulty of Youth Ordering a Drink at a Bar

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	81	4.5	57	4.2	63	4.3
Slightly difficult	202	11.0	201	12.8	208	12.6
Somewhat difficult	418	23.8	360	24.0	430	23.2
Quite difficult	670	38.3	538	35.9	631	36.3
Extremely difficult	399	22.4	317	23.1	352	23.6
Valid Total	**1770**	**100.0**	**1473**	**100.0**	**1684**	**100.0**
Don't know	574	21.3	606	26.5	617	25.1
No answer	41	1.7	25	0.9	27	0.8
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q7d. **Sneak alcohol from their home or a friend's home?**

Table 66 Difficulty of Youth Sneaking Alcohol From Home

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	948	55.9	889	60.3	976	59.0
Slightly difficult	460	29.5	340	23.7	361	22.3
Somewhat difficult	210	10.9	164	12.1	206	13.3
Quite difficult	47	1.6	43	2.9	38	3.0
Extremely difficult	35	2.0	19	1.0	31	2.4
Valid Total	**1700**	**100.0**	**1455**	**100.0**	**1612**	**100.0**
Don't know	638	22.6	622	28.3	693	29.5
No answer	47	2.0	27	0.9	23	0.8
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q7e. **Get their parents to give them alcohol?**

Table 67 Difficulty of Youth Getting Alcohol From Parents

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	225	14.6	222	16.2	275	17.9
Slightly difficult	432	28.1	377	28.5	422	27.3
Somewhat difficult	442	30.3	394	32.0	394	27.0
Quite difficult	287	18.9	231	16.8	261	18.4
Extremely difficult	113	8.1	82	6.5	115	9.4
Valid Total	**1499**	**100.0**	**1306**	**100.0**	**1467**	**100.0**
Don't know	838	32.1	773	34.6	836	33.6
No answer	48	1.7	25	1.0	25	1.0
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q7f. **Get other family member to give them alcohol?**

Table 68 Difficulty of Youth Getting Alcohol From Other Family Member

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	354	21.8	340	25.8	372	25.3
Slightly difficult	493	31.8	417	30.5	482	30.9
Somewhat difficult	433	28.2	363	29.4	403	25.8
Quite difficult	199	12.3	152	10.8	172	12.4
Extremely difficult	85	5.9	53	3.5	71	5.6
Valid Total	**1564**	**100.0**	**1325**	**100.0**	**1500**	**100.0**
Don't know	779	29.7	750	33.7	805	33.3
No answer	42	1.7	29	1.2	23	0.8
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q7g. Buy tobacco products (cigarettes, chewing tobacco, e-cigarettes)?

Table 69 Difficulty of Youth Buying Tobacco Products

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	448	24.2	367	24.6	399	24.9
Slightly difficult	469	27.4	393	27.0	413	24.8
Somewhat difficult	422	23.0	364	24.4	418	22.5
Quite difficult	287	17.1	223	14.4	284	17.6
Extremely difficult	147	8.3	130	9.6	149	10.2
Valid Total	**1773**	**100.0**	**1477**	**100.0**	**1663**	**100.0**
Don't know	571	21.7	600	26.1	644	26.2
No answer	41	1.7	27	1.2	21	0.9
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q8. In your opinion, how difficult is access to each of the following substances for adults or youth in your community?

Marijuana (Medical Purpose):

Table 70 Difficulty of Accessing Marijuana for a Medical Purpose

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	222	16.3	159	14.7	n/a	n/a
Slightly difficult	219	16.6	129	13.6	n/a	n/a
Somewhat difficult	278	20.1	187	17.1	n/a	n/a
Quite difficult	279	20.7	245	26.2	n/a	n/a
Extremely difficult	338	26.2	257	28.4	n/a	n/a
Valid Total	**1336**	**100.0**	**977**	**100.0**	**n/a**	**n/a**
Don't know	1002	39.5	1105	49.3	n/a	n/a
No answer	47	1.9	22	0.8	n/a	n/a
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**n/a**	**n/a**

Marijuana (Personal Use):

Table 71 Difficulty of Accessing Marijuana for Personal Use

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	609	38.7	546	42.6	n/a	n/a
Slightly difficult	383	26.9	316	26.0	n/a	n/a
Somewhat difficult	259	18.3	225	18.2	n/a	n/a
Quite difficult	133	8.4	88	7.2	n/a	n/a
Extremely difficult	117	7.6	65	5.9	n/a	n/a
Valid Total	1501	100.0	1240	100.0	n/a	n/a
Don't know	830	31.8	836	36.0	n/a	n/a
No answer	54	2.1	28	1.2	n/a	n/a
Total Count	2385		2104		n/a	n/a

Inhalants:

Table 72 Difficulty of Accessing Inhalants

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	1048	74.7	901	72.8	983	71.5
Slightly difficult	219	13.8	176	15.6	227	14.3
Somewhat difficult	98	6.2	90	6.2	105	7.9
Quite difficult	53	2.6	41	2.7	46	3.5
Extremely difficult	41	2.7	34	2.7	29	2.8
Valid Total	**1459**	**100.0**	**1242**	**100.0**	**1390**	**100.0**
Don't know	869	33.4	844	37.9	915	37.8
No answer	57	2.2	18	0.7	23	0.6
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Cocaine:

Table 73 Difficulty of Accessing Cocaine

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	221	18.4	207	20.4	223	19.4
Slightly difficult	214	20.9	201	20.6	238	21.2
Somewhat difficult	292	27.4	260	28.6	282	29.2
Quite difficult	188	21.7	155	20.6	157	15.9
Extremely difficult	113	11.6	88	9.7	106	14.2
Valid Total	**1028**	**100.0**	**911**	**100.0**	**1006**	**100.0**
Don't know	1312	53.4	1173	53.8	1298	54.1
No answer	45	1.9	20	0.9	24	0.8
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Heroin:

Table 74 Difficulty of Accessing Heroin

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	236	20.3	223	21.7	205	19.1
Slightly difficult	221	21.9	224	23.6	221	21.2
Somewhat difficult	259	26.2	226	25.3	251	24.9
Quite difficult	167	18.6	142	17.9	173	18.7
Extremely difficult	127	12.9	103	11.5	121	16.2
Valid Total	**1010**	**100.0**	**918**	**100.0**	**971**	**100.0**
Don't know	1332	54.0	1162	53.1	1331	55.9
No answer	43	1.7	24	1.2	26	0.8
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Ecstasy:

Table 75 Difficulty of Accessing Ecstasy

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	192	17.4	179	17.9	208	19.6
Slightly difficult	205	22.8	206	24.1	230	22.6
Somewhat difficult	245	27.0	237	29.6	234	25.3
Quite difficult	181	21.5	119	17.4	151	18.4
Extremely difficult	97	11.3	91	11.1	98	14.0
Valid Total	**920**	**100.0**	**832**	**100.0**	**921**	**100.0**
Don't know	1413	56.9	1244	56.8	1380	57.6
No answer	52	2.1	28	1.4	27	1.2
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Methamphetamine:

Table 76 Difficulty of Accessing Methamphetamine

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	448	31.1	427	35.5	509	38.0
Slightly difficult	361	29.4	319	28.5	358	26.1
Somewhat difficult	282	23.2	241	22.9	231	19.2
Quite difficult	117	10.3	74	7.6	106	9.3
Extremely difficult	69	6.0	64	5.5	63	7.4
Valid Total	**1277**	**100.0**	**1125**	**100.0**	**1267**	**100.0**
Don't know	1046	43.4	951	42.5	1028	43.8
No answer	62	2.3	28	1.0	33	1.1
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Over-the-Counter Drugs:

Table 77 Difficulty of Accessing Over-the-Counter Drugs

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	892	55.4	744	52.5	761	51.9
Slightly difficult	412	24.0	351	26.7	364	23.2
Somewhat difficult	257	14.1	221	14.6	231	14.6
Quite difficult	78	4.2	63	4.2	85	6.1
Extremely difficult	43	2.4	32	2.1	52	4.1
Valid Total	**1682**	**100.0**	**1411**	**100.0**	**1493**	**100.0**
Don't know	656	25.7	673	30.6	816	34.6
No answer	47	1.9	20	1.0	19	0.5
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Prescription Drugs:

Table 78 Difficulty of Accessing Prescription Drugs

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	375	29.7	131	16.5	235	23.8
Slightly difficult	363	29.4	148	17.4	247	25.0
Somewhat difficult	292	23.4	199	27.8	274	25.8
Quite difficult	157	12.3	164	24.0	132	13.2
Extremely difficult	63	5.1	109	14.3	120	12.1
Valid Total	**1250**	**100.0**	**751**	**100.0**	**1008**	**100.0**
Don't know	1088	45.1	1327	61.4	1298	55.0
No answer	47	1.9	26	1.3	22	0.6
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Synthetic Drugs:

Table 79 Difficulty of Accessing Synthetic Drugs

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	254	24.9	376	30.2	368	27.9
Slightly difficult	197	19.8	419	32.1	353	29.1
Somewhat difficult	212	26.8	305	25.2	295	24.6
Quite difficult	141	16.1	114	8.5	112	10.8
Extremely difficult	97	12.3	56	4.1	72	7.6
Valid Total	**901**	**100.0**	**1270**	**100.0**	**1200**	**100.0**
Don't know	1433	58.2	813	36.3	1106	45.8
No answer	51	2.0	21	0.9	22	0.9
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Intravenous (IV) Drugs:

Table 80 Difficulty of Accessing Intravenous (IV) Drugs

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	283	24.1	285	31.1	242	24.3
Slightly difficult	332	30.2	226	26.1	226	26.0
Somewhat difficult	280	23.8	191	24.4	201	21.5
Quite difficult	156	13.6	70	11.7	140	17.1
Extremely difficult	98	8.3	54	6.7	85	11.1
Valid Total	**1149**	**100.0**	**826**	**100.0**	**894**	**100.0**
Don't know	1194	45.3	1252	57.8	1413	59.1
No answer	42	1.8	26	1.0	21	0.7
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q9. To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

Q9a. Preventing alcohol and other drug use among youth is important.

Table 81 Preventing Alcohol/Drug Use among Youth is Important

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	77	3.4	73	3.2	74	3.2
Disagree	15	0.7	16	1.0	10	0.8
Neither Agree nor Disagree	72	4.4	90	6.4	80	5.5
Agree	806	37.7	647	33.2	753	34.6
Strongly Agree	1370	53.8	1257	56.1	1397	56.0
Valid Total	**2340**	**100.0**	**2083**	**100.0**	**2314**	**100.0**
No answer	45	2.1	21	0.8	14	0.4
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q9b. I am concerned about whether my community has sufficient alcohol and other drug abuse prevention programs.

Table 82 Sufficient Alcohol/Drug Abuse Prevention Programs in Community

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	55	2.9	52	2.8	52	3.1
Disagree	158	8.7	140	9.1	185	9.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	745	34.0	658	34.6	751	36.2
Agree	824	33.8	737	33.0	832	33.5
Strongly Agree	547	20.6	485	20.5	470	17.8
Valid Total	**2329**	**100.0**	**2072**	**100.0**	**2290**	**100.0**
No answer	56	2.2	32	1.3	38	1.1
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q9c. **There are leaders in my community who are interested in reducing access and abuse of alcohol and other drugs.**

Table 83 Leaders in Community Want to Reduce Alcohol/Drug Use/Abuse

Statewide						
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	57	2.5	37	2.5	51	2.5
Disagree	111	5.1	104	7.0	129	5.9
Neither Agree nor Disagree	924	42.5	834	42.4	902	42.7
Agree	932	38.6	821	37.3	925	37.5
Strongly Agree	293	11.2	264	10.9	287	11.4
Valid Total	**2317**	**100.0**	**2060**	**100.0**	**2294**	**100.0**
No answer	68	2.6	44	1.8	34	1.1
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q9d. **I know who to go to if I need help for myself or family member(s) who are abusing alcohol or other drugs.**

Table 84 Know Where to Go For Help with Drug/Alcohol Abuse

Statewide						
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	108	4.4	95	4.3	92	4.2
Disagree	323	14.3	300	16.3	258	12.5
Neither Agree nor Disagree	446	18.2	416	20.1	426	19.1
Agree	1040	46.1	911	43.4	1064	44.6
Strongly Agree	397	17.0	336	15.9	442	19.5
Valid Total	**2314**	**100.0**	**2058**	**100.0**	**2282**	**100.0**
No answer	71	2.6	46	1.6	46	1.4
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q9e. **My community is actively instituting policies that address the misuse of alcohol and other drugs.**

Table 85 Community Policies Address Misuse of Alcohol/Drugs

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	114	5.0	95	5.5	96	4.8
Disagree	320	13.7	311	16.8	282	12.5
Neither Agree nor Disagree	1146	49.9	988	47.6	1083	47.0
Agree	596	26.0	551	25.1	675	29.2
Strongly Agree	140	5.4	112	5.0	152	6.5
Valid Total	**2316**	**100.0**	**2057**	**100.0**	**2288**	**100.0**
No answer	69	2.6	47	2.1	40	1.4
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q9f. **My community is taking strong action to prevent the misuse of alcohol and other drugs.**

Table 86 Community Takes Action to Prevent Misuse of Alcohol/Drugs

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	145	6.5	112	6.4	114	5.5
Disagree	389	16.5	371	18.5	355	16.1
Neither Agree nor Disagree	1089	47.0	980	48.7	1077	46.8
Agree	566	25.1	491	21.4	604	25.5
Strongly Agree	124	4.9	107	5.0	142	6.1
Valid Total	**2313**	**100.0**	**2061**	**100.0**	**2292**	**100.0**
No answer	72	2.8	43	1.6	36	1.4
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q10. **What is your age?**

Table 87 Age

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
18-20	12	1.3	20	2.7	21	2.4
21-24	64	7.4	57	7.6	73	8.6
25-44	613	36.4	540	34.3	612	32.8
45-64	814	34.5	779	35.4	854	36.1
65 and older	840	20.4	691	20.0	741	20.1
Valid Total	**2343**	**100.0**	**2087**	**100.0**	**2301**	**100.0**
No answer	42	2.0	17	0.8	27	1.1
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q11. **Gender**

Table 88 Gender

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Male	902	49.2	686	49.4	765	49.3
Female	1418	50.8	1379	50.6	1515	50.7
Valid Total	**2376**	**100.0**	**2065**	**100.0**	**2280**	**100.0**
Other	9	0.4	5	0.4	8	0.7
No answer	56	2.6	34	1.5	40	1.5
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q12. **Which of the following represent your race or ethnic background?** (Mark all that apply.)

Table 89 Race/Ethnic Background

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Caucasian*	2161	92.8	1915	89.8	2081	86.2
Black or African American*	44	3.0	22	1.6	24	1.4
American Indian or Alaska Native*	56	2.3	47	3.2	78	5.2
Asian*	25	1.6	36	2.7	37	2.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	6	0.3	5	0.3	3	0.2
Other (please specify)	70	2.7	80	3.9	64	3.3
Valid Total	**2310**	**100.0**	**2096**	**100.0**	**2322**	**100.0**
No answer	75	2.9	8	0.3	6	0.2
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q13. **Are you of Hispanic origin?**

Table 90 Hispanic Origin

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Yes	33	1.6	38	3.0	32	2.0
No	2258	98.4	2009	97.0	2210	98.0
Valid Total	**2291**	**100.0**	**2047**	**100.0**	**2242**	**100.0**
Don't know	61	1.6	21	0.7	26	1.4
No answer	33	2.7	36	1.2	60	2.6
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q14. **Which one of the following best describes your employment status?**

Table 91 Employment

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Full time employed	1131	59.3	1025	58.2	1134	58.7
Part time employed	250	10.1	213	10.2	261	10.8
Full time with second job	58	3.2	32	1.8	42	2.1
Not employed – Looking for a job	35	1.9	27	1.8	37	2.3
Not employed – Not looking for a job	836	25.4	782	28.0	784	26.0
Valid Total	**2310**	**100.0**	**2079**	**100.0**	**2258**	**100.0**
No answer	75	2.9	25	1.0	70	2.9
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Q15. **In which sector of the economy are you currently employed?** (If not currently working, check category of last employment)

Table 92 Employment Sector

	Statewide					
	2019*		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
Agriculture	269	9.5	193	9.3	281	14.7
Manufacturing	96	5.3	69	4.2	94	5.6
Transportation/ Utilities	110	5.5	90	5.5	93	5.2
Wholesale	21	1.3	20	0.8	16	1.0
Retail	192	9.0	179	9.6	156	6.9
Finance and Real Estate	75	3.7	67	4.0	70	4.0
Business and Repair Services	75	3.4	56	3.6	67	3.3
Professional	352	17.3	335	16.6	346	14.7
Government	191	9.8	198	10.1	194	8.6
Leisure and Hospitality	53	2.6	43	2.4	44	2.2
Education	276	10.6	239	10.6	295	12.8
Other (please specify)	482	22.0	496	23.3	482	21.1
Valid Total	**2192**	**100.0**	**1985**	**100.0**	**2138**	**100.0**
No answer	193	6.0	119	4.1	190	6.8
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

*Statewide differences between 2015 and 2019 are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q16. **How many children live in your home?**

Table 93 Children

	Statewide					
	2019		2017		2015	
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %
0	1590	64.3	1449	66.4	1636	66.5
1	224	11.9	213	11.5	250	12.2
2	249	13.6	210	12.6	246	11.5
3	122	6.8	101	5.2	117	5.7
4	47	2.4	49	3.1	51	3.0
5	10	0.5	11	0.6	12	0.5
6	7	0.3	6	0.3	4	0.2
7	1	0.0	3	0.2	11	0.3
8	6	0.2	1	0.0	1	0.0
9	1	0.0	1	0.0	0	0.0
11			1	0.1	0	0.0
Valid Total	**2257**	**100.0**	**2045**	**100.0**	**2328**	**100.0**
No answer	128	4.7	59	1.9	0	0.0
Total Count	**2385**		**2104**		**2328**	

Comments. **Do you have any comments that you want to share?**

Responses to all open-ended question are available in the separate appendices document for this report.

State-wide and Population Density Area Estimates

In the following tables using weighted data, the percentage distributions of responses to all questions on the survey are presented for each of the three density areas—urban, rural, and frontier, and for the state overall side by side. Data from 2015, 2017, and 2019 are present in the tables. Raw frequency counts are presented for the state level data only. Where statistically significant differences are found between population density areas in the 2019 data ($p < 0.05$; overall Pearson Chi-square test performed), a notation is present.

All items are presented in the order the questions were asked of respondents; question text is presented verbatim.

The three population density areas were defined using the following criteria.

3. If the respondent lived in a county that had a population density of less than six people per square mile then they were considered to have a Frontier address.
4. Respondents who lived in counties with population densities of 6 or more people per square mile were classified as living at a Rural or Urban address.
    a. Cities with populations of 15,000 people or more were considered to be Urban. These cities included: Minot, Grand Forks City, Fargo, West Fargo, Jamestown, Bismarck, Mandan, Dickinson, and Williston. Respondents with zip codes in these cities are considered to have an Urban address.
    b. People living outside of the identified cities or who lived in these higher density counties are considered to have a Rural address.

The following approach was used in declaring missing values. For all questions, No answer/Refused and Don't know/Not sure responses are excluded from the valid percent calculations. However, their proportion in the total number of responses is presented as a percentage for each item on the survey in the same table as the valid percent distributions.

Q1. **In your opinion how much of a problem is the use of each of the following substances in your community among adults and among youth?**

Alcohol – Adults:

Table 94 Alcohol Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	191	11.2	172	10.4	191	9.2	9.5	11.2	7.8	11.5	10.7	10.2	11.6	8.1	13.9
Minor problem	323	16.8	276	16.2	325	17.0	16.1	17.3	15.6	19.1	15.6	14.6	20.9	20.9	20.3
Moderate problem	950	43.2	879	43.6	990	44.9	45.0	43.4	44.7	43.1	44.5	46.5	45.8	47.9	47.8
Serious problem	663	28.8	594	29.7	669	28.9	29.4	28.0	31.9	26.3	29.3	28.8	21.7	23.0	18.0
Valid Total	**2127**	**100.0**	**1921**	**100.0**	**2175**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	185	7.4	155	6.1	178	6.1	6.4	5.6	5.7	9.2	8.9	5.4	6.5	8.1	8.3
No answer	16	0.4	28	0.9	32	1.2	0.2	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.2	1.0	1.5	1.4
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Alcohol – Youth:

Table 95 Alcohol Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	105	8.1	98	7.5	106	7.0	5.4	8.9	6.7	10.1	6.7	6.4	10.5	5.3	7.9
Minor problem	213	13.8	202	13.5	277	16.6	12.7	12.5	15.1	11.3	13.5	18.3	20.0	19.1	19.9
Moderate problem	764	40.5	693	40.3	759	41.9	42.2	40.1	40.6	42.5	41.8	40.1	38.8	43.1	45.1
Serious problem	784	37.6	725	38.8	715	34.4	39.7	38.6	37.6	36.1	38.0	35.3	30.8	32.5	27.1
Valid Total	**1866**	**100.0**	**1718**	**100.0**	**1857**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	310	13.3	261	11.7	332	13.1	12.2	11.9	12.5	15.0	11.0	10.5	13.5	15.7	16.9
No answer	152	5.6	125	5.2	196	7.5	5.3	4.8	6.6	5.6	7.0	8.9	6.7	5.5	10.0
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Tobacco – Adults:

Table 96 Tobacco Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	209	11.1	183	11.4	213	10.3	9.2	12.1	9.7	13.3	10.2	11.8	13.3	11.8	12.4
Minor problem	426	22.0	370	19.8	445	22.2	21.3	20.6	20.0	23.1	20.1	20.6	24.7	22.0	29.6
Moderate problem	911	41.2	811	42.0	903	40.6	43.2	39.3	39.6	41.0	44.5	45.4	39.5	45.6	41.0
Serious problem	535	25.8	523	26.8	609	26.8	26.3	28.0	30.6	22.6	25.1	22.2	22.6	20.5	17.1
Valid Total	**2081**	**100.0**	**1887**	**100.0**	**2170**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	197	7.2	159	6.3	175	6.2	7.0	5.9	5.3	7.3	8.7	6.7	7.1	6.8	7.7
No answer	50	1.8	58	2.7	40	1.5	1.5	2.3	1.5	2.4	1.5	1.3	2.1	3.8	1.3
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Tobacco – Youth:

Table 97 Tobacco Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	124	8.8	111	8.0	117	6.7	5.9	8.7	6.1	9.6	6.3	8.6	13.9	9.8	8.8
Minor problem	353	22.6	319	19.8	311	18.0	20.3	17.5	15.6	21.8	19.4	18.9	27.9	30.2	26.4
Moderate problem	752	39.4	685	41.6	656	34.1	44.0	40.4	32.2	37.7	42.3	36.7	34.8	36.9	37.1
Serious problem	588	29.3	577	30.6	821	41.3	29.8	33.3	46.1	31.0	32.1	35.8	23.3	23.1	27.8
Valid Total	**1817**	**100.0**	**1692**	**100.0**	**1905**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	340	14.0	266	12.0	299	11.3	12.7	10.6	9.9	13.3	13.4	11.7	15.4	15.0	17.6
No answer	171	6.3	146	6.4	181	7.0	6.1	5.4	6.1	7.3	7.3	8.6	6.7	7.4	9.7
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Marijuana – Adults:

Table 98 Marijuana Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	313	22.2	320	24.3	376	23.8	19.7	26.2	24.0	21.6	23.8	18.8	27.9	18.8	26.9
Minor problem	417	26.4	387	27.4	471	27.3	26.8	26.7	26.4	25.2	25.4	27.2	30.7	35.1	28.7
Moderate problem	516	30.6	477	29.9	523	28.4	32.5	27.4	28.4	30.4	29.8	29.3	25.6	31.8	25.1
Serious problem	396	20.8	327	18.3	428	20.5	21.0	19.7	21.2	22.7	21.0	24.7	15.8	14.3	19.4
Valid Total	**1642**	**100.0**	**1511**	**100.0**	**1798**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	647	24.4	552	22.5	546	18.4	21.6	20.1	16.3	27.4	28.0	20.9	28.6	27.4	26.7
No answer	39	1.4	41	1.3	41	1.3	1.4	1.2	0.9	1.4	1.5	2.0	1.5	2.4	1.8
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Marijuana – Youth:

Table 99 Marijuana Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	153	13.6	172	14.2	193	14.8	10.6	17.7	15.3	14.9	9.0	11.7	19.5	12.8	14.4
Minor problem	281	20.1	274	21.5	316	19.9	20.3	17.5	17.9	18.2	25.5	25.0	23.1	31.5	24.4
Moderate problem	492	31.1	464	33.0	557	34.0	32.2	31.5	35.2	28.7	35.3	31.7	31.6	31.9	30.9
Serious problem	581	35.2	490	31.3	546	31.4	36.9	33.3	31.6	38.3	30.2	31.6	25.8	23.8	30.3
Valid Total	**1507**	**100.0**	**1400**	**100.0**	**1612**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	665	26.7	575	24.5	583	21.0	23.2	22.7	18.9	28.0	27.1	22.5	31.4	30.8	28.9
No answer	156	5.6	129	5.4	190	7.2	5.4	4.6	6.2	7.1	8.2	9.4	6.1	5.6	9.6
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Inhalants – Adults:

Table 100 Inhalants Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	494	48.6	409	41.1	543	43.8	41.5	39.2	38.9	49.4	44.3	49.6	66.7	55.4	65.6
Minor problem	355	30.5	354	34.1	386	33.6	32.3	34.5	34.9	32.2	30.9	30.9	23.3	30.7	26.6
Moderate problem	184	13.4	171	15.5	193	15.0	17.4	16.0	17.4	12.5	16.7	10.8	7.2	7.0	4.6
Serious problem	98	7.4	105	9.4	109	7.6	8.8	10.3	8.8	5.9	8.1	8.7	2.8	6.9	3.1
Valid Total	**1131**	**100.0**	**1039**	**100.0**	**1231**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1159	46.8	1017	46.1	1115	43.1	45.4	42.9	42.6	47.4	47.1	40.4	48.3	52.1	48.1
No answer	38	1.6	48	1.8	39	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.2	1.8	1.8	1.4	1.0	2.6	1.0
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Inhalants – Youth:

Table 101 Inhalants Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	271	32.2	243	29.2	306	29.5	24.9	26.3	25.1	32.9	31.7	36.4	51.2	41.6	47.1
Minor problem	321	31.0	306	31.8	364	33.1	28.4	31.7	33.8	29.8	28.7	31.3	32.7	34.4	36.3
Moderate problem	285	22.1	250	22.1	264	22.5	28.6	23.5	24.8	24.5	24.4	16.7	10.3	15.7	12.4
Serious problem	174	14.8	190	17.0	182	14.9	18.1	18.4	16.3	12.9	15.3	15.5	5.7	8.3	4.1
Valid Total	**1051**	**100.0**	**989**	**100.0**	**1116**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1121	46.4	991	46.0	1076	41.9	45.0	43.2	40.4	46.3	44.7	39.6	47.6	53.1	50.3
No answer	156	5.7	124	5.2	193	7.5	5.5	4.4	6.8	6.8	7.6	8.9	6.1	5.6	9.4
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Cocaine – Adults:

Table 102 Cocaine Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	375	36.7	326	30.5	382	30.1	26.5	26.0	23.1	43.6	36.3	36.0	56.6	43.8	53.4
Minor problem	326	28.1	282	24.8	336	27.7	29.8	25.5	29.5	24.7	27.3	27.5	28.1	23.3	20.2
Moderate problem	257	19.9	275	24.2	298	22.2	24.5	25.1	23.7	18.3	20.6	20.9	11.3	19.4	17.2
Serious problem	239	15.3	286	20.5	293	20.1	19.3	23.4	23.8	13.3	15.8	15.6	4.0	13.5	9.2
Valid Total	**1197**	**100.0**	**1169**	**100.0**	**1309**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1099	45.1	902	40.5	1038	40.2	41.7	36.1	38.9	48.2	45.4	41.7	48.6	46.9	44.9
No answer	32	1.3	33	1.0	38	1.3	1.2	0.9	1.0	1.6	1.0	1.9	1.0	2.0	1.6
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Cocaine – Youth:

Table 103 Cocaine Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	300	36.8	274	31.0	346	35.8	27.2	26.8	29.3	41.1	31.6	41.8	56.7	46.3	57.8
Minor problem	297	27.7	268	26.5	285	27.5	30.9	28.0	29.7	22.8	24.7	28.2	27.2	26.4	15.0
Moderate problem	239	21.7	231	21.0	229	18.3	23.8	20.6	20.3	22.9	25.9	14.4	11.0	14.3	14.6
Serious problem	198	13.7	267	21.6	219	18.4	18.1	24.5	20.7	13.2	17.8	15.5	5.1	13.0	12.6
Valid Total	**1034**	**100.0**	**1040**	**100.0**	**1079**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1139	46.9	940	43.0	1116	43.8	44.3	40.4	42.4	48.4	46.4	44.0	51.1	48.0	50.1
No answer	155	5.9	124	5.2	190	7.4	5.6	4.5	6.4	6.9	7.5	8.4	6.1	6.0	9.9
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Heroin – Adults:

Table 104 Heroin Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	386	36.2	287	24.1	370	26.7	26.7	18.6	20.0	43.8	32.7	36.6	61.8	43.4	54.8
Minor problem	287	25.5	249	21.3	283	23.1	26.0	21.0	22.7	26.8	23.5	22.6	25.9	21.7	24.1
Moderate problem	230	19.4	242	19.3	281	22.5	23.7	19.7	25.1	16.5	18.0	21.3	5.3	14.7	10.4
Serious problem	262	18.9	453	35.4	368	27.6	23.6	40.7	32.1	12.9	25.7	19.5	7.0	20.2	10.7
Valid Total	**1165**	**100.0**	**1231**	**100.0**	**1302**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1129	46.2	839	36.6	1039	39.3	42.1	31.0	36.9	50.0	45.0	42.9	52.4	46.9	47.1
No answer	34	1.2	34	1.1	44	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.4	2.0	0.8	2.0	0.7	1.5	1.1
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Heroin – Youth:

Table 105 Heroin Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	318	37.9	235	24.4	331	31.6	28.6	19.4	25.5	46.0	29.3	42.4	60.6	44.9	57.5
Minor problem	258	26.1	252	23.8	266	25.6	27.1	22.7	26.2	23.3	26.8	26.5	26.3	24.2	20.7
Moderate problem	225	20.6	228	19.4	205	18.1	24.8	20.6	19.7	19.5	17.2	14.1	7.8	15.7	10.8
Serious problem	190	15.4	377	32.3	281	24.7	19.4	37.2	28.6	11.2	26.7	17.0	5.3	15.2	10.9
Valid Total	**991**	**100.0**	**1092**	**100.0**	**1083**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1174	48.2	891	40.3	1110	43.2	45.0	36.2	41.3	50.5	45.1	45.0	53.2	48.5	51.0
No answer	163	6.3	121	5.1	192	7.4	5.8	4.3	6.6	6.7	7.1	8.5	6.4	5.3	9.7
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Ecstasy – Adults:

Table 106 Ecstasy Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	411	44.4	352	37.6	443	41.8	33.3	32.9	33.1	52.2	43.0	52.6	67.1	56.1	67.5
Minor problem	283	27.5	258	28.6	315	30.2	32.4	29.0	33.7	22.7	24.9	26.6	18.5	22.3	18.5
Moderate problem	170	16.1	184	18.4	199	17.8	18.4	20.8	21.6	13.8	15.9	11.2	9.6	12.5	8.7
Serious problem	154	12.0	182	15.4	127	10.2	15.9	17.3	11.6	11.3	16.3	9.6	4.8	9.1	5.4
Valid Total	**1018**	**100.0**	**976**	**100.0**	**1084**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1264	50.5	1085	48.1	1249	48.1	47.2	44.4	46.8	52.9	51.7	50.0	55.2	53.9	53.4
No answer	46	2.3	43	1.4	52	1.8	1.5	1.5	1.6	3.1	1.3	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.8
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Ecstasy – Youth:

Table 107 Ecstasy Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	293	37.9	240	30.6	317	34.6	27.5	25.6	28.5	43.3	32.9	47.7	59.5	48.2	57.4
Minor problem	242	26.6	231	26.5	272	29.0	28.3	26.4	30.1	23.0	27.7	27.7	21.6	24.4	24.5
Moderate problem	191	18.9	206	21.8	209	22.2	23.5	24.5	26.3	17.0	17.2	12.2	8.2	16.3	7.5
Serious problem	190	16.5	216	21.0	160	14.2	20.7	23.5	15.1	16.6	22.2	12.4	10.7	11.1	10.6
Valid Total	**916**	**100.0**	**893**	**100.0**	**958**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1230	49.7	1079	48.3	1221	47.6	46.2	45.3	46.5	51.9	51.2	48.2	52.9	54.5	53.9
No answer	182	6.9	132	5.3	206	8.1	6.0	4.7	7.0	8.7	7.2	9.1	7.6	5.6	11.1
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Methamphetamine – Adults:

Table 108 Methamphetamine Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	236	18.3	190	12.8	219	12.6	12.1	13.0	9.4	19.3	14.2	13.3	29.6	16.1	24.9
Minor problem	194	11.6	141	9.3	220	13.1	10.6	9.1	11.6	11.7	10.7	13.9	18.1	13.0	19.8
Moderate problem	398	24.7	372	26.3	423	24.8	27.0	23.4	23.7	26.5	28.3	26.3	23.9	31.4	25.1
Serious problem	786	45.4	801	51.6	879	49.4	50.4	54.5	55.3	42.4	46.9	46.6	28.5	39.5	30.3
Valid Total	**1614**	**100.0**	**1504**	**100.0**	**1741**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	671	28.3	561	23.8	592	22.1	22.6	20.0	19.5	27.7	27.7	21.3	35.7	34.7	30.3
No answer	43	1.8	39	1.3	52	1.9	1.7	1.3	1.7	1.9	1.1	2.0	1.5	2.2	1.8
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Methamphetamine – Youth:

Table 109 Methamphetamine Use in Community as a Problem Among youth by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	180	18.6	151	14.0	198	16.6	12.6	13.6	12.7	19.4	13.8	20.3	30.4	19.2	27.0
Minor problem	205	15.0	177	13.8	264	21.1	16.5	13.5	20.9	11.8	15.9	17.4	19.6	19.7	23.6
Moderate problem	345	24.1	322	24.6	327	22.4	25.6	24.6	22.5	24.9	24.9	24.1	25.5	25.9	19.3
Serious problem	629	42.3	653	47.5	631	39.9	45.2	48.2	44.0	43.9	45.4	38.1	24.4	35.2	30.0
Valid Total	**1359**	**100.0**	**1303**	**100.0**	**1420**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	791	33.8	674	30.9	768	29.9	30.3	28.1	28.0	33.9	32.7	28.1	38.8	42.0	40.5
No answer	178	6.6	127	5.4	197	7.8	6.3	4.7	6.8	7.1	7.1	8.8	7.6	6.0	9.9
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Over-the-Counter Drugs – Adults:

Table 110 Over-the-Counter Drugs Use in Community as a Problem Among adults by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	295	23.9	231	18.4	296	18.2	18.9	16.5	14.5	25.4	20.0	21.0	33.1	23.9	32.6
Minor problem	339	24.5	288	21.1	355	22.5	23.9	21.8	22.5	20.7	20.1	24.1	29.8	26.6	25.8
Moderate problem	447	28.2	418	30.9	525	34.1	33.2	31.1	35.6	26.2	26.5	28.1	23.8	29.4	27.3
Serious problem	359	23.4	410	29.7	394	25.2	24.0	30.6	27.3	27.7	33.4	26.8	13.3	20.2	14.2
Valid Total	**1440**	**100.0**	**1347**	**100.0**	**1570**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	858	36.2	726	32.3	775	28.2	31.8	26.8	26.9	37.6	38.1	28.9	40.1	42.1	37.6
No answer	30	0.9	31	0.9	40	1.5	1.3	0.9	1.1	0.8	0.7	2.0	0.7	1.9	1.4
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Over-the-Counter Drugs – Youth:

Table 111 Over-the-Counter Drugs Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	211	21.6	179	17.0	221	17.1	15.9	15.3	13.5	22.4	17.3	21.7	33.2	23.7	28.9
Minor problem	288	23.2	253	21.1	343	25.0	22.3	19.0	24.2	22.0	20.2	27.9	28.9	33.2	31.4
Moderate problem	396	28.2	381	31.2	424	32.1	33.2	31.7	34.7	27.4	30.0	25.0	23.5	27.4	23.3
Serious problem	360	27.0	397	30.7	348	25.8	28.6	34.0	27.5	28.2	32.4	25.4	14.4	15.7	16.3
Valid Total	**1255**	**100.0**	**1210**	**100.0**	**1336**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	913	37.8	777	34.9	852	32.0	34.1	29.9	30.5	39.1	38.0	31.3	42.6	45.9	42.7
No answer	160	5.4	117	4.7	197	7.4	5.7	4.2	6.5	6.0	6.9	8.7	6.2	4.8	10.0
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Prescription Drugs – Adults:

Table 112 Prescription Drugs Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	283	21.3	213	15.8	250	14.1	16.0	13.7	10.0	20.8	17.5	18.0	31.7	23.6	27.5
Minor problem	276	18.2	208	14.8	324	19.2	19.8	14.3	18.0	16.7	16.0	20.0	24.8	17.9	30.7
Moderate problem	484	30.7	420	28.1	522	31.5	33.4	28.2	33.3	28.2	22.7	28.3	25.8	33.8	23.1
Serious problem	485	29.8	591	41.3	582	35.2	30.8	43.8	38.7	34.3	43.8	33.8	17.7	24.8	18.7
Valid Total	**1528**	**100.0**	**1432**	**100.0**	**1678**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	775	32.2	647	28.1	674	24.5	27.7	24.4	21.6	32.5	33.8	26.6	38.4	35.3	37.7
No answer	25	1.1	25	0.9	33	1.3	1.2	0.8	1.1	0.6	0.7	1.3	0.6	1.7	1.2
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Prescription Drugs – Youth:

Table 113 Prescription Drugs Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	235	23.4	175	15.2	233	17.5	16.3	12.6	14.2	22.5	19.5	21.0	38.1	25.4	30.3
Minor problem	285	21.7	256	21.5	310	22.8	23.6	20.1	21.8	21.0	18.6	24.2	24.5	31.9	31.7
Moderate problem	381	28.0	329	26.2	404	28.7	31.0	27.3	31.2	25.8	24.5	24.4	23.7	22.3	22.2
Serious problem	372	26.8	465	37.1	409	30.9	29.1	40.1	32.8	30.7	37.4	30.4	13.8	20.3	15.7
Valid Total	**1273**	**100.0**	**1225**	**100.0**	**1356**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	902	36.9	757	33.4	834	31.4	33.2	30.1	28.8	37.4	35.0	32.8	41.6	44.0	44.5
No answer	153	5.5	122	4.9	195	7.5	5.6	4.3	6.7	5.9	7.0	9.2	6.2	5.7	9.8
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Synthetic Drugs – Adults:

Table 114 Synthetic Drugs Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	412	44.9	343	37.7	402	39.6	35.1	32.9	32.4	47.0	39.5	47.1	69.7	56.9	68.5
Minor problem	255	25.0	269	31.2	257	25.9	29.9	29.2	27.0	22.7	33.5	25.9	18.6	23.9	18.9
Moderate problem	183	16.0	171	17.5	173	16.4	21.8	20.7	19.1	19.7	14.8	10.5	6.1	13.0	7.4
Serious problem	145	14.1	146	13.6	198	18.1	13.1	17.2	21.5	10.7	12.2	16.4	5.6	6.2	5.2
Valid Total	**995**	**100.0**	**929**	**100.0**	**1030**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1304	52.7	1138	51.6	1241	48.3	50.3	45.8	47.3	56.6	56.1	50.3	54.5	57.3	55.7
No answer	29	1.0	37	1.2	114	3.5	1.2	1.3	2.5	0.8	1.5	5.3	0.7	1.8	5.4
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Synthetic Drugs – Youth:

Table 115 Synthetic Drugs Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	318	41.4	268	34.0	415	42.2	30.9	30.6	35.4	45.4	33.9	49.9	68.1	56.0	68.2
Minor problem	221	23.9	227	28.5	245	25.6	24.8	27.0	26.8	22.0	28.1	24.0	17.5	23.6	19.2
Moderate problem	190	18.8	177	20.2	165	16.5	24.4	21.5	20.1	22.2	20.9	9.8	8.3	14.0	6.1
Serious problem	167	15.9	166	17.4	170	15.7	19.9	21.0	17.7	10.4	17.1	16.3	6.1	6.4	6.5
Valid Total	**896**	**100.0**	**838**	**100.0**	**995**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1284	51.8	1137	52.6	1264	48.5	50.4	47.9	47.9	55.9	55.6	49.6	53.0	57.8	56.1
No answer	148	5.6	129	5.2	126	5.5	6.0	4.8	5.3	6.4	7.5	5.6	6.1	6.3	6.5
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Intravenous (IV) Drugs – Adults:

Table 116 Intravenous (IV) Drugs Use in Community as a Problem Among Adults by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	317	43.7	289	36.8	414	36.4	34.3	31.2	29.9	49.9	36.9	44.7	68.5	58.9	63.7
Minor problem	204	22.4	257	30.7	300	29.0	29.2	31.3	30.8	19.8	29.6	27.4	21.1	21.9	22.5
Moderate problem	190	19.6	181	18.7	225	21.2	21.7	21.4	23.7	14.4	20.9	15.5	5.6	10.1	9.6
Serious problem	155	14.4	143	13.8	150	13.3	14.7	16.0	15.6	16.0	12.6	12.4	4.9	9.1	4.2
Valid Total	**866**	**100.0**	**870**	**100.0**	**1089**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1302	52.0	1120	52.0	1257	47.4	49.7	46.1	46.4	54.2	56.2	50.7	56.5	57.1	55.8
No answer	160	6.1	114	3.7	39	1.5	1.2	3.7	1.2	0.6	5.3	2.2	0.7	5.2	1.0
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Intravenous (IV) Drugs – Youth:

Table 117 Intravenous (IV) Drugs Use in Community as a Problem Among Youth by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	411	45.3	303	34.8	299	31.2	31.8	28.1	25.5	42.7	38.9	43.4	65.0	58.4	55.1
Minor problem	251	25.1	208	23.6	245	27.0	26.0	22.9	28.8	24.7	24.5	19.3	19.6	18.0	27.1
Moderate problem	186	17.8	207	21.0	246	24.4	22.8	23.5	26.9	19.4	22.6	22.3	6.8	12.3	8.6
Serious problem	136	11.8	213	20.7	168	17.3	19.4	25.5	18.8	13.1	14.1	14.9	8.6	11.3	9.2
Valid Total	**984**	**100.0**	**931**	**100.0**	**958**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1313	52.5	1112	50.5	1235	47.0	48.6	46.0	45.7	53.3	55.8	49.7	56.5	55.7	56.7
No answer	31	1.1	61	3.3	192	7.4	5.6	2.6	6.3	6.4	3.9	9.3	5.2	3.0	9.9
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q2. **In your opinion how much of a problem is each of the following in your community?**

Q2a. **Contribution of drug and alcohol use to crashes or injuries (such as automobile, hunting, boating, snowmobiling)**

Table 118 Contribution of Drugs/Alcohol to Crashes/Injuries by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	120	7.2	93	5.4	127	5.8	5.0	5.4	3.7	7.3	5.9	7.2	12.5	7.8	13.8
Minor problem	330	18.4	297	18.7	392	19.2	15.1	14.5	15.5	20.5	23.4	24.2	26.4	29.1	33.8
Moderate problem	734	35.2	728	39.3	784	37.2	37.2	40.3	39.0	37.4	39.4	38.6	30.1	38.7	32.5
Serious problem	876	39.3	706	36.6	777	37.8	42.7	39.9	41.8	34.7	31.3	30.1	31.1	24.4	19.9
Valid Total	**2060**	**100.0**	**1824**	**100.0**	**2080**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	247	10.8	257	10.9	280	9.1	8.3	9.5	7.8	14.7	10.6	12.0	9.3	15.8	13.5
No answer	21	0.6	23	0.7	25	1.1	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.5	1.1	0.4	1.3	1.3
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q2b. **Contribution of drug and alcohol use to crimes**

Table 119 Contribution of Drugs/Alcohol to Crimes by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	130	8.4	101	5.9	111	5.2	4.8	5.7	3.5	9.5	6.8	6.4	17.5	9.1	11.7
Minor problem	240	12.9	195	12.8	243	13.5	10.2	10.1	9.7	12.7	16.9	15.5	22.2	17.6	25.4
Moderate problem	632	32.9	568	33.1	716	35.0	35.1	32.5	35.5	38.0	33.9	37.0	29.3	38.5	38.4
Serious problem	992	45.9	939	48.2	970	46.3	49.9	51.6	51.3	39.8	42.4	41.2	31.0	34.8	24.6
Valid Total	**1994**	**100.0**	**1803**	**100.0**	**2040**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	307	13.1	274	11.7	315	11.2	9.7	10.8	9.4	16.2	11.8	13.1	16.0	16.6	17.0
No answer	27	1.0	27	0.8	30	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.5	1.3
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q2c. Contribution of drug and alcohol use to health problems, including cancer, heart disease, and liver disease

Table 120 Contribution of Drugs/Alcohol to Health Problems by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	135	9.5	103	7.2	124	6.2	7.2	6.8	4.2	9.9	8.8	8.4	14.1	9.6	12.6
Minor problem	288	18.1	218	14.9	287	16.3	16.0	14.0	13.8	15.1	16.2	17.4	28.3	17.9	24.8
Moderate problem	651	38.7	605	38.6	719	39.6	42.1	38.4	39.9	40.4	36.0	38.1	29.1	42.9	44.6
Serious problem	632	33.7	656	39.4	690	37.9	34.7	40.8	42.0	34.6	39.1	36.2	28.5	29.5	18.1
Valid Total	**1706**	**100.0**	**1582**	**100.0**	**1820**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	590	23.4	489	20.7	541	18.4	21.5	18.7	18.0	25.4	21.6	19.1	23.3	25.0	25.4
No answer	32	1.2	33	1.4	24	1.0	1.2	1.2	0.5	0.9	1.1	1.7	0.6	1.4	1.4
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q2d. Contribution of drug use to the spread of chronic diseases, such as HIV and hepatitis

Table 121 Contribution of Drug Use to the Spread of Disease by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not a problem	257	22.4	176	14.9	219	14.1	15.0	11.8	8.4	26.5	19.1	20.9	45.9	27.7	36.5
Minor problem	330	25.2	269	24.1	366	26.8	29.1	23.2	26.5	22.8	26.7	26.5	21.8	25.8	33.8
Moderate problem	402	30.8	453	34.5	474	33.8	33.7	34.2	36.4	29.6	33.2	27.6	17.8	29.1	19.8
Serious problem	313	21.6	379	26.6	378	25.3	22.2	30.8	28.7	21.1	21.0	24.9	14.5	17.4	9.8
Valid Total	**1302**	**100.0**	**1277**	**100.0**	**1437**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	997	39.4	800	36.4	920	34.3	36.1	32.4	31.9	42.6	39.8	37.6	46.3	40.8	43.8
No answer	29	0.9	27	0.8	28	1.0	1.1	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.6	1.1	0.7	1.3	1.2
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q3. **To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?**

Q3a. **It is okay for youth to drink at parties as long as they don't get drunk**

Table 122 Okay for Youth to Drink at Parties Without Getting Drunk by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	1249	48.7	1118	48.5	1197	46.3	46.4	47.7	46.8	55.6	48.7	52.9	50.9	49.3	42.6
Disagree	764	33.2	652	31.4	819	34.3	35.4	30.7	33.9	27.6	32.1	33.8	33.9	33.1	39.7
Neither Agree nor Disagree	207	12.0	217	13.4	223	11.9	12.3	13.7	11.7	11.6	12.1	7.9	9.6	13.3	12.7
Agree	79	4.7	80	5.0	97	6.5	4.6	5.4	6.5	3.2	7.0	4.1	5.4	3.7	4.5
Strongly Agree	23	1.4	24	1.7	25	1.1	1.3	2.5	1.1	2.1	0.2	1.3	0.2	0.6	0.6
Valid Total	**2322**	**100.0**	**2091**	**100.0**	**2361**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	6	0.3	13	0.4	24	1.0	0.3	0.2	1.1	0.1	0.9	0.5	0.0	0.8	0.9
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q3b. **Youth should be able to drink as long as they don't drive afterwards**

Table 123 Okay for Youth to Drink if they Don't Drive by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	1320	51.4	1168	51.8	1279	50.1	50.0	50.2	50.3	57.0	51.2	55.0	53.2	52.7	48.4
Disagree	717	32.9	619	29.4	753	32.4	33.3	30.7	31.3	29.7	29.6	34.6	31.8	29.1	35.4
Neither Agree nor Disagree	155	8.9	158	10.0	176	9.3	9.6	9.1	10.2	7.1	11.6	5.4	8.8	9.8	8.6
Agree	80	4.6	103	6.6	112	7.1	5.1	7.1	6.7	3.4	6.4	4.1	4.8	7.9	7.1
Strongly Agree	34	2.3	30	2.3	25	1.1	1.9	3.0	1.5	2.9	1.1	1.0	1.3	0.5	0.4
Valid Total	**2306**	**100.0**	**2078**	**100.0**	**2345**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	22	0.7	26	0.9	40	1.5	0.7	0.5	1.3	0.7	1.8	1.5	0.4	1.0	2.1
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q3c. **It is okay for youth to smoke cigarettes**

Table 124 Okay for Youth to Smoke Cigarettes by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	1485	61.2	1328	62.0	1549	65.3	60.3	61.8	64.9	63.4	61.5	66.7	65.3	62.0	62.7
Disagree	676	30.8	611	30.8	670	28.8	32.0	29.4	28.1	28.0	32.7	29.1	29.6	29.0	31.9
Neither Agree nor Disagree	109	6.0	111	5.6	102	4.7	5.8	6.3	5.4	6.2	4.6	3.5	4.5	8.1	3.8
Agree	19	1.0	16	0.8	14	0.7	1.4	1.2	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.9
Strongly Agree	16	0.9	16	0.8	18	0.5	0.6	1.3	0.7	1.6	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.6
Valid Total	**2305**	**100.0**	**2082**	**100.0**	**2353**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	23	0.8	22	0.9	32	1.1	0.9	0.7	1.1	0.9	1.8	1.0	0.5	1.1	1.4
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q3d. **It is okay for youth to use e-cigarettes**

Table 125 Okay for Youth to Use E-cigarettes by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	1443	58.6	1310	60.3	1543	63.1	56.3	59.9	62.2	62.3	60.1	67.0	63.6	59.7	64.0
Disagree	672	30.5	595	29.3	648	28.8	31.3	29.0	28.3	27.2	31.1	27.1	31.3	29.4	30.4
Neither Agree nor Disagree	140	7.7	130	7.3	125	6.2	8.7	7.0	6.8	7.5	7.3	4.8	3.7	8.4	4.7
Agree	34	2.1	29	2.1	23	1.4	2.7	2.7	1.9	1.5	0.3	0.5	1.0	2.0	0.3
Strongly Agree	20	1.2	19	0.9	19	0.5	0.9	1.4	0.7	1.4	1.2	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.6
Valid Total	**2309**	**100.0**	**2083**	**100.0**	**2358**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	19	0.8	21	0.7	27	1.0	0.7	0.4	0.8	0.6	2.0	1.4	0.6	0.7	1.5
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q3e. **Youth who experiment with alcohol or other drugs almost always grow out of it**

Table 126 Youth Will Grow Out of Experimentation with Alcohol/Drugs by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	1009	41.0	865	38.2	1000	39.3	38.8	37.9	37.9	45.5	37.4	45.8	38.5	39.2	41.0
Disagree	809	34.9	791	38.3	873	37.2	35.8	36.9	37.8	33.8	43.5	35.1	35.7	38.0	35.7
Neither Agree nor Disagree	369	18.0	349	18.4	382	18.8	19.2	19.9	19.1	16.1	14.7	14.5	19.1	18.4	19.0
Agree	94	4.8	65	4.0	77	3.9	5.4	4.3	4.1	3.1	3.2	4.1	5.7	3.5	3.0
Strongly Agree	23	1.3	15	1.0	25	0.8	0.7	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.2	0.5	1.2	0.8	1.3
Valid Total	**2304**	**100.0**	**2085**	**100.0**	**2357**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	24	1.2	19	0.6	28	1.1	1.1	0.6	1.0	0.5	0.9	1.3	0.9	0.8	1.0
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q3f. **It is okay for parents to offer alcoholic beverages in their home to youth (other than their own children)**

Table 127 Okay for Parents to Give Others' Kids Alcohol by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	1539	61.2	1348	59.5	1551	61.9	59.0	58.3	61.3	68.9	57.8	65.8	62.0	62.3	61.3
Disagree	599	28.3	552	28.2	619	27.9	29.6	28.3	27.6	22.2	30.6	28.5	27.0	28.1	29.5
Neither Agree nor Disagree	116	6.8	131	8.8	120	6.4	7.2	8.2	6.6	4.5	9.5	4.0	8.3	7.6	6.3
Agree	48	2.9	44	2.4	39	2.5	3.3	3.6	2.8	3.4	1.6	1.1	2.4	1.8	2.2
Strongly Agree	13	0.7	16	1.1	26	1.3	0.9	1.6	1.8	0.9	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.7
Valid Total	**2315**	**100.0**	**2091**	**100.0**	**2355**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	13	0.5	13	0.4	30	1.2	0.5	0.3	1.1	0.2	0.9	1.2	0.4	0.7	1.0
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q3g. **In my community, drinking among teenagers is acceptable**

Table 128 Teen Drinking Accepted in Community by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	703	29.2	552	25.8	671	26.3	27.3	26.9	27.9	30.7	24.5	25.0	28.7	20.9	22.8
Disagree	709	30.1	638	30.0	734	30.9	30.5	28.7	29.2	31.1	30.3	36.3	29.0	31.7	33.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	442	20.6	489	25.2	463	21.2	23.2	26.3	20.8	16.7	26.2	19.3	18.5	23.8	22.9
Agree	390	17.2	342	16.8	403	18.7	16.0	16.3	19.2	18.8	15.7	16.3	21.1	20.7	18.7
Strongly Agree	60	3.0	50	2.2	68	2.8	3.1	1.8	3.0	2.7	3.3	3.0	2.6	2.9	2.3
Valid Total	**2304**	**100.0**	**2071**	**100.0**	**2339**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	24	0.8	33	1.0	46	1.5	0.9	1.3	1.2	0.6	1.1	1.4	0.7	1.1	3.4
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q3h. **Driving under the influence of drugs/alcohol is okay**

Table 129 Okay to Drive Under the Influence by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	1930	81.0	1738	82.4	1959	83.7	84.2	83.4	85.1	78.9	78.1	79.2	80.6	80.0	78.9
Disagree	326	16.3	286	14.2	340	13.6	13.5	13.5	12.5	18.3	18.5	17.1	15.5	16.3	18.1
Neither Agree nor Disagree	34	1.7	30	2.0	28	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.4	0.9	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.4	0.9
Agree	6	0.4	12	0.6	13	0.5	0.0	0.6	0.3	1.0	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.5	1.2
Strongly Agree	22	0.6	23	0.8	22	0.7	0.6	0.9	0.7	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.9
Valid Total	**2318**	**100.0**	**2089**	**100.0**	**2362**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	10	0.4	15	0.6	23	0.9	0.2	0.5	0.9	0.5	0.9	1.0	0.2	0.7	0.9
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q3i. It is okay to ride in a motor vehicle with someone under the influence of drugs and/or alcohol

Table 130 Okay to Ride with Someone Under the Influence by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	1854	76.7	1692	79.7	1882	79.6	78.5	79.4	80.6	76.6	78.0	77.6	75.8	78.2	74.8
Disagree	382	19.2	311	15.2	397	16.5	17.0	15.0	15.7	21.7	18.3	18.1	18.7	17.6	21.4
Neither Agree nor Disagree	47	2.7	46	3.2	45	2.2	3.4	3.7	1.8	0.5	2.5	2.9	3.3	2.2	2.5
Agree	15	0.8	14	0.7	17	1.0	0.6	0.6	1.0	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.8	0.9
Strongly Agree	18	0.7	25	1.3	18	0.6	0.6	1.3	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.9	1.6	1.2	0.3
Valid Total	**2316**	**100.0**	**2088**	**100.0**	**2359**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	12	0.4	16	0.7	26	1.1	0.4	0.6	1.1	0.4	0.8	1.0	0.2	0.8	1.2
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q3j. Law enforcement should be spending more time enforcing the minimum drinking age

Table 131 More Time Enforcing Minimum Drinking Age by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	140	6.7	124	7.7	150	7.1	6.6	9.0	6.9	4.3	5.8	7.1	8.5	4.0	6.3
Disagree	248	13.0	259	14.8	268	13.7	15.2	16.8	14.5	8.1	15.8	12.5	11.9	12.8	12.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	726	32.1	688	32.6	766	35.2	36.3	34.2	35.8	32.0	29.3	30.3	25.0	34.3	34.8
Agree	846	34.4	725	30.3	832	31.5	29.7	28.2	30.4	40.4	32.8	37.0	38.2	32.6	33.5
Strongly Agree	351	13.7	293	14.7	320	12.4	12.2	11.8	12.4	15.0	16.3	13.1	16.4	16.3	13.2
Valid Total	**2311**	**100.0**	**2089**	**100.0**	**2336**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	17	0.6	15	0.7	49	1.7	0.4	0.7	1.8	1.1	1.1	1.7	0.5	0.6	2.0
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q3k. Schools need to be more active in dealing with alcohol, tobacco, and other drug problems

Table 132 Schools Dealing with Alcohol/Drug Problems by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	112	6.0	95	4.6	91	3.8	5.7	4.5	4.4	4.9	5.1	2.9	6.0	3.7	2.6
Disagree	165	8.5	136	6.7	160	7.5	9.6	6.2	7.8	6.5	9.2	8.4	7.9	9.4	5.8
Neither Agree nor Disagree	489	23.0	445	23.3	505	22.7	22.8	23.7	22.2	24.8	23.6	22.1	22.9	20.8	26.0
Agree	1039	41.6	904	41.9	1059	43.7	41.1	42.7	42.3	43.6	37.3	45.0	40.9	45.0	46.0
Strongly Agree	510	21.0	500	23.4	539	22.2	20.8	22.9	23.3	20.2	24.8	21.6	22.2	21.0	19.6
Valid Total	**2315**	**100.0**	**2080**	**100.0**	**2354**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	13	0.4	24	0.9	31	1.3	0.4	0.8	1.0	0.1	1.3	1.5	0.4	0.7	1.5
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q31. It is possible to reduce alcohol and drug problems through prevention

Table 133 Reduce Alcohol/Drug Problems Through Prevention by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	69	3.2	66	3.7	56	2.4	3.5	4.0	3.0	1.7	4.0	1.3	3.7	2.2	2.0
Disagree	109	4.9	128	6.8	126	6.1	5.7	6.9	6.2	5.2	6.3	6.5	3.7	6.8	5.4
Neither Agree nor Disagree	445	21.7	365	19.0	441	18.8	20.6	19.3	20.2	19.7	20.8	16.6	23.9	17.1	18.6
Agree	1252	52.1	1116	51.4	1250	52.0	51.1	49.7	48.7	54.4	50.1	56.1	52.8	57.1	57.9
Strongly Agree	435	18.0	409	19.1	486	20.7	19.2	20.1	21.8	18.9	18.8	19.6	15.9	16.8	16.1
Valid Total	**2310**	**100.0**	**2084**	**100.0**	**2359**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	18	0.7	20	0.7	26	1.1	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.6	1.0	1.2	0.7	0.8	1.4
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q3m. **Alcohol and other drug prevention programs are a good investment because they save lives and money**

Table 134 Alcohol/Drug Prevention Programs are Good Investment by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	68	3.5	75	4.5	62	2.6	4.0	5.3	3.0	1.9	3.6	1.7	3.3	1.8	2.6
Disagree	96	4.5	100	5.1	113	6.2	4.4	5.7	6.7	5.6	5.2	4.6	4.2	5.5	4.5
Neither Agree nor Disagree	400	20.3	340	18.8	381	17.6	21.2	18.0	18.5	17.8	21.6	13.6	19.2	17.5	21.2
Agree	1185	49.3	1028	48.8	1183	48.5	46.6	47.3	44.5	52.5	48.5	58.5	53.8	51.4	52.8
Strongly Agree	557	22.3	538	22.9	606	25.1	23.7	23.8	27.3	22.3	21.0	21.6	19.5	23.7	18.9
Valid Total	**2306**	**100.0**	**2081**	**100.0**	**2345**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	22	1.1	23	0.7	40	1.5	0.5	0.6	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.1	0.9	2.4
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q3n. The community has the responsibility to set up prevention programs to help people avoid alcohol and other drug problems

Table 135 Alcohol/Drug Prevention Programs are Responsibility of Community by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	75	3.6	72	3.8	64	3.0	4.3	4.2	3.5	1.7	4.1	1.9	3.3	3.0	2.8
Disagree	139	6.2	139	7.1	145	7.4	5.5	5.5	7.3	7.0	9.0	7.5	5.6	9.6	5.6
Neither Agree nor Disagree	592	27.9	545	27.8	625	26.9	25.7	26.7	24.5	30.2	30.2	27.0	30.4	26.2	36.1
Agree	1070	44.4	937	43.5	1075	44.3	45.6	44.6	43.6	43.9	40.0	48.3	44.9	45.9	42.5
Strongly Agree	429	17.8	384	17.7	449	18.5	18.9	18.9	21.0	17.2	16.8	15.3	15.7	15.4	13.0
Valid Total	**2305**	**100.0**	**2077**	**100.0**	**2358**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	23	1.0	27	0.8	27	1.2	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.5	1.0	1.6
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q4. To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

Q4a. Public service announcements are a good way to change attitudes about alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use

Table 136 PSAs Change Attitudes About Alcohol/Drug use by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	95	5.4	89	5.1	80	3.8	6.1	6.5	4.4	4.3	2.2	3.4	2.1	4.1	2.7
Disagree	265	12.7	278	14.9	301	15.1	13.6	17.0	16.1	12.4	13.5	14.2	12.5	14.4	11.4
Neither Agree nor Disagree	640	28.4	579	29.9	684	30.0	30.1	28.6	29.7	25.5	29.7	27.2	28.5	30.2	33.6
Agree	1066	44.1	916	41.4	1066	43.2	41.0	39.9	41.4	48.7	45.2	45.4	47.7	41.0	45.1
Strongly Agree	238	9.4	211	8.8	222	7.9	9.3	7.9	8.5	9.2	9.3	9.9	9.2	10.3	7.2
Valid Total	**2304**	**100.0**	**2073**	**100.0**	**2353**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	24	0.6	31	0.9	32	1.2	0.6	0.8	1.0	0.7	1.3	2.4	0.9	1.4	1.1
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q4b. **Taxes on alcohol should be increased**

Table 137 Taxes on Alcohol Should be Increased by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	248	13.9	213	12.4	234	12.3	13.1	13.7	13.0	14.6	14.7	9.7	15.5	8.5	11.5
Disagree	494	23.4	429	22.5	521	24.4	24.5	24.1	23.8	22.8	22.8	25.5	22.7	20.6	23.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	606	25.3	535	25.4	623	25.6	25.5	24.1	24.1	27.5	24.0	24.4	22.7	28.7	29.9
Agree	601	23.7	564	23.9	612	23.7	23.2	23.3	22.8	20.8	22.0	27.4	25.4	26.4	25.8
Strongly Agree	362	13.7	342	15.8	366	14.0	13.8	14.9	16.3	14.3	16.5	13.0	13.7	15.9	9.5
Valid Total	**2311**	**100.0**	**2083**	**100.0**	**2356**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	17	0.5	21	0.7	29	1.3	0.7	0.6	1.0	0.4	0.9	1.3	0.3	1.5	1.6
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q4c. Taxes on tobacco products should be increased

Table 138 Taxes on Tobacco Products Should be Increased by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	211	11.5	186	10.6	173	8.1	10.1	11.3	8.0	12.0	12.1	8.6	11.7	7.3	8.9
Disagree	349	16.1	293	14.8	359	16.3	16.6	14.9	14.9	14.6	17.2	17.5	16.8	13.6	17.7
Neither Agree nor Disagree	475	20.2	395	17.9	466	18.9	18.9	16.2	17.7	21.8	16.6	20.5	21.4	22.6	22.8
Agree	657	27.4	613	27.7	673	27.5	27.6	27.6	26.2	26.5	25.1	30.3	26.7	30.1	29.0
Strongly Agree	621	24.8	595	29.1	684	29.3	26.8	29.9	33.1	25.1	28.9	23.1	23.5	26.5	21.7
Valid Total	**2313**	**100.0**	**2082**	**100.0**	**2355**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	15	0.6	22	0.8	30	1.1	0.5	0.8	1.1	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.2	1.0	1.4
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q4d. E-cigarettes should be taxed at the same rate as other tobacco products

Table 139 E-cigarettes Should be Taxed Same as Other Tobacco by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	98	5.0	85	4.6	73	3.5	5.5	5.6	3.9	4.7	4.5	3.5	3.0	2.3	2.7
Disagree	135	6.3	100	5.3	90	4.3	6.1	5.7	4.1	5.9	4.3	3.6	6.7	6.4	5.2
Neither Agree nor Disagree	321	16.1	276	14.5	233	9.8	15.3	13.8	9.7	15.6	15.3	8.8	13.6	13.7	12.1
Agree	1029	42.4	918	41.4	1021	42.7	41.0	40.2	40.2	42.3	42.2	45.2	49.0	45.7	45.5
Strongly Agree	732	30.2	704	34.2	942	39.7	32.1	34.6	42.0	31.5	33.7	38.9	27.7	31.9	34.6
Valid Total	**2315**	**100.0**	**2083**	**100.0**	**2359**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	13	0.5	21	0.7	26	1.2	0.6	0.8	1.1	0.2	0.9	0.8	0.3	0.8	1.6
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q4e. The minimum age of purchase and possession of tobacco products should be raised to age 21

Table 140 Increase Minimum Age for Tobacco to 21 by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	135	7.5	151	10.0	147	8.3	8.8	11.0	8.9	6.3	12.2	7.2	4.8	5.9	6.5
Disagree	329	17.1	250	13.1	296	13.9	18.7	14.9	13.7	15.8	12.2	15.1	16.0	12.3	13.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	381	17.3	381	19.6	386	16.9	17.5	20.3	15.9	20.1	13.6	17.2	17.0	21.7	23.1
Agree	823	31.7	708	30.5	732	29.0	29.5	29.0	27.9	32.7	33.4	29.4	35.0	31.9	30.9
Strongly Agree	646	26.4	594	26.7	795	31.9	25.4	24.8	33.5	25.2	28.5	31.1	27.1	28.2	26.3
Valid Total	**2314**	**100.0**	**2084**	**100.0**	**2356**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	14	0.5	20	0.6	29	1.1	0.5	0.7	0.9	0.4	0.9	1.5	0.3	0.5	1.2
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q4f. Drinking and driving laws are enforced in my local community

Table 141 Drinking and Driving Enforced in Community by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	47	2.3	55	2.8	55	2.2	2.1	1.5	2.1	1.8	3.3	2.8	2.3	4.7	2.6
Disagree	164	7.3	126	6.0	172	7.0	5.9	3.4	5.4	5.9	7.2	7.9	11.0	10.2	12.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	366	16.0	344	16.9	382	15.3	14.1	14.9	15.3	16.1	17.9	13.0	18.4	20.7	16.9
Agree	1333	55.6	1241	56.3	1367	57.2	58.3	61.3	56.6	56.8	57.3	61.0	52.5	50.9	56.7
Strongly Agree	404	18.9	316	18.0	377	18.2	19.6	19.0	20.7	19.4	14.3	15.3	15.8	13.5	11.5
Valid Total	**2314**	**100.0**	**2082**	**100.0**	**2353**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	14	0.5	22	0.7	32	1.2	0.5	0.6	1.1	0.6	1.2	1.2	0.3	1.1	1.4
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q4g. There should be a law prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children

Table 142 Should Prohibit Giving Alcohol to Own Children by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	210	10.0	190	11.4	217	11.9	12.2	13.3	12.7	9.5	10.8	4.6	10.1	8.8	13.8
Disagree	355	17.5	324	16.8	368	18.2	16.9	17.5	17.8	14.9	16.6	18.4	17.7	17.3	15.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	631	27.8	583	28.1	653	27.6	28.3	28.3	27.5	27.9	24.6	26.4	31.0	30.4	30.1
Agree	623	24.2	580	25.2	635	23.6	24.2	24.4	22.9	25.7	25.9	28.7	21.6	25.9	25.0
Strongly Agree	488	20.5	403	18.5	483	18.7	18.5	16.4	19.2	22.0	22.1	22.0	19.6	17.5	15.8
Valid Total	**2307**	**100.0**	**2080**	**100.0**	**2356**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	21	1.0	24	1.0	29	1.1	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.5	1.6	1.8	0.9	0.7	1.2
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q4h. **There should be a law requiring servers and bartenders at restaurants and bars to be specially trained on how to serve alcohol responsibly**

Table 143 Servers/Bartenders Should be Specially Trained by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	75	4.1	75	4.7	66	3.1	4.3	4.8	2.9	3.5	4.8	3.4	3.8	3.2	5.2
Disagree	169	7.8	157	7.9	180	8.4	8.0	9.6	8.9	7.8	5.2	7.1	8.6	8.0	8.6
Neither Agree nor Disagree	415	20.2	429	23.0	461	22.3	18.7	22.2	20.9	22.9	23.1	20.8	21.8	22.8	22.9
Agree	1020	43.0	897	40.6	1036	42.6	42.2	41.0	41.8	43.5	42.6	45.0	42.3	42.6	43.4
Strongly Agree	637	25.0	527	23.8	613	23.6	26.8	22.4	25.5	22.3	24.4	23.7	23.6	23.5	19.9
Valid Total	**2316**	**100.0**	**2085**	**100.0**	**2356**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	12	0.5	19	0.7	29	1.2	0.5	1.0	1.2	0.1	0.9	0.9	0.4	0.6	1.4
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q5. Do you support or oppose bans on each of the following?

Liquor Advertisements on TV:

Table 144 Support/Oppose Liquor Advertisements on TV by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Support	732	28.4	703	31.4	740	30.0	28.2	29.8	29.6	29.5	36.7	30.9	29.2	32.0	30.4
Oppose	755	34.6	693	35.1	777	33.7	38.0	35.8	34.1	30.8	30.2	33.0	29.9	35.3	32.6
No Opinion	813	37.0	677	33.5	823	36.3	33.8	34.4	36.3	39.7	33.1	36.1	40.9	32.7	37.0
Valid Total	**2300**	**100.0**	**2073**	**100.0**	**2340**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	28	1.3	31	1.3	45	1.7	1.0	1.3	1.6	0.4	1.4	1.5	2.0	1.4	1.9
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Beer and Wine Advertisements on TV:

Table 145 Support/Oppose Beer and Wine Advertisements on TV by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Support	712	28.2	695	31.2	733	29.5	28.1	29.5	28.7	28.7	37.2	31.7	28.5	30.5	30.5
Oppose	760	34.6	691	35.1	777	33.9	37.8	36.1	34.5	31.1	30.1	33.2	30.2	35.0	31.9
No Opinion	828	37.2	686	33.7	827	36.7	34.1	34.4	36.8	40.3	32.8	35.1	41.3	34.5	37.5
Valid Total	**2300**	**100.0**	**2072**	**100.0**	**2337**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	28	1.5	32	1.5	48	1.8	1.1	1.3	1.7	0.3	1.7	1.6	2.2	1.4	2.2
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Billboard Alcohol Advertisements

Table 146 Support/Oppose Billboard Alcohol Advertisements by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Support	701	27.8	684	31.1	762	30.9	28.9	29.4	30.4	27.6	35.6	30.5	26.4	31.7	33.0
Oppose	769	34.9	706	35.4	762	33.5	37.1	36.2	33.8	33.7	32.1	34.2	30.8	33.1	30.8
No Opinion	828	37.3	679	33.5	813	35.7	34.1	34.4	35.8	38.7	32.3	35.3	42.8	35.2	36.2
Valid Total	**2298**	**100.0**	**2069**	**100.0**	**2337**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	30	1.5	35	1.5	48	1.7	0.9	1.5	1.5	0.6	1.4	1.7	2.8	2.1	2.5
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q6. Do you support or oppose each of the following measures?

Q6a. **Minimum legal drinking age of 21**

Table 147 Support/Oppose Minimum Legal Drinking Age of 21 by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Support	1927	80.5	1758	80.1	1993	81.1	78.4	78.1	80.0	81.1	78.8	83.8	81.3	85.2	83.6
Oppose	254	13.4	205	12.8	233	13.2	15.2	14.9	14.0	12.5	13.7	10.3	14.0	9.0	12.0
No Opinion	130	6.2	129	7.2	123	5.7	6.4	7.0	6.0	6.4	7.6	5.9	4.7	5.8	4.4
Valid Total	**2311**	**100.0**	**2092**	**100.0**	**2349**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	17	0.6	12	0.4	36	1.7	0.6	0.4	1.5	0.7	0.7	1.3	0.3	0.3	1.7
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q6b. **Penalties for adults who provide alcohol to youth**

Table 148 Support/Oppose Penalties for Adults that Buy Alcohol for Youth by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Support	1977	81.7	1756	79.9	1976	81.0	81.8	76.8	80.9	81.8	80.2	83.1	82.4	86.4	83.4
Oppose	109	6.5	102	6.3	115	7.0	6.6	7.8	7.7	5.2	7.7	4.0	7.6	2.6	4.5
No Opinion	224	11.8	233	13.7	250	11.9	11.6	15.4	11.4	13.0	12.1	12.8	10.0	11.0	12.1
Valid Total	**2310**	**100.0**	**2091**	**100.0**	**2341**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	18	0.7	13	0.4	44	1.9	0.7	0.4	1.9	0.6	0.6	1.0	0.9	0.6	2.1
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q6c. Compliance checks (used to identify alcohol establishments that sell alcohol to underage youth)

Table 149 Support/Oppose Compliance Checks by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Support	2053	86.7	1825	84.4	2055	86.4	88.0	83.5	87.4	86.5	83.9	86.2	85.7	87.8	83.7
Oppose	93	4.5	94	5.6	111	6.5	5.3	6.5	6.2	3.9	5.9	5.7	4.4	4.2	5.0
No Opinion	141	8.8	151	10.1	160	7.1	6.7	9.9	6.4	9.6	10.1	8.1	9.9	8.0	11.3
Valid Total	**2287**	**100.0**	**2070**	**100.0**	**2326**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	41	1.8	34	1.1	59	2.4	1.3	0.9	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.5	1.7	1.4	2.6
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q6d. Laws restricting the type of alcohol discounts or specials, that merchants are allowed to offer (e.g. two-for-one drink sales, or all-you-can-drink specials for a flat fee)

Table 150 Support/Oppose Restrictions on Alcohol Discounts by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Support	929	36.4	808	34.8	863	32.4	34.7	33.2	33.3	37.7	34.5	37.0	33.8	37.9	28.4
Oppose	786	37.9	696	38.1	835	42.4	42.2	41.3	42.9	33.6	37.7	36.3	38.8	33.8	40.4
No Opinion	593	25.7	579	27.1	643	25.2	23.1	25.6	23.8	28.7	27.8	26.7	27.4	28.3	31.1
Valid Total	**2308**	**100.0**	**2083**	**100.0**	**2341**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	20	0.8	21	0.7	44	2.0	0.7	0.9	1.8	0.3	0.7	2.0	0.8	0.7	2.3
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q6e. **Laws prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children**

Table 151 Support/Oppose Prohibit Giving Alcohol to Own Children by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Support	1140	46.6	1027	46.5	1136	43.1	44.9	44.8	43.4	47.0	45.9	51.3	44.8	46.4	43.8
Oppose	662	32.2	575	31.7	647	32.3	33.2	34.0	32.6	32.9	29.7	26.1	32.6	30.0	32.5
No Opinion	493	21.2	467	21.7	541	24.6	21.9	21.2	24.1	20.1	24.4	22.5	22.6	23.6	23.7
Valid Total	**2295**	**100.0**	**2069**	**100.0**	**2324**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	33	1.0	35	1.2	61	2.5	1.2	1.5	2.0	0.6	1.3	2.9	1.6	0.6	3.0
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q6f. DUI checkpoints (used by law enforcement to deter or detect a drunk driver through the use of roadblocks or sobriety checkpoints)

Table 152 Support/Oppose DUI Checkpoints by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Support	1751	71.3	1594	72.7	1651	67.7	72.6	72.0	67.1	70.4	69.8	67.1	71.1	74.6	67.7
Oppose	323	17.2	265	14.9	413	20.6	18.6	15.5	21.3	17.7	18.3	21.9	16.1	15.2	18.3
No Opinion	226	11.5	219	12.4	276	11.7	8.8	12.5	11.6	12.0	12.0	11.0	12.8	10.2	14.0
Valid Total	**2300**	**100.0**	**2078**	**100.0**	**2340**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	28	1.1	26	1.2	45	2.2	1.0	1.1	1.9	1.3	1.6	2.2	0.8	1.0	1.4
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q6g. Legalizing the possession of small amounts of marijuana for personal use

Table 153 Support/Oppose Legalizing Marijuana for Personal Use by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Support	576	30.7	687	38.2	811	40.0	31.9	42.8	44.5	28.3	30.7	33.7	24.0	31.4	25.9
Oppose	1315	50.4	998	43.0	1141	44.5	49.8	40.8	41.1	54.2	48.5	51.4	56.6	50.1	55.6
No Opinion	416	18.9	396	18.8	382	15.5	18.3	16.3	14.4	17.5	20.7	14.9	19.4	18.6	18.4
Valid Total	**2307**	**100.0**	**2081**	**100.0**	**2334**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	21	0.8	23	0.8	51	2.1	0.8	1.3	1.8	0.4	0.8	1.9	0.7	0.4	2.3
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q7. **In your opinion, how difficult is it for youth in your community to…**

Q7a. **Buy beer, wine, or hard liquor at stores themselves?**

Table 154 Difficulty of Youth Buying Alcohol by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	212	14.1	183	12.0	215	11.3	12.0	10.4	11.5	16.8	12.5	14.5	10.7	14.0	10.4
Slightly difficult	258	15.2	236	16.6	265	15.7	15.8	16.9	17.5	11.9	17.7	13.2	15.6	12.1	10.7
Somewhat difficult	458	25.6	377	25.8	408	24.6	28.4	27.1	25.7	21.4	24.4	24.0	25.4	21.9	22.0
Quite difficult	461	30.1	433	32.6	514	32.7	31.3	33.0	30.4	30.2	33.7	34.1	30.5	34.6	39.8
Extremely difficult	207	15.0	168	13.0	230	15.7	12.5	12.6	14.8	19.8	11.7	14.2	17.8	17.5	17.2
Valid Total	**1596**	**100.0**	**1397**	**100.0**	**1632**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	714	28.1	687	29.5	711	27.3	26.5	29.7	26.9	29.6	28.9	27.6	27.6	28.9	28.4
No answer	18	0.7	20	0.7	42	1.7	1.0	1.1	1.4	0.1	0.7	1.9	0.8	0.5	1.6
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q7b. **Get an older person to buy alcohol for them?**

Table 155 Difficulty of Youth Getting Adult to Buy Them Alcohol by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	797	48.2	670	43.1	737	41.2	47.5	42.8	42.5	45.8	41.2	44.5	44.2	42.6	37.4
Slightly difficult	453	26.0	406	29.1	492	30.0	27.1	28.0	32.2	26.4	28.9	26.3	26.8	27.5	25.3
Somewhat difficult	304	18.0	308	21.5	337	19.7	19.1	22.1	17.8	19.5	22.5	19.7	17.6	20.6	23.8
Quite difficult	86	5.6	81	4.3	116	7.2	5.1	4.9	5.6	5.6	6.7	8.0	7.8	6.1	10.9
Extremely difficult	34	2.2	28	2.0	33	1.9	1.2	2.3	1.9	2.7	0.6	1.5	3.5	3.2	2.6
Valid Total	**1674**	**100.0**	**1493**	**100.0**	**1715**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	634	26.0	590	25.2	629	23.8	23.3	25.4	23.3	29.4	25.4	25.0	27.4	26.0	25.2
No answer	20	0.9	21	0.7	41	1.7	1.3	1.0	1.3	0.3	0.9	2.1	0.3	0.5	2.1
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q7c. Order a drink at a bar?

Table 156 Difficulty of Youth Ordering a Drink at a Bar by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	63	4.3	57	4.2	81	4.5	3.8	3.3	4.4	4.1	5.0	5.0	2.5	4.8	4.5
Slightly difficult	208	12.6	201	12.8	202	11.0	12.2	13.2	11.9	12.1	11.1	11.4	12.6	13.2	7.4
Somewhat difficult	430	23.2	360	24.0	418	23.8	26.4	25.4	26.5	21.6	25.0	17.2	22.4	19.3	16.8
Quite difficult	631	36.3	538	35.9	670	38.3	37.3	35.2	37.5	32.9	35.1	39.4	38.2	38.9	40.0
Extremely difficult	352	23.6	317	23.1	399	22.4	20.2	22.8	19.6	29.2	23.8	27.1	24.3	23.8	31.3
Valid Total	**1684**	**100.0**	**1473**	**100.0**	**1770**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	617	25.1	606	26.5	574	21.3	22.7	25.3	21.2	27.3	27.5	23.0	22.9	26.5	22.7
No answer	27	0.8	25	0.9	41	1.7	1.2	1.3	1.3	0.1	0.7	1.7	1.2	0.6	2.3
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q7d. Sneak alcohol from their home or a friend's home?

Table 157 Difficulty of Youth Sneaking Alcohol From Home by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	976	59.0	889	60.3	948	55.9	61.7	61.3	57.1	59.3	55.5	52.5	50.8	57.3	49.1
Slightly difficult	361	22.3	340	23.7	460	29.5	22.8	23.8	30.1	20.0	27.8	27.0	25.5	22.0	25.5
Somewhat difficult	206	13.3	164	12.1	210	10.9	12.6	10.8	9.6	15.3	12.3	15.5	12.5	14.6	17.5
Quite difficult	38	3.0	43	2.9	47	1.6	1.5	3.1	1.4	3.2	4.2	3.0	6.7	3.0	5.1
Extremely difficult	31	2.4	19	1.0	35	2.0	1.4	1.0	1.8	2.2	0.1	1.9	4.5	3.1	2.8
Valid Total	**1612**	**100.0**	**1455**	**100.0**	**1700**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	693	29.5	622	28.3	638	22.6	25.0	26.0	21.8	33.3	32.0	24.5	31.6	31.0	31.7
No answer	23	0.8	27	0.9	47	2.0	1.2	1.4	1.5	0.5	0.7	2.0	0.6	1.0	2.4
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q7e. **Get their parents to give them alcohol?**

Table 158 Difficulty of Youth Getting Alcohol From Parents by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	275	17.9	222	16.2	225	14.6	18.3	16.2	14.3	19.8	13.4	14.9	13.9	18.9	13.0
Slightly difficult	422	27.3	377	28.5	432	28.1	30.9	27.0	30.5	19.9	34.3	24.4	27.2	27.0	25.3
Somewhat difficult	394	27.0	394	32.0	442	30.3	26.6	31.4	30.6	29.4	34.4	27.6	26.0	27.7	31.5
Quite difficult	261	18.4	231	16.8	287	18.9	17.5	18.1	17.4	20.0	13.3	23.5	22.8	20.4	22.7
Extremely difficult	115	9.4	82	6.5	113	8.1	6.7	7.4	7.1	10.9	4.7	9.6	10.1	6.0	7.5
Valid Total	**1467**	**100.0**	**1306**	**100.0**	**1499**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	836	33.6	773	34.6	838	32.1	31.2	33.6	31.7	35.6	37.3	34.0	35.9	34.3	36.3
No answer	25	1.0	25	1.0	48	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.3	0.6	1.2	2.3	0.3	0.7	2.3
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q7f. Get other family member to give them alcohol?

Table 159 Difficulty of Youth Getting Alcohol From Other Family Member by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	372	25.3	340	25.8	354	21.8	24.1	24.6	23.1	25.7	24.6	21.8	20.5	25.2	17.3
Slightly difficult	482	30.9	417	30.5	493	31.8	35.2	31.3	33.5	25.1	29.8	27.8	30.5	30.9	30.1
Somewhat difficult	403	25.8	363	29.4	433	28.2	26.7	28.8	27.0	30.8	33.2	28.7	21.8	24.1	31.9
Quite difficult	172	12.4	152	10.8	199	12.3	10.6	11.6	10.5	12.8	10.0	16.1	19.2	14.9	16.3
Extremely difficult	71	5.6	53	3.5	85	5.9	3.4	3.8	5.8	5.6	2.4	5.7	8.0	4.9	4.3
Valid Total	**1500**	**100.0**	**1325**	**100.0**	**1564**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	805	33.3	750	33.7	779	29.7	30.8	33.2	29.3	36.4	34.8	31.2	33.1	35.4	33.3
No answer	23	0.8	29	1.2	42	1.7	1.3	1.6	1.4	0.5	0.9	1.8	0.5	0.8	1.6
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q7g. Buy tobacco products (cigarettes, chewing tobacco, e-cigarettes)?

Table 160 Difficulty of Youth Buying Tobacco Products by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	399	24.9	367	24.6	448	24.2	25.1	22.6	25.9	23.6	26.6	24.7	19.1	24.1	19.8
Slightly difficult	413	24.8	393	27.0	469	27.4	23.9	28.0	27.6	22.2	25.6	26.0	24.4	22.6	23.4
Somewhat difficult	418	22.5	364	24.4	422	23.0	26.4	24.9	23.7	23.2	22.3	24.1	19.7	25.1	21.6
Quite difficult	284	17.6	223	14.4	287	17.1	15.5	14.9	15.0	22.6	16.9	18.0	22.8	16.3	22.4
Extremely difficult	149	10.2	130	9.6	147	8.3	9.1	9.6	7.8	8.4	8.7	7.1	14.0	11.8	12.8
Valid Total	**1663**	**100.0**	**1477**	**100.0**	**1773**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	644	26.2	600	26.1	571	21.7	23.3	24.8	20.2	28.8	26.6	23.3	27.2	28.6	27.0
No answer	21	0.9	27	1.2	41	1.7	1.2	1.8	1.4	0.6	0.7	2.0	0.2	0.8	1.8
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q8. In your opinion, how difficult is access to each of the following substances for adults or youth in your community?

Marijuana (Medical Purpose):

Table 161 Difficulty of Accessing Marijuana for a Medical Purpose by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	n/a	n/a	159	14.7	222	16.3	n/a	14.3	17.3	n/a	12.4	13.7	n/a	18.4	15.6
Slightly difficult	n/a	n/a	129	13.6	219	16.6	n/a	11.9	17.2	n/a	15.7	13.6	n/a	12.2	13.2
Somewhat difficult	n/a	n/a	187	17.1	278	20.1	n/a	17.7	17.6	n/a	15.4	26.1	n/a	16.7	22.3
Quite difficult	n/a	n/a	245	26.2	279	20.7	n/a	25.8	21.0	n/a	27.5	20.5	n/a	25.7	23.4
Extremely difficult	n/a	n/a	257	28.4	338	26.2	n/a	30.3	26.9	n/a	29.0	26.1	n/a	27.1	25.5
Valid Total	**n/a**	**n/a**	**977**	**100.0**	**1336**	**100.0**	**n/a**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**n/a**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**n/a**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	n/a	n/a	1105	49.3	1002	39.5	n/a	46.3	38.2	n/a	53.5	41.8	n/a	49.9	47.7
No answer	n/a	n/a	22	0.8	47	1.9	n/a	1.1	1.8	n/a	0.7	1.7	n/a	1.0	1.9
Total Count	**n/a**		**2104**		**2385**		**n/a**	**1134**	**1367**	**n/a**	**412**	**506**	**n/a**	**385**	**508**

Marijuana (Personal Use):

Table 162 Difficulty of Accessing Marijuana for Personal Use by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	n/a	n/a	546	42.6	609	38.7	n/a	40.6	38.0	n/a	49.6	44.1	n/a	39.4	39.0
Slightly difficult	n/a	n/a	316	26.0	383	26.9	n/a	27.6	27.6	n/a	21.3	20.8	n/a	22.4	27.9
Somewhat difficult	n/a	n/a	225	18.2	259	18.3	n/a	18.3	18.8	n/a	17.6	16.6	n/a	23.1	16.7
Quite difficult	n/a	n/a	88	7.2	133	8.4	n/a	7.1	7.8	n/a	6.2	11.4	n/a	9.3	8.3
Extremely difficult	n/a	n/a	65	5.9	117	7.6	n/a	6.3	7.7	n/a	5.4	7.1	n/a	5.8	8.1
Valid Total	**n/a**	**n/a**	**1240**	**100.0**	1501	100.0	**n/a**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**n/a**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**n/a**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	n/a	n/a	836	36.0	830	31.8	n/a	34.2	30.1	n/a	38.1	30.9	n/a	41.1	41.0
No answer	n/a	n/a	28	1.2	54	2.1	n/a	1.6	2.0	n/a	1.1	2.4	n/a	0.7	1.9
Total Count	**n/a**		**2104**		2385		**n/a**	**1134**	**1367**	**n/a**	**412**	**506**	**n/a**	**385**	**508**

Inhalants:

Table 163 Difficulty of Accessing Inhalants by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	983	71.5	901	72.8	1048	74.7	74.7	75.6	75.3	70.7	71.4	68.5	62.3	63.3	67.4
Slightly difficult	227	14.3	176	15.6	219	13.8	15.6	14.5	13.5	14.9	14.8	18.5	13.9	14.1	16.9
Somewhat difficult	105	7.9	90	6.2	98	6.2	6.8	5.6	6.8	7.4	6.9	5.4	9.5	11.1	5.8
Quite difficult	46	3.5	41	2.7	53	2.6	1.8	2.3	1.9	3.8	2.6	5.5	7.4	5.7	5.7
Extremely difficult	29	2.8	34	2.7	41	2.7	1.0	2.0	2.5	3.2	4.2	2.2	6.9	5.9	4.1
Valid Total	**1390**	**100.0**	**1242**	**100.0**	**1459**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	915	37.8	844	37.9	869	33.4	33.7	34.7	31.2	40.9	40.2	34.5	44.0	43.8	43.5
No answer	23	0.6	18	0.7	57	2.2	0.9	1.2	2.0	0.3	0.7	2.0	0.8	0.9	2.5
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Cocaine:

Table 164 Difficulty of Accessing Cocaine by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	223	19.4	207	20.4	221	18.4	20.0	19.8	18.2	23.0	18.7	23.7	12.8	18.9	17.2
Slightly difficult	238	21.2	201	20.6	214	20.9	23.2	21.8	22.4	17.4	21.9	15.7	17.2	16.0	18.9
Somewhat difficult	282	29.2	260	28.6	292	27.4	31.4	29.5	29.9	27.6	31.3	25.6	21.2	24.6	25.3
Quite difficult	157	15.9	155	20.6	188	21.7	14.6	20.1	19.8	19.6	18.6	27.2	25.8	23.6	16.2
Extremely difficult	106	14.2	88	9.7	113	11.6	10.8	8.7	9.6	12.3	9.6	7.8	22.9	16.9	22.4
Valid Total	**1006**	**100.0**	**911**	**100.0**	**1028**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1298	54.1	1173	53.8	1312	53.4	50.0	50.1	50.6	59.0	60.6	56.7	59.2	55.9	59.6
No answer	24	0.8	20	0.9	45	1.9	1.2	1.2	1.7	0.3	1.2	1.7	0.7	0.6	1.7
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Heroin:

Table 165 Difficulty of Accessing Heroin by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	205	19.1	223	21.7	236	20.3	19.4	22.4	21.1	21.1	18.9	24.3	10.9	19.5	16.6
Slightly difficult	221	21.2	224	23.6	221	21.9	23.7	26.0	22.0	18.1	22.4	19.6	14.4	16.5	20.0
Somewhat difficult	251	24.9	226	25.3	259	26.2	27.8	25.3	30.8	24.0	28.2	19.0	17.6	21.2	18.1
Quite difficult	173	18.7	142	17.9	167	18.6	16.9	17.1	15.8	21.6	17.6	24.0	28.5	19.9	19.6
Extremely difficult	121	16.2	103	11.5	127	12.9	12.2	9.2	10.3	15.2	12.9	13.0	28.7	22.9	25.8
Valid Total	**971**	**100.0**	**918**	**100.0**	**1010**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1331	55.9	1162	53.1	1332	54.0	51.1	49.2	51.9	61.0	59.8	56.1	61.1	57.2	62.5
No answer	26	0.8	24	1.2	43	1.7	1.2	1.5	1.3	0.2	0.7	1.9	1.1	1.1	2.3
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Ecstasy:

Table 166 Difficulty of Accessing Ecstasy by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	208	19.6	179	17.9	192	17.4	20.8	18.9	18.2	21.7	15.9	21.9	11.4	18.4	14.8
Slightly difficult	230	22.6	206	24.1	205	22.8	26.7	25.2	23.5	18.9	24.3	16.5	15.3	17.2	19.2
Somewhat difficult	234	25.3	237	29.6	245	27.0	28.0	32.6	31.3	23.5	27.6	22.3	20.5	21.1	16.7
Quite difficult	151	18.4	119	17.4	181	21.5	15.6	16.0	18.5	21.3	16.9	28.7	26.3	20.4	25.7
Extremely difficult	98	14.0	91	11.1	97	11.3	8.8	7.3	8.4	14.5	15.4	10.6	26.6	22.9	23.6
Valid Total	**921**	**100.0**	**832**	**100.0**	**920**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1380	57.6	1244	56.8	1413	56.9	52.6	52.5	55.2	62.4	62.8	58.1	63.1	61.6	64.4
No answer	27	1.2	28	1.4	52	2.1	1.2	1.8	1.7	1.0	0.9	2.0	0.7	1.2	2.3
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Methamphetamine:

Table 167 Difficulty of Accessing Methamphetamine by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	509	38.0	427	35.5	448	31.1	36.9	34.6	30.7	41.8	37.6	39.3	28.9	31.5	30.5
Slightly difficult	358	26.1	319	28.5	361	29.4	30.4	29.6	30.3	21.5	28.2	25.2	25.6	24.9	30.5
Somewhat difficult	231	19.2	241	22.9	282	23.2	21.5	22.1	23.8	17.8	23.1	21.5	15.3	25.1	20.4
Quite difficult	106	9.3	74	7.6	117	10.3	6.8	9.2	9.9	12.2	5.5	10.9	15.3	6.7	9.4
Extremely difficult	63	7.4	64	5.5	69	6.0	4.3	4.5	5.3	6.7	5.6	3.0	15.0	11.9	9.2
Valid Total	**1267**	**100.0**	**1125**	**100.0**	**1277**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1028	43.8	951	42.5	1046	43.4	40.7	41.4	42.7	44.2	44.6	38.5	50.2	46.9	47.6
No answer	33	1.1	28	1.0	62	2.3	1.4	1.4	1.9	1.1	1.2	1.9	0.6	1.1	3.2
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Over-the-Counter Drugs:

Table 168 Difficulty of Accessing Over-the-Counter Drugs by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	761	51.9	744	52.5	892	55.4	55.2	56.0	57.3	51.2	49.4	50.4	39.9	48.5	45.5
Slightly difficult	364	23.2	351	26.7	412	24.0	24.8	23.7	24.6	21.4	29.8	24.2	23.1	24.4	25.3
Somewhat difficult	231	14.6	221	14.6	257	14.1	14.4	15.0	12.7	13.1	14.6	16.6	19.8	14.3	18.7
Quite difficult	85	6.1	63	4.2	78	4.2	3.3	3.4	3.6	11.2	4.0	5.6	8.6	7.9	6.7
Extremely difficult	52	4.1	32	2.1	43	2.4	2.3	1.7	1.8	3.1	2.2	3.2	8.5	4.9	3.8
Valid Total	**1493**	**100.0**	**1411**	**100.0**	**1682**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	816	34.6	673	30.6	656	25.7	30.9	28.6	23.5	37.1	30.7	27.6	39.9	36.4	33.8
No answer	19	0.5	20	1.0	47	1.9	0.8	1.2	1.6	0.2	1.1	2.0	0.8	0.8	2.1
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Prescription Drugs:

Table 169 Difficulty of Accessing Prescription Drugs by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	235	23.8	131	16.5	375	29.7	22.9	19.1	25.5	30.9	13.2	28.1	13.8	10.6	18.4
Slightly difficult	247	25.0	148	17.4	363	29.4	27.4	19.2	30.8	15.5	18.7	21.4	20.8	14.9	33.1
Somewhat difficult	274	25.8	199	27.8	292	23.4	29.6	29.4	24.4	26.3	29.2	22.1	23.9	17.0	23.3
Quite difficult	132	13.2	164	24.0	157	12.3	10.6	20.6	12.6	15.9	20.2	20.1	20.2	32.5	9.9
Extremely difficult	120	12.1	109	14.3	63	5.1	9.4	11.6	6.7	11.3	18.6	8.3	21.2	24.9	15.3
Valid Total	**1008**	**100.0**	**751**	**100.0**	**1250**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1298	55.0	1327	61.4	1088	45.1	48.8	57.4	42.0	59.4	66.3	48.9	61.2	64.6	60.1
No answer	22	0.6	26	1.3	47	1.9	1.2	1.4	1.5	0.1	2.2	1.8	0.4	0.8	1.9
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Synthetic Drugs:

Table 170 Difficulty of Accessing Synthetic Drugs by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	368	27.9	376	30.2	254	24.9	31.0	31.8	30.6	29.5	30.0	31.2	21.7	25.7	24.6
Slightly difficult	353	29.1	419	32.1	197	19.8	33.2	34.2	30.4	25.6	28.2	24.4	20.2	32.3	25.8
Somewhat difficult	295	24.6	305	25.2	212	26.8	24.0	22.0	22.7	23.7	26.2	24.6	28.1	26.5	23.8
Quite difficult	112	10.8	114	8.5	141	16.1	7.0	8.3	11.4	14.6	9.8	13.1	15.9	9.8	19.6
Extremely difficult	72	7.6	56	4.1	97	12.3	4.9	3.6	4.9	6.6	5.8	6.7	14.1	5.8	6.2
Valid Total	**1200**	**100.0**	**1270**	**100.0**	**901**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1106	45.8	813	36.3	1433	58.2	42.0	33.2	43.2	48.8	39.9	43.3	52.9	41.3	52.6
No answer	22	0.9	21	0.9	51	2.0	1.2	1.4	1.5	0.2	0.7	2.0	0.6	0.7	1.8
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Intravenous (IV) Drugs:

Table 171 Difficulty of Accessing Intravenous (IV) Drugs by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Not at all difficult	242	24.3	285	31.1	283	24.1	24.4	34.6	25.1	26.4	28.4	26.7	18.3	27.3	24.9
Slightly difficult	226	26.0	226	26.1	332	30.2	26.8	25.9	21.4	27.4	24.4	18.7	17.8	25.2	22.2
Somewhat difficult	201	21.5	191	24.4	280	23.8	26.4	24.1	28.9	17.5	24.2	22.0	16.0	23.3	12.8
Quite difficult	140	17.1	70	11.7	156	13.6	14.3	9.6	13.5	17.0	13.6	21.3	25.5	11.2	22.4
Extremely difficult	85	11.1	54	6.7	98	8.3	8.2	5.7	11.2	11.6	9.5	11.3	22.4	13.0	17.7
Valid Total	**894**	**100.0**	**826**	**100.0**	**1149**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	1413	59.1	1252	57.8	1194	45.3	54.9	52.9	56.0	62.4	62.6	59.6	64.1	62.9	67.2
No answer	21	0.7	26	1.0	42	1.8	1.1	1.4	1.8	0.1	0.8	2.0	0.6	0.9	1.9
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q9. To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

Q9a. Preventing alcohol and other drug use among youth is important.

Table 172 Preventing Alcohol/Drug Use Among Youth is Important by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	74	3.2	73	3.2	77	3.4	3.1	3.7	3.4	4.1	2.2	3.1	2.0	3.6	3.5
Disagree	10	0.8	16	1.0	15	0.7	0.9	1.7	0.9	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.9	0.1	0.9
Neither Agree nor Disagree	80	5.5	90	6.4	72	4.4	5.6	6.5	5.0	3.8	7.2	3.3	4.1	4.3	2.8
Agree	753	34.6	647	33.2	806	37.7	35.9	33.6	36.6	34.1	34.2	38.2	37.3	35.5	38.4
Strongly Agree	1397	56.0	1257	56.1	1370	53.8	54.5	54.5	54.1	57.9	56.2	55.3	55.7	56.5	54.3
Valid Total	**2314**	**100.0**	**2083**	**100.0**	**2340**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	14	0.4	21	0.8	45	2.1	0.6	1.2	1.9	0.1	0.7	1.8	0.4	0.6	2.1
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q9b. **I am concerned about whether my community has sufficient alcohol and other drug abuse prevention programs.**

Table 173 Sufficient Alcohol/Drug Abuse Prevention Programs in Community by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	52	3.1	52	2.8	55	2.9	3.8	2.7	3.5	2.9	4.0	2.7	1.4	3.1	1.2
Disagree	185	9.3	140	9.1	158	8.7	10.3	10.3	9.2	8.3	8.2	7.0	8.1	7.4	6.0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	751	36.2	658	34.6	745	34.0	36.7	35.0	33.2	35.0	33.2	35.3	36.2	35.8	38.6
Agree	832	33.5	737	33.0	824	33.8	33.4	30.9	32.7	34.2	34.6	32.5	37.6	35.3	36.6
Strongly Agree	470	17.8	485	20.5	547	20.6	15.9	21.0	21.4	19.6	20.0	22.5	16.8	18.4	17.7
Valid Total	**2290**	**100.0**	**2072**	**100.0**	**2329**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	38	1.1	32	1.3	56	2.2	1.7	1.7	2.1	0.6	1.2	2.4	1.1	1.2	3.1
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q9c. There are leaders in my community who are interested in reducing access and abuse of alcohol and other drugs.

Table 174 Leaders in Community Want to Reduce Alcohol/Drug Use/Abuse by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	51	2.5	37	2.5	57	2.5	2.4	1.9	2.5	3.1	3.5	2.9	2.5	1.0	2.9
Disagree	129	5.9	104	7.0	111	5.1	5.4	5.5	5.3	4.0	8.6	6.6	8.6	6.7	5.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	902	42.7	834	42.4	924	42.5	41.3	41.8	41.4	47.4	42.8	41.1	41.8	45.5	46.1
Agree	925	37.5	821	37.3	932	38.6	39.3	40.2	37.9	32.1	33.2	39.4	39.5	37.3	37.0
Strongly Agree	287	11.4	264	10.9	293	11.2	11.5	10.5	12.9	13.5	12.0	9.9	7.7	9.5	8.8
Valid Total	**2294**	**100.0**	**2060**	**100.0**	**2317**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	34	1.1	44	1.8	68	2.6	1.6	2.3	2.3	0.5	1.2	2.9	0.9	2.3	3.1
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q9d. I know who to go to if I need help for myself or family member(s) who are abusing alcohol or other drugs.

Table 175 Know Where to Go For Help with Drug/Alcohol Abuse by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	92	4.2	95	4.3	108	4.4	4.2	3.9	4.6	4.3	3.7	4.5	3.6	5.9	5.9
Disagree	258	12.5	300	16.3	323	14.3	12.6	19.0	14.2	11.1	12.3	15.2	13.1	13.0	14.0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	426	19.1	416	20.1	446	18.2	17.6	18.4	18.5	20.5	24.6	18.8	22.6	20.6	21.6
Agree	1064	44.6	911	43.4	1040	46.1	45.4	42.4	45.1	45.6	44.2	45.7	45.0	44.4	44.5
Strongly Agree	442	19.5	336	15.9	397	17.0	20.2	16.3	17.6	18.5	15.1	15.8	15.8	16.1	14.0
Valid Total	**2282**	**100.0**	**2058**	**100.0**	**2314**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	46	1.4	46	1.6	71	2.6	2.1	2.1	2.3	0.8	1.4	3.3	0.9	2.3	2.6
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q9e. My community is actively instituting policies that address the misuse of alcohol and other drugs.

Table 176 Community Policies Address Misuse of Alcohol/Drugs by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	96	4.8	95	5.5	114	5.0	3.9	4.5	4.3	6.6	4.3	5.1	3.8	6.3	8.0
Disagree	282	12.5	311	16.8	320	13.7	10.7	13.6	13.1	11.6	19.7	15.8	19.9	21.8	16.8
Neither Agree nor Disagree	1083	47.0	988	47.6	1146	49.9	46.4	47.3	49.6	48.5	49.3	52.0	50.5	48.8	50.4
Agree	675	29.2	551	25.1	596	26.0	32.2	28.6	26.8	26.9	21.7	21.8	21.6	20.2	20.8
Strongly Agree	152	6.5	112	5.0	140	5.4	6.8	6.1	6.2	6.5	4.9	5.3	4.2	2.9	3.9
Valid Total	**2288**	**100.0**	**2057**	**100.0**	**2316**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	40	1.4	47	2.1	69	2.6	1.6	2.0	2.3	1.0	2.1	3.3	1.1	3.0	2.8
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q9f. My community is taking strong action to prevent the misuse of alcohol and other drugs.

Table 177 Community Takes Action to Prevent Misuse of Alcohol/Drugs by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Strongly Disagree	114	5.5	112	6.4	145	6.5	4.6	4.9	5.8	7.0	6.3	6.3	5.8	6.4	9.3
Disagree	355	16.1	371	18.5	389	16.5	13.4	16.3	15.2	16.3	18.2	20.8	22.7	25.6	20.5
Neither Agree nor Disagree	1077	46.8	980	48.7	1089	47.0	46.8	47.0	46.6	48.9	52.0	48.0	47.7	48.1	48.8
Agree	604	25.5	491	21.4	566	25.1	29.0	25.9	26.7	22.2	18.1	20.3	19.4	16.4	17.6
Strongly Agree	142	6.1	107	5.0	124	4.9	6.2	5.8	5.7	5.5	5.4	4.5	4.4	3.5	3.8
Valid Total	**2292**	**100.0**	**2061**	**100.0**	**2313**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	36	1.4	43	1.6	72	2.8	1.6	2.2	2.5	1.1	1.8	3.0	1.1	1.6	3.5
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q10. **What is your age?**

Table 178 Age by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
18-20	21	2.4	20	2.7	12	1.3	3.4	3.3	1.3	1.1	3.0		1.2	0.0	1.3
21-24	73	8.6	57	7.6	64	7.4	10.7	9.9	6.9	3.8	4.8	3.0	7.6	2.6	3.5
25-44	612	32.8	540	34.3	613	36.4	38.7	40.0	42.5	30.3	30.4	32.2	27.0	28.0	30.2
45-64	854	36.1	779	35.4	814	34.5	30.4	29.4	30.7	39.9	37.0	38.2	36.6	39.1	36.2
65 and older	741	20.1	691	20.0	840	20.4	16.8	17.4	18.8	24.8	24.8	26.6	27.6	30.3	28.9
Valid Total	**2301**	**100.0**	**2087**	**100.0**	**2343**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	27	1.1	17	0.8	42	2.0	1.3	0.9	2.1	0.6	0.5	1.9	1.2	1.2	1.6
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q11. **Gender**

Table 179 Gender by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Male	765	49.3	686	49.4	902	49.2	48.9	49.4	49.5	50.9	51.0	50.5	49.9	48.6	50.6
Female	1515	50.7	1379	50.6	1418	50.8	51.1	50.6	50.5	49.1	49.0	49.5	50.1	51.4	49.4
Valid Total	**2242**	**100.0**	**2047**	**100.0**	**2376**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100**
Other	8	0.7	5	0.4	9	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.0	0	0.6	0.0	0.7
No answer	40	1.5	34	1.5	56	2.6	1.5	1.4	2.5	1.9	1.8	2.8	2.2	1.9	2.3
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q12. **Which of the following represent your race or ethnic background?** (Mark all that apply.)

Table 180 Race/Ethnic Background by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Caucasian	2081	86.2	1915	89.8	2161	92.8			92.2			93.2			93.9
Black or African American*	24	1.4	22	1.6	44	3.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	1.3	1.4	1.1	0.1	0.4	0.7
American Indian or Alaska Native*	78	5.2	47	3.2	56	2.3	2.2	0.6	2.2	6.5	3.6	5.1	3.9	5.3	1.3
Asian*	37	2.5	36	2.7	25	1.6	2.8	3.4	2.2	2.1	1.7	0.4	1.7	1.1	0.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3	0.2	5	0.3	6	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.0	1.0		0.8	0.0	0.2
Other (please specify)	64	3.3	80	3.9	70	2.7	3.2	4.0	2.8	2.6	5.4	1.4	4.3	1.9	5.2
Valid Total	**2322**	**100.0**	**2096**	**100.0**	**2310**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**		**100.0**	**100.0**		**100.0**	**100.0**	
No answer	6	0.2	8	0.3	75	2.9	0.2	0.7	2.9	0.0		2.9	0.6	0.3	2.9
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q13. **Are you of Hispanic origin?**

Table 181 Hispanic Origin by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Yes	32	2.0	38	3.0	33	1.6	1.9	3.6	2.0	2.2	3.3	0.9	1.7	0.3	1.8
No	2210	98.0	2009	97.0	2258	98.4	98.1	96.4	98.0	97.8	96.7	99.1	98.3	99.7	98.2
Valid Total	**2242**	**100.0**	**2047**	**100.0**	**2291**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
Don't know	26	1.4	21	0.7	61	1.6	1.3	0.9	2.8	1.8	1.1	2.0	1.5	1.3	2.8
No answer	60	2.6	36	1.2	33	2.7	2.1	1.5	1.7	2.7	0.5	1.1	2.9	2.8	0.6
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q14. **Which one of the following best describes your employment status?**

Table 182 Employment by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Full time employed	1134	58.7	1025	58.2	1131	59.3	60.8	61.7	61.6	56.9	56.5	52.3	55.0	49.4	55.2
Part time employed	261	10.8	213	10.2	250	10.1	11.1	9.7	10.3	10.1	10.2	10.7	12.5	11.0	8.9
Full time with second job	42	2.1	32	1.8	58	3.2	2.0	2.0	2.8	3.0	0.9	4.1	2.2	3.3	3.2
Not employed – Looking for a job	37	2.3	27	1.8	35	1.9	2.0	1.4	2.0	3.1	3.3	2.6	0.8	1.1	0.3
Not employed – Not looking for a job	784	26.0	782	28.0	836	25.4	24.1	25.2	23.3	26.9	29.1	30.4	29.4	35.1	32.3
Valid Total	**2258**	**100.0**	**2079**	**100.0**	**2310**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	70	2.9	25	1.0	75	2.9	2.5	1.2	2.7	2.5	0.7	3.4	2.5	1.1	2.8
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

*2019 pop. density area differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); overall Pearson Chi-square test performed.

Q15. **In which sector of the economy are you currently employed? (If not currently working, check category of last employment)**

Table 183 Employment Sector by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
Agriculture	281	14.7	193	9.3	269	9.5	4.0	3.1	3.9	22.7	12.6	21.7	32.0	25.4	27.6
Manufacturing	94	5.6	69	4.2	96	5.3	5.8	4.5	6.3	6.1	4.7	4.2	4.6	1.8	3.6
Transportation/ Utilities	93	5.2	90	5.5	110	5.5	5.2	5.9	5.1	4.3	3.3	4.4	5.3	6.7	8.8
Wholesale	16	1.0	20	0.8	21	1.3	1.0	1.1	1.3	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.9	0.5
Retail	156	6.9	179	9.6	192	9.0	9.6	9.2	9.5	4.2	11.4	6.6	3.4	7.1	7.5
Finance and Real Estate	70	4.0	67	4.0	75	3.7	3.6	4.3	3.3	3.8	0.9	4.1	2.8	3.7	3.6
Business and Repair Services	67	3.3	56	3.6	75	3.4	3.0	4.0	3.2	4.1	2.8	4.0	2.6	3.3	4.0
Professional	346	14.7	335	16.6	352	17.3	15.8	18.6	18.2	15.1	13.1	15.2	12.3	12.2	8.5
Government	194	8.6	198	10.1	191	9.8	10.9	10.8	11.2	6.7	14.0	6.0	6.8	7.1	5.4
Leisure and Hospitality	44	2.2	43	2.4	53	2.6	2.4	2.4	2.8	1.9	2.6	2.0	2.1	1.2	1.2
Education	295	12.8	239	10.6	276	10.6	14.1	11.4	11.5	12.4	11.0	10.8	7.5	10.4	10.0
Other (please specify)	482	21.1	496	23.3	482	22.0	24.7	24.6	23.7	18.0	22.8	20.2	20.0	20.2	19.3
Valid Total	**2138**	**100.0**	**1985**	**100.0**	**2192**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	190	6.8	119	4.1	193	6.0	6.3	4.2	6.4	6.9	3.7	6.8	5.3	5.1	5.7
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

Q16. How many children live in your home?

Table 184 Children by PDA

	Statewide						Urban			Rural			Frontier		
	2015		2017		2019		2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019	2015	2017	2019
	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Freq.	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %	Wtd. %
0	1636	66.5	1449	66.4	1590	64.3	65.1	65.8	60.6	65.0	65.4	61.1	70.7	68.3	63.4
1	250	12.2	213	11.5	224	11.9	14.0	11.1	11.9	10.5	12.2	10.1	10.4	11.9	8.8
2	246	11.5	210	12.6	249	13.6	11.5	13.6	13.8	14.6	12.1	12.3	10.2	9.0	10.2
3	117	5.7	101	5.2	122	6.8	5.5	5.8	6.2	5.6	4.8	6.3	4.6	6.0	8.1
4	51	3.0	49	3.1	47	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.1	3.8	4.2	3.8	2.8	2.7	2.1
5	12	0.5	11	0.6	10	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.8	1.0	0.4	1.0	0.5
6	4	0.2	6	0.3	7	0.3	0.2	0.2		0.0	0.0		0.2	1.1	0.2
7	11	0.3	3	0.2	1	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.4
8	1	0.0	1	0.0	6	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.2
9	0	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.0	0.1		0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0
11	0	0.0	1	0.1			0.0	0.2		0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0
Valid Total	**2328**	**100.0**	**2045**	**100.0**	**2257**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**
No answer	0	0.0	59	1.9	128	4.7	0.0	1.7	4.4	0.0	2.3	4.5	0.0	3.0	6.2
Total Count	**2328**		**2104**		**2385**		**1353**	**1134**	**1367**	**473**	**412**	**506**	**502**	**385**	**508**

References

Beebe, T. J., Harrison, P. A., Sharma, A., & Hedger, S. (2001). The community readiness survey development and initial validation. *Evaluation Review, 25(1), 55–71*. http://doi.org/10.1177/0193841X0102500103

Bethlehem, J. (2008). Weighting. In P. Lavrakas (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Survey Research Methods*. SAGE Publications. Retrieved March 12, 2020 from https://methods.sagepub.com/reference/encyclopedia-of-survey-research-methods/n632.xml

Dillman, Don A., Smyth J. D., Christian L.M. (2014) *Internet, Phone, Mail, and Mixed-Mode Surveys: The Tailored Design Method*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc..