
2008



Alcohol and Other Drugs in North Dakota

A Community Readiness Survey to Gauge Perceptions of Alcohol and Other Drug Use



Region V Report

Fargo-Wahpeton (and surrounding area)

Alcohol and Other Drugs (AOD) in North Dakota: A Community Readiness Survey to Gauge Perceptions of Alcohol and Other Drug Use

Funded by and prepared for

The North Dakota Department of Human Services, Division of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services

By

The Rural Crime & Justice Center (RCJC), a University Center of Excellence Minot State University

Compiled by
Rodney Hair, Executive Director
Dr. Harry Hoffman, Research Director
Rachelle Loda, Training and Research Associate
Trista Zacharias, Training and Research Associate

September 2008

For additional copies, contact North Dakota Department of Human Services,
Division of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services

1237 West Divide Ave Suite 1C

Bismarck, ND 58501

Toll Free 1.800.755.2719

http://www.nd.gov/dhs



Division of Mental Health and Substance Abuse

	Aci	knowledgemei	nts	
Public Health (MIPI	Acknowledgements rime & Justice Center wishes to acknowledge the work of the Minnesota Institute of 4). A portion of the questions contained in this survey are used with permission for diness Survey © 1999 by the Minnesota Institute of Public Health (www.miph.org).			with permission from

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	
Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables	V
Executive Summary	
Introduction	3
Methods	
Survey Findings	14
Section 1: Demographics	15
Section 2: Community Perception of an Alcohol & Other Drug (AOD) Problem	22
Section 3: Permissiveness of Attitudes Toward AOD Use	
Section 4: Community Support for AOD Prevention	38
Section 5: Access to Alcohol and Other Drugs	46
Section 6: Perception of Community Commitment	52
References	57
Appendices	58

Appendix A: Sample Sizes for Prevention Readiness Survey

Appendix B: Cover Letter

Appendix C: Survey Instrument

List of Tables

Section 1: Demographics	
Table 1.1: Age	.15
Table 1.2: Sex	.16
Table 1.3: Race	
Table 1.4: Employment Status	.18
Table 1.5: Current Occupation	
Table 1.6: Community Volunteering-Church	
Table 1.7: Community Volunteering-Civic	
Table 1.8: Number of Children in Your Home	.21
Section 2: Community Perception of an Alcohol & Other Drug (AOD) Problem	
Perception on use of the following substances in your community	
Table 2.1.1: Adult/Youth Use of Alcohol	22
Table 2.1.2: Adult/Youth Use of Tobacco	.24
Table 2.1.3: Adult/Youth Use of Marijuana	25
Table 2.1.4: Adult/Youth Use of Inhalants	.26
Table 2.1.5: Adult/Youth Use of Cocaine	.27
Table 2.1.6: Adult/Youth Use of Methamphetamine	28
Table 2.1.7: Adult/Youth Use of Over-the-Counter Drugs	.30
Table 2.1.8: Adult/Youth Use of Prescription Drugs	.30
Table 2.1.9: Adult/Youth Use of Hallucinogens	.32
Problem perceived regarding the following in your community	
Table 2.2.1: Contribution of drug and alcohol use to crashes or injuries	33
Table 2.2.2: Contribution of drug and alcohol use to crimes	
Section 3: Permissiveness of Attitudes Toward AOD Use	
Table 3.1 Agreement or Disagreement with the following statements	. 35-37
 Okay for youth to drink at parties if don't get drunk 	
 Youth can drink if don't drive afterwards 	
 Okay for youth to smoke cigarettes 	
 Youth experiment with AOD and grow out of it 	
 Okay for parents to provide alcohol in their home to own children 	
Okay to offer alcohol to youth other than their own children	
 Teenage drinking is acceptable in my community 	
 Driving under the influence of AOD is okay 	
Riding in a motor vehicle with someone under the influence of AOD is okay	
Section 4: Community Support for AOD Prevention	
Table 4.1 Agreement or Disagreement with the following statements	38-40
Law enforcement spend more time enforcing minimum drinking age	130 40
 Schools need to be more active in dealing with AOD problems 	
POSSIDIE TO TEUDICE ACID DIODIETTS INFORMATION	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
 AOD prevention problems are a good investment 	
AOD prevention problems are a good investmentCommunity is responsible for establishing AOD prevention problems	
 AOD prevention problems are a good investment Community is responsible for establishing AOD prevention problems PSAs as a medium for changing attitudes of AOD use 	
 AOD prevention problems are a good investment Community is responsible for establishing AOD prevention problems PSAs as a medium for changing attitudes of AOD use Increase taxes on alcohol 	
 AOD prevention problems are a good investment Community is responsible for establishing AOD prevention problems PSAs as a medium for changing attitudes of AOD use Increase taxes on alcohol 	

Table 4.2	
Do you support the following?	
Minimum legal drinking age of 21	
 Penalties for adults who provide alcohol to youth 	
Compliance checks	
Law prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children	
DUI checkpoints	
Table 4.3	
Do you support BANS on the following?	44
Liquor advertisements on TV	
 Beer and wine advertisements on TV 	
 Billboard alcohol advertisements 	
Table 4.4	
Would you ATTEND the following events if they were alcohol-	free?
Party	166,
Wedding Dance/Reception	
 Sporting Event 	
Section 5: Access to Alcohol and Other Drugs	
Table 5.1 Questions regarding ACCESS in your community	
 Youth purchase of alcohol at stores themselves 	
 Youth to get older person to purchase of alcohol for them 	
Youth to order a drink at a bar	
 Youth to sneak alcohol from their home or friend's home 	
Youth to get parents to give them alcohol	
 Youth to get other family members to give them alcohol 	
Table 5.2 Questions regarding ACCESS in your community	49. 50
■ Marijuana	,
■ Inhalants	
Cocaine	
Methamphetamine	
Hallucinogens	
Section 6: Perception of Community Commitment	
Table 6.1 Agreement or Disagreement with the following stateme	nts 52, 54
 Preventing AOD use is important among youth 	
 Concern for sufficiency of community's AOD prevention p 	rograms
 Achieve AOD free youth by educating youth themselves 	
 Achieve AOD free youth by educating parents 	
 Achieve AOD free youth by educating the community 	
 Community leaders interested in reducing access and abu 	se of AOD
 Knowledge of access to AOD services for help with abuse 	
Table 6.2 Community has an action plan for dealing with substant	ce abuse issues54
Table 6.3 Primary source for news about crime	55

Executive Summary

The following is a summary of the key findings relative to *Region V* respondents.

Adult Use of Alcohol [see Table 2.1.1]

• Similar to the statewide results, when participants were asked to rank the seriousness of *adult* use of alcohol, 65.8 percent of the *Community Members* indicated this to be a *minor to moderate* problem in their community. Similarly, over half (57%) of the *Key Informants* felt that *adult* alcohol use was a *minor to moderate* problem.

Youth Use of Alcohol [see Table 2.1.1]

• When participants were asked to rank the seriousness of *youth* use of alcohol, 51.1 percent of the *Community Members* indicated this to be a *minor to moderate* problem in their community. In reference to the *Key Informants*, the majority (61.4%) felt that *youth* alcohol use was a *serious* problem and 36 percent believed this was a *minor to moderate* problem in their community.

Adult Use of Methamphetamine [see Table 2.1.6]

• Both *Community Members* and *Key Informants* considered *adult* use of methamphetamine to be a *minor to moderate* problem as indicated by 37.9 percent and 57.9 percent of respondents respectively.

Youth Use of Methamphetamine [see Table 2.1.6]

• Reponses were very similar when comparing youth to adult use of methamphetamine. Of the *Community Member* participants, 37.5 percent considered *youth* use of methamphetamine as a *minor to moderate* problem while 32.7 percent specified they *don't know* the extent of the problem. Among the *Key Informants*, 63.2 percent perceived methamphetamine use by *youth* as a *minor to moderate* problem.

Contribution of Drug and Alcohol Use to Crashes or Injuries [see Table 2.2.1]

• Of the *Community Members*, 48.5 percent believed that the contribution of drug/alcohol use to crashes/injuries was a *minor to moderate* problem and 36.8 percent indicated this was *serious* problem. Among *Key Informants*, the majority (53.5%) felt this was a *serious* problem within their community and 43 percent specified this was a *minor-moderate* problem.

Community Acceptance of Underage Drinking [see Table 3.1]

• The *Community Member* respondents generally *disagree-strongly disagree* (69.5%) with the presence of community acceptance of underage drinking. *Key Informants* were somewhat conflicted in their perception as 43 percent *agree-strongly agree* that underage drinking is accepted in the community and 56.1 percent *disagree-strongly disagree* with this statement.

Support for Increasing Taxes on Alcohol [see Table 4.1]

• When respondents were asked to indicate the extent to which they either agree or disagree with increasing alcohol taxes, 51.8 percent of the *Community Members* were in support of increasing alcohol taxes. The *Key Informant* respondents were slightly more supportive with 59.6 percent in agreement.

Laws Prohibiting Giving Alcohol to Your Own Children [see Table 4.2]

• Both *Community Members* and *Key Informants* were supportive of laws prohibiting providing alcohol to "your own" children with 61.8 percent and 69.3 percent respectively indicating such.

Support for Advertising Liquor/Beer/Wine Ads on Television/Billboards [see Table 4.3]

• The results were very similar regarding all three questions related to alcohol advertising. Over 58 percent of *Community Members* were in support of banning alcohol advertisements on either television or billboards. Among *Key Informants*, over 68 percent indicated that *yes* they would support banning this form of advertising.

Youth Accessibility to Alcohol [see Table 5.1]

- When *Community Members* were asked "How difficult is it for youth to get an older person to buy alcohol for them," 53.7 percent believed that it is *slightly/somewhat* difficult, 33.5 percent indicated it was *not at all* difficult. Among *Key Informants*, 45.6 percent indicated that it was *not at all* difficult for youth to get an older person to buy alcohol for them and 47.4 percent responded that this was only *slightly/somewhat* difficult.
- When *Community Members* were asked "How difficult is it for youth to sneak alcohol from their home or a friend's home," 54.4 percent believed this was *not at all* difficult and 39.3 percent indicated this was *slightly/somewhat* difficult. Of *Key Informants*, 68.4 percent specified that it was *not at all* difficult for youth to obtain alcohol in this manner, while 29.8 percent felt that this was *slightly/somewhat* difficult.

Adult/Youth Access to Marijuana/Methamphetamine [see Table 5.2]

- Nearly one-third (30.1%) of *Community Member* respondents perceived adults/youth access to **marijuana** as *not at all* difficult, while 51.5 percent felt access was *slightly/somewhat* difficult. Of the *Key Informant* respondents, 55.3 percent perceived access to **marijuana** as *not at all* difficult, and 40.4 percent responded this was only *slightly/somewhat* difficult for adults/youth to obtain.
- Fifty-nine percent of *Community Members* perceived access to **methamphetamine** as *slightly/somewhat* difficult, and 16.5 percent specified that methamphetamine was *quite/extremely* difficult to access. The majority (63.2%) of *Key Informants* indicated that access to **methamphetamine** was *slightly/somewhat* difficult and 30.7 percent believed that accessing methamphetamine was *not at all* difficult.

Presence of Community Action Plan [see Table 6.2]

• Among the *Community Members*, the majority (63.2%) did not know if their community had any action plan in place. Likewise, 53.5 percent of *Key Informants* did not know if there was any community action plan in place.

Sources of Information Regarding Crime [see Table 6.3]

• With *Community Members*, the most common media sources accessed for information regarding crime accessed was *Television* (41.5%) and *Newspapers* (21.3%). *Key Informants* indicated the same sources: *Television* (44.7%); and *Newspapers* (21.1%).

Introduction

The Rural Crime and Justice Center (RCJC) at Minot State University, in conjunction with North Dakota's Department of Human Services, implemented a Community Readiness Survey to gauge perceptions of alcohol and other drug use in North Dakota communities. This was made possible through funding provided by North Dakota's Department of Human Services, Division of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services. The Community Readiness Survey assessed the perceptions of Youth Alcohol and Other Drug Use by surveying community members living in North Dakota. The sample represents eight regions in North Dakota and within each region frontier, rural, and urban communities are represented based on population density. This report will focus on the data collected from *Region V* and will also include the statewide results. This is not a statistical comparison; region wide data is simply presented alongside statewide data.

The RCJC conducted a similar study in 2005, surveying community members residing in Region II of North Dakota. The Region II Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use study provided a concrete foundation for looking at parallel issues at the statewide level.

The use and abuse of alcohol and other drugs has a considerable impact on communities throughout the nation. Often times the use of such substances is overlooked by members within a particular community. Consequently, community perception and the readiness to address the problem of alcohol and other drug use needs to be periodically assessed in order to fully develop a comprehensive plan.

Project Goals

The specific goal of the Community Readiness Survey was to design an assessment tool targeting communities and the public's readiness to respond to alcohol and other drug use (AOD) among adults and youth. Since North Dakota communities are currently experiencing high rates of binge drinking among adolescents, the RCJC, along with the North Dakota Department of Human Services, Division of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services recognized the importance of assessing community members' perceptions regarding these issues. The five pertinent areas that were addressed in the survey were: 1) Community perception of an AOD problem; 2) Permissiveness of attitudes towards AOD use; 3) Community support for AOD prevention; 4) Community perception of adolescent access to alcohol and other illegal substances; and 5) Overall perception of community commitment.

By addressing communities at local levels, we can begin to identify the real issues that are plaguing our communities. It is our hope that this project will lead to promising initiatives concentrating on our youth and lead to preventative measures that can decrease the rate of AOD concerns in North Dakota.

Literature Review

The destructive use and abuse of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs has become a major social problem deeply rooted in our society (www.bridges-mccasa.org/ypp.html). The impressionability of youth makes them extremely vulnerable to many problems associated with alcohol and drug abuse. Furthermore, adolescence is an experimental stage in life and often results in the testing of illegal substances. Since North Dakota is leading the nation in adolescent binge drinking (NSDUH, 2004-05), preventative efforts need to be taken at various levels in an attempt to curtail these problems.

National Survey on Drug Use and Health

Data obtained from the *State Estimates of Substance Use from the 2004-2005 National Surveys on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH)* illustrate the extent of substance abuse issues within communities nationwide. The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) combined two years of data to enhance the accuracy of estimates for less populated states. The survey evaluates state rates of use of illegal drugs, binge drinking, serious mental illness, and tobacco use. State-by-state data provides a powerful tool for policymakers at the federal, state, and local levels by identifying needs and targeting prevention and treatment resources.

Alcohol

In regards to rates of past month alcohol use, North Dakota was ranked in the top five of all states for all three age groups (12 to 17, 18 to 25, and 26 or older) (NSDUH, 2004-05).

Results from the National Survey indicate that at the national level, almost a quarter of all persons aged 12 or older participated in binge use of alcohol in the past month. Binge alcohol use is defined as "drinking five or more drinks on the same occasion (i.e., at the same time or within a couple hours of each other) on at least one day in the 30 days prior to the survey" (NSDUH, 2004-05). The highest rates of binge alcohol use occurred among persons aged 18 to 25. North Dakota had the highest rate in this age group at 58.1 percent, almost double the highest rate among persons aged 26 or older and almost four times the highest rate among youth aged 12 to 17 (NSDUH, 2004-05). Based on this finding alone, an assessment of communities in North Dakota is necessary in order to develop policy to concentrate on these issues.

In assessing perceptions of the risk of binge alcohol drinking use to the actual rates of usage, the National Study concluded that although North Dakota had the highest rate of binge drinking among all 50 states, the *perceived* risk of binge drinking was among the *lowest*. Similarly, six other states with higher rates of binge drinking also scored lower in terms of perception of risk.

Illicit Drugs

According to the results of NSDUH (2004-2005), the frequent use of illicit drugs in North Dakota appears to be less severe than alcohol use. The survey results indicate that North Dakota was one of two states to show a significant decrease from 2003-04 to 2004-05 in the percentage of "all persons aged 12 or older who used an illicit drug in the past month" (North Dakota decreased from 7.5% to 6.2%).

North Dakota was also one of six states to represent a decline amid the 2003-04 and 2004-05 studies, in "past year use of marijuana among all persons aged 12 or older," dropping from 10.1 percent to 8.5 percent. In regards to other illicit drugs, aside from marijuana, state-by-state results also show improvements in North Dakota's prevalence of use. According to NSDUH, "illicit drugs other than marijuana include cocaine, heroin, hallucinogens, inhalants, and the nonmedical use of prescription-type pain relievers, tranquilizers, stimulants, and sedatives" (NSDUH, 2004-05). Both North and South Dakota had the lowest rate (2.8%) of past month use of an illicit drug other than marijuana among persons 12 or older, compared to the national average of 3.6 percent and the state with the highest rate of use, Colorado at 4.5 percent. Lastly, with regards to past year cocaine use among all persons aged 12 or older, North Dakota had the lowest rate (1.7%) in that population (NSDUH, 2004-05).

The focus of the next section is to identify the significance of public opinion, the influence of the media on the configuration of public opinion, and details how this information can be interpreted and useful when looking at developing effective prevention strategies for Alcohol and Other Drug use among youth. Results from the 2007 Youth Risk Behavior Survey will be incorporated.

Media Influence on Public Opinion

Mass media has a tendency to shape a person's outlook, their cultural identity, as well as processes of open exchange (www.wz.nrw.de, 2006). The nature of the media has changed drastically and has evolved with technology. Therefore, the source of information for people, whether it is television, newspaper, internet, or other forms of mass media, may play a crucial role in shaping ideas and perceptions. This can lead to the development of inaccurate understanding of the issues at hand, especially if the source of information does not clearly state the distinction between fact and opinion.

North Dakota Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)

Through the implementation of the YRBS, youth are provided the opportunity to share their experiences with AOD in this survey that is conducted every two years. High School students are asked a series of questions in which they are instructed to answer "truthfully." The YRBS is designed to target those behaviors related to the paramount causes of death and disability among youth and young adults and to evaluate how these risky behaviors change over time (North Dakota High School, YRBS data, 2007). The YRBS is a self-reporting survey that is intended to measure health-risk behaviors that fall into six categories:

- Behaviors that result in unintentional injuries and violence
- Tobacco use
- Alcohol and other drug use
- Sexual behaviors that result in HIV infection, other sexually transmitted diseases, and unintended pregnancies
- Dietary behaviors
- Physical activity (ND YRBS data, 2007)

The data obtained from the YRBS represent both urban and rural areas allowing the data to focus on problem areas geographically. Thus, data may potentially be helpful in identifying which regions are in most need of resources and assistance to target problems plaguing their youth. According to North Dakota's YRBS data (2007), 6,745 middle school students (Grades 7-8) from 110 North Dakota middle schools participated in the survey and 9,750 high school students (Grades 9-12) from 104 North Dakota high schools participated in the survey.

North Dakota YRBS (2007) Middle School Results

Results pertaining to the use of alcohol and/or other drugs will be discussed. The following section will include *Statewide* results from the YRBS, as well as data as it pertains to *Region V* (Fargo Area). *Alcohol Use*

In regards to the "percentage of students who have ever had a drink of alcohol, other than a few sips," the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) *Statewide* results indicate that 32.7 percent of students have had at least one drink of alcohol in their lifetime; *Region V* results indicate that 29.1 percent of students indicated ever having had a drink of alcohol (North Dakota YRBS, 2007).

The percentage of students who indicated having had five or more drinks of alcohol in a row, that is, within a couple of hours (binge alcohol use) was 15 percent for the *Statewide* results, percentage of use in *Region V* was lower at 12.6 percent.

Marijuana & Other Drug Use

The percentage of students who specified ever having used marijuana was 7.8 percent *Statewide*. *Region V* results showed that 6 percent of students indicated having used marijuana at least once in their lifetime. The *Statewide* percent of students who had sniffed glue, breathed the contents of spray cans, or inhaled any paints or sprays to get high for the first time before age 11 years was 9.5 percent; results for *Region V* indicated 7.3 percent. Students who responded as ever having used methamphetamines - also called speed, crystal, crank or ice, was 1.7 percent *Statewide*; *Region V* results indicated that 1.5 percent of students have used methamphetamine at least once in their lifetime.

North Dakota YRBS (2007) High School Results

Alcohol Use

According to the *Statewide* results, the percentage of students who "had at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during their life" was 73.9 percent. *Region V* (Fargo Area) results specified that 70.2 percent of students had reported engaging in alcohol use at least once in their lifetime.

Statewide, 32.5 percent of students specified having had "five or more drinks of alcohol in row, that is, within a couple of hours, on one or more of the past 30 days" (binge alcohol use). **Region V** results illustrated that a lower percentage (28.8%) of students had engaged in this type of behavior within the past 30 days.

When students were asked to report whether they "drank a usual type of alcohol during the past 30 days", 43.9 percent of students *Statewide* reported that they preferred a specific type of alcohol to consume; percentages for *Region V* indicated that 39 percent of students preferred to consume a specific type of alcohol.

Marijuana & Other Drug Use

According to the *Statewide* results, 30.1 percent of students indicated having used "marijuana one or more times during their life"; *Region V* results indicated that 28.4 percent of students had engaged in this type of behavior.

With a focus on other drugs, students were asked a series of questions regarding prevalence of use. *Statewide*, 6.2 percent of students reported having used "any form of cocaine, including powder, crack, or freebase one or more times during their life" (North Dakota YRBS, 2007). *Region V* indicated higher numbers in that 6.7 percent of students reported having used a form of cocaine on one or more occasions in their lifetime.

When students were asked if they have "sniffed glue, breathed the contents of aerosol spray cans, or inhaled any paints or sprays to get high one or more times during their life," *Statewide* results illustrated that 11.1 percent of students had engaged in this behavior; percentages for *Region V* were slightly lower, at 8.7 percent.

When asked whether they had used "methamphetamines one or more times during their life," the *Statewide* results indicated that 4.1 percent of students had used methamphetamines at least once, and *Region V* rate of use was lower at 3.9 percent.

Statewide outcomes indicate that the percentage of students who were "offered, sold, or given an illegal drug by someone on school property during the past 12 months" was 18.7 percent. *Region V* percentages were higher at 19.3 percent.

The next section will discuss in detail the research methods that were used to efficiently complete the research process. The topics that will be discussed include survey construction/measurement, sample populations, overall sample sizes, participant selection for the *Key Informant* groups and the *Community Members*, the procedure for survey distribution, and response rates for both participants and non-participants.

Methods

The RCJC, in collaboration with the North Dakota Department of Human Services, Division of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services, completed this research project in an attempt to assess community perceptions regarding issues of alcohol and substance abuse. The data obtained reflect small towns and communities and resident's willingness to recognize AOD use within their communities. Recipients of the survey were provided the opportunity to communicate their beliefs and opinions regarding AOD abuse. It is anticipated that the data collected from this study will create opportunities for frontier, rural, and urban communities within North Dakota to respond effectively to these issues.

Survey Development

This project involved one data collection instrument designed to assess the community's perception of AOD use within their community. A portion of the questions contained in this survey are used with permission from the *Community Readiness Survey* © 1999 by the Minnesota Institute of Public Health (www.miph.org). Five specific areas were addressed in the survey: Community Perception of an Alcohol and Other Drug (AOD) Problem; Permissiveness of Attitudes Toward AOD use; Community Support for AOD Prevention; Access to Alcohol and Other Drugs; and Perception of Community Commitment. The input received from selected community members will facilitate more effective responses in dealing with substance abuse.

The survey included ten demographic questions, sixty-one ranking questions, followed by three open-ended questions.

Survey Construction/Measurement

Ideally, this survey was created to evaluate the opinions of community members related to AOD use within frontier, rural, and urban communities throughout North Dakota. Of the five specific classifications included, each was intended to evaluate different areas of AOD issues. This survey was compiled based on a ranking system and all questions, with the exception of the demographic questions, were ranked using two, four, or five point Likert scales.

Section 1 – Demographics

This section of the survey focused on demographic questions, including age, sex, race/ethnicity, employment status and current occupation, level of church and community volunteering, location (i.e., city and county), and number of children present in the home.

Section 2 - Community Perception of an AOD Problem

Items in this category focused on perception of alcohol and other drug use issues within each community. Participants were questioned as to how much of a problem they perceived for both adults and youth regarding the following: use of alcohol; use of tobacco; and use of marijuana to be in their community. Other items consisted of use of inhalants, cocaine, methamphetamine, over-the-counter drugs,

prescription drugs, and hallucinogens. Final items in this section included contribution of drug and alcohol use to crashes or injuries and contribution of drug and alcohol use to crime.

Section 3 - Permissiveness of Attitudes toward AOD use

This section focused on how tolerant community members may or may not be in relation to AOD issues. Items required participants to indicate the extent to which they either *agree* or *disagree* with the following statements: youth consuming alcohol at parties; youth drinking and driving; youth smoking cigarettes; youth alcohol and other drug experimentation; parents offering alcoholic beverages to youth in their own homes; community acceptance of underage drinking; driving while under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs; and riding in a motor vehicle with someone under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs.

Section 4 - Community Support for AOD Prevention

Willingness to support AOD prevention initiatives was the focus of this section of the survey. Participants were asked to rank the items ranging from *strongly disagree* to *strongly agree*. Items included the following: a focus on law enforcement competency; support for educational and prevention programs; community commitment; advertising devices; tax issues related to alcohol and tobacco; the enforcement of drinking and driving laws; and laws prohibiting parents providing alcohol to their own children.

Additional items in this section assessed responses regarding support for the following statements: drinking age of 21 years; penalties for adults who provide alcohol to youth; compliance checks; laws prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children; and DUI checkpoints. Respondents were also questioned as to whether or not they support *bans* on the following: liquors advertisements on TV; beer and wine advertisements on TV; and billboard alcohol advertisements. The final series of questions asked respondents if they would be willing to attend the following events if they were alcohol-free: a party; wedding dance/reception; and sporting events.

Section 5 - Access to Alcohol and Other Drugs

This series of questions focused on the availability of alcohol and other drugs within the sampled communities. Respondents were asked to rank the level of difficulty in which youth can obtain alcohol under the following circumstances: liquor store accessibility; bar accessibility; at home accessibility; and alcohol provided by parents and/or other family members. Regarding the accessibility of illegal substances within the community, respondents were asked to rank how difficult it is to access marijuana, inhalants, cocaine, methamphetamine, and hallucinogens.

Section 6 - Perception of Community Commitment

Lastly, participants were asked to rank the community's overall commitment to addressing the perceived problems of alcohol and substance use. The questions focused on the importance of preventing alcohol and drug use, concern for the availability of sufficient prevention programs, the most effective method for achieving drug free youth, through educating youth, parents, or community members, the presence of leaders within the community willing to address these issues, where to seek assistance within

the community regarding these matters, the presence of a community action plan, and primary sources for information regarding crime.

Sample Population

Participants were selected using a random sample from telephone directories representing community members throughout North Dakota, as well as databases of professionals obtained from respective agencies chosen for the study. Random numbers were selected from a random numbers table, as guided by statistical sampling procedures. The random numbers identified were 34 and 81. For the shorter directories, every 34th name was selected and for the larger directories, every 81st name. The numbers chosen were adequate in ensuring that each directory was, at minimum, sampled through one time.

Overall Sample Sizes

The overall sample consisted of 16,125 individuals selected statewide. The sample of 16,125 was divided into two groups: *Community Members* and *Key Informants*. The same survey instrument was used for both groups.

Community Members Participant Selection (N=14400)

For the Community Members sample of 14,400, participants were selected through a systematic random sampling of households. The households to be sampled were selected from North Dakota telephone directories. The two main sources utilized to obtain this information included: 1) North Dakota Telephone Association (i.e., maintain listings on Local Exchange Companies) and 2) DEX electronic directories. Local exchange companies provided the following directories for sampling: Polar Communications; Souris River Telephone (SRT) Communications; Consolidated Badlands; Southeast North Dakota; Durum Triangle; and Northwest North Dakota. DEX Directories provided residential information on the following cities and surrounding areas: Bismarck/Mandan; Dickinson; Fargo/Moorhead; Grafton; Grand Forks/East Grand Forks; North Dakota/South Central; Jamestown/Valley City; Wahpeton/Breckenridge; and Williston. The listings included the following information: non-residential listings; duplicate phone numbers (i.e., households with more than one landline were counted as one household); and listings from towns/cities (i.e., East Grand Forks, Moorhead, Breckenridge) bestriding neighboring states (i.e., Minnesota, South Dakota).

Since the community member participants were selected from the telephone directories, the selection included the risk of eliminating potential candidates for the study due to unlisted telephone numbers and relocation. However, this was the most exhaustive and accurate listing that could be obtained for the purposes of this study.

Sample Size for Community Members

Sample sizes were predetermined by the Department of Human Services, Division of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services (See Appendix A). Within each region, 1,800 Community Members (i.e., 600

each from **urban**, **rural**, **frontier**) were sampled tallying 14,400 across all eight regions in North Dakota. Definitions of population density, although differing by source, were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau system. *Urban Areas* (UA) are defined as those counties with a central city and the surrounding area consisting of a population of 50,000 or more. An *Urban Cluster* (UC) consists of densely settled territory that has at least 2,500 people but fewer than 50,000. Subsequently, by this definition *Rural Areas* consist of all territory located outside of urbanized areas and urban clusters. A *Frontier County* describes a county with a population density of six or fewer persons per square mile (U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000). For North Dakota, persons per square mile according to the U.S. Census, 2000, averaged 9.3.

Key Informant Group Participant Selection (N=1725)

The Key Informant sample was selected from the following professions: School Counselors; Family Medicine/Physicians; Social Services; Treatment Providers; Prevention Coordinators; and Law Enforcement agencies to include Police and Sheriff. The names and addresses of individuals were obtained by purchasing Excel databases of the respective agencies/entities. A listing of current employees within each professional group was obtained as detailed below:

- 1. *School Counselors in North Dakota*-purchased through North Dakota Department of Public Instruction (NDDPI);
- 2. *Family Medicine Physicians*-free of charge through the North Dakota Academy of Family Physicians;
- 3. Social Services-purchased from North Dakota Board of Social Work Examiners (NDBSWE);
- 4. *Treatment Providers* purchased from North Dakota Board of Addiction Counseling Examiners (NDBACE)
- 5. Law Enforcement Agencies-Police and Sheriff Departments were identified through a public listing of agencies from the North Dakota Peace Officer Standards and Training Boards (NDPOST)
- 6. *Prevention Coordinators*-provided by the state Prevention Administrator

Each North Dakota law enforcement agency (113) was included in the sample (i.e., one survey mailed to each agency/department), as well as one survey mailed to all 12 Prevention Coordinators statewide (totaling 125 surveys distributed among these two groups). For the remaining Key Informant Groups, *Proportional Allocation* was used to determine what percentage of each database must be selected to attain a sample of 1,600. The table below demonstrates the proposed sample sizes as guided by this formula:

KEY INFORMANT GROUP	Group Database N	Sample N
School Counselor	347	209
Family Medicine Physicians	385	232
Social Services	1648	990
Treatment Providers	281	169
Law Enforcement agencies	113	113
Prevention Coordinators	12	12
Total	<i>2786</i>	1725

The proportions were sampled from each database using a *Random Number Function* in Microsoft Office Excel, 2007. This function randomly selected the sample from each group (i.e., assigned computer program-generated random numbers to each row item/name, shuffled, and sorted the spreadsheet allowing the desired sample to be drawn).

Region V Sample Population

Of the 14,400 Community Members sampled statewide, 1,800 individuals were selected to represent *Region V* by Urban, Rural, and Frontier population densities. Southeast North Dakota Telephone Directory and the online DEX directory were the directories used for sampling, therefore represented the population within this region.

Survey Distribution

Prior to distributing the survey, a press conference was held focusing on alcohol and substance abuse in North Dakota communities in which the public was informed that a statewide AOD study would be administered in the spring. Of the first sample, 1,725 participants were selected from the key informant databases representing the professional groups previously mentioned. For the 14,400 general community members, these individuals were selected from the telephone directories to include all eight regions of North Dakota.

Surveys were mail administered and included a detailed letter discussing the nature and anonymity of the study (to include confidentiality, participation opt in/opt out, etc.), a prepaid return envelope, and an entry form for the incentive gas card drawing. This provided recipients the opportunity to become eligible for a chance to win a prepaid gas card reliant upon completion and return of the survey.

In order to minimize the number of *undeliverable* surveys, the envelope was addressed to the name selected in the phone directory and included *or current resident*. In May 2008, the surveys were mailed to the selected 16,125 and respondents were given three weeks to complete and return the survey. Data entry was completed on June 30, 2008.

Response Rates - Statewide Participants

Of the 16,125 individuals surveyed, the overall response rate was 18.9 percent (3,046 of the 16,125). The response rate for the Community Members (14,400 sampled) was 16.81 percent, and for the Key Informants (1,625 sampled), the response rate was 36.29 percent.

The following is a breakdown of those Key Informant Groups with *adequate* rates of response: School Counselors (53.11%); Social Services (35.35%); Treatment Providers (41.42%); Police Departments (43.44%); Sheriffs (33.96%); and Prevention Coordinators (100%). The only Key Informant Group generating a low response rate was Family Medicine Physicians (16.81%). In an attempt to ensure accurate response rates, respondents were given the opportunity to qualify for a prepaid gas card drawing if the survey was completed and returned. Among the surveys completed, the incentive participation rate was 15.2 percent.

Response Rates - Statewide Non-Participants

The number of items returned totaled 79 (.48% of the surveys mailed out). Of the Key Informants, four social workers and one family medicine physician surveys were returned stating "no longer work here." Three surveys received from one law enforcement agency were excluded, as they were photocopies of the original survey. Among the Community Members, 52 surveys were returned as "undeliverable." Remaining surveys were returned uncompleted for the following reasons as noted:

- Deceased (n=2);
- Do not participate in surveys/remove name from mailing list (n=4);
- Did not feel qualified/not in touch with current issues/too old (n=3);
- Completed surveys were returned past the deadline (n=13).

Response Rates - Region V Participants

Three hundred and eighty-six individuals from *Region V* participated in the survey. Of the 1,800 Community Members sampled within *Region V*, 272 (70.5%) individuals completed and returned the survey resulting in a response rate of 15.1 percent within this region. Among Key Informants, the total number of respondents in this group was 114, which accounts 29.5 percent of the total (N=386) within this region. The following is a breakdown of representation by profession within Region V: *School Counselors* (N=21, 5.4%); *Family Medicine-Physicians* (N=5, 1.3%); *Social Services* (N=70, 18.1%); *Treatment Providers* (N=12, 3.1%); *LE-Police* (N=3, .8%); *LE-Sheriff* (N=2, .5%); and *Prevention Coordinators* (N=1, .3%).

Sample Composition by Respondent Geographic Location (Region V, N=386)

FRONTIER (N=87, 22.5%)

- Counties: Sargent, Steele
- Towns/Cities: Cogswell, Finley, Forman*, Gwinner*, Havana, Hope, Luverne, Milnor*, Rutland, Sharon*, Stirum

RURAL (N=117, 30.3%)


- Counties: Cass, Ransom, Richland, Traill
- Towns/Cities: Abercrombie, Amenia, Argusville*, Buxton*, Casselton*, Christine, Clifford, Colfax*, Davenport, Enderlin*, Erie, Fairmount, Gardner, Hankinson*, Harwood*, Hatton*, Hillsboro*, Horace*, Hunter, Kindred, Leonard, Lidgerwood, Lisbon*, Mapleton, Mayville, Mooreton, Page, Portland*, Sheldon, Tower City, Walcott, Wheatland, Wyndmere

URBAN (N=182, 47.2%)

- **Counties:** Cass, Richland
- Towns/Cities: Fargo*, Wahpeton*, West Fargo*

The next section will highlight the results of the study and will include frequencies (basic percentages) according to *Community Members* and *Key Informants*, separated by Urban, Rural, and Frontier. This will include *Region V* results, as well as *Statewide* results.

^{*}denotes a Key Informant town/city of residence



Section 1: Demographics

This section of the survey focused on demographic questions, including age, sex, race/ethnicity, employment status, current occupation, level of church and community volunteering, recipient location, and number of children present in the home.

Table 1.1: WHAT I	S YOUR AGE?																		
- B				сомі	ииии	Y MEI	MBERS	S				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VStatewide		Url	ban	Ru	ıral	Fror	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Uri	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier		ormant TAL	тот	ΓAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	18-20 years	- 6	- .7	2 3	2.0 .4	3	- .4	2 12	.7 .5	-	1 1	-	-	-	1 1	-	1 1	2 12	.5 .4
	21-24 years	- 15	- 1.7	1 9	1.0 1.3	2 9	2.4 1.1	3 33	1.1 1.4	- 2	- .5	-	-	- 3	- 2.6	- 5	- .8	3 38	.8 1.3
	25-44 years	22 203	24.2 23.5	31 173	31.6 24.3	19 151	22.9 19.3	72 527	26.5 22.4	39 170	42.9 42.9	7 30	36.8 36.1	1 41	25.0 36.0	47 241	41.2 40.6	119 768	30.8 26.0
	45-64 years	56 412	61.5 47.7	43 322	43.9 45.3	40 347	48.2 44.3	139 1081	51.1 45.9	49 210	53.8 53.0	12 50	63.2 60.2	3 65	75.0 57.0	64 325	56.1 54.8	203 1406	52.6 47.7
	65 + years	11 215	12.1 24.9	20 197	20.4 27.7	21 259	25.3 33.1	52 671	19.1 28.5	2 12	2.2 3.0	3	- 3.6	- 5	4.4	2 20	1.8 3.4	54 691	14.0 23.4
	Did not answer	2 12	2.2 1.4	1 7	1.0 1.0	1 14	1.2 1.8	4 33	1.5 1.4	1 2	1.1 .5	-	-	-	-	1 2	. <mark>9</mark> .3	5 35	1.3 1.2
	Total	91 863	100 100	98 711	100 100	83 783	100 100	272 2357	100 100	91 396	100 100	19 83	100 100	4 114	100 100	114 593	100 100	386 2950	100 100

Viewing the overall age distribution of *Statewide* and *Region V* respondents, (47.7% and 52.6% respectively), it is apparent that roughly fifty percent of respondents fall within the 45-64 age range. Nearly one-third (30.8%) of *Region V* respondents were between 25-44 years of age and 14 percent were 65 or older. Moreover, when compared to the U.S. Census 2006 estimates which report that persons 65 years and over account for 14.6 percent of North Dakota's population, *Region V* was representative.

	Table 1.2: SEX																			
					СОМ	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBER:	S				KE	Y INFO	DRMA	NTS			OVER	RALL
	Region VStatewide		Url	ban	Rι	ıral	Fror	ntier	Comm Member	,	Ur	ban	Ru	ıral	Fro	ntier	,	ormant TAL	тот	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Ī		Male	29 342	31.9 39.6	32 234	32.7 32.9	37 288	44.6 36.8	98 864	36.0 36.7	19 94	20.9 23.7	4 21	21.1 25.3	- 42	36.8	23 157	20.2 26.5	121 1021	31.3 34.6
		Female	60 506	65.9 58.6	65 466	66.3 65.5	45 476	54.2 60.8	170 1448	62.5 61.4	71 298	78.0 75.3	15 62	78.9 74.7	4 72	100 63.2	90 432	78.9 72.8	260 1880	67.4 63.7
		Did not answer	2 15	2.2 1.7	1 11	1.0 1.5	1 19	1.2 2.4	4 45	1.5 1.9	1 4	1.1 1.0	-	-	-	-	1 4	. <mark>9</mark> .7	5 49	1.3 1.7
		Total	91 863	100 100	98 711	100 100	83 783	100 100	272 2357	100 100	91 396	100 100	19 83	100 100	4 114	100 100	114 593	100 100	386 2950	100 100

According to the U.S. Census Bureau 2006 estimates, females constitute 49.8 percent of the population in North Dakota. At the *Statewide* level, 63.7 percent (61.4% *Community Members* and 72.8% *Key Informants*) of the survey respondents were *female*. Likewise, the majority (67.4%) of survey respondents in *Region V* (62.5% of *Community Members* and 78.9% of *Key Informants*) were *female*.

Table 1.3:	RACE																		
				сомі	MUNI	Y ME	MBER	S				KE	Y INFO	DRMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VStatewid		Uri	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier		munity er TOTAL	Ur	ban	Ru	ıral	Fro	ntier	Key Inf	ormant TAL	TO	TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Caucasian	86 827	94.5 95.8	95 676	96.9 95.1	80 742	96.4 94.8	261 2245	96.0 95.2	89 382	97.8 96.5	19 72	100 86.7	4 98	100 86.0	112 552	98.2 93.1	373 2797	96.6 94.8
	Black or African American	1 2	1.1 .2	-	-	- 2	- .3	1 4	.4 .2	- 2	- .5	-	-	- 1	- .9	3	- .5	1 7	.3 .2
	American Indian or Alaska Native	- 9	1.0	1 22	1.0 3.1	2 12	2.4 1.5	3 43	1.1 1.8	1 6	1.1 1.5	- 10	- 12.0	- 13	- 11.4	1 29	. <mark>9</mark> 4.9	4 72	1.0 2.4
,	Asian	- 1	- .1	-	-	-	-	- 1	- .0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>-</u> 1	- .0
	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1 2	1.1 .2	-	-	-	-	1 2	.4 .1	- 1	- .3	-	-	-	-	- 1	- .2	1 3	.3 .1
'	Hispanic or Latino	-	-	-	-	- 1	- .1	- 1	- .0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>-</u> 1	- .0
	Other	- 2	- .2	- 1	.1	- 4	<u>-</u> .5	- 7	- .3	-	-	-	-	- 1	- .9	- 1	- .2	<u>-</u> 8	- .3
,	Did not answer	3 20	3.3 2.3	2 12	2.0 1.7	1 22	1.2 2.8	6 54	2.2 2.3	1 5	1.1 1.3	- 1	- 1.2	- 1	- .9	1 7	. <mark>9</mark> 1.2	7 61	1.8 2.1
	Total	91 863	100 100	98 711	100 100	83 783	100 100	272 2357	100 100	91 396	100 100	19 83	100 100	4 114	100 100	114 593	100 100	386 2950	100 100

According to the U.S. Census Bureau 2006, North Dakota race/ethnicity estimates for white persons was 91.9 percent and for *American Indian and Alaska Native* persons, 5.4 percent. Given the racial and ethnic composition of North Dakota, it is evident that the sample was slightly overrepresented with 94.8 percent of the *Statewide* sample and 96.6 percent of the *Region V* sample being *Caucasian*.

Table 1.4: EMP	PLOYMENT STATUS																		
				сом	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBER	S				KE	Y INFO	DRMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VStatewide		Ur	ban	Rι	ıral	Froi	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Ur	ban	Ru	ıral	Fro	ntier		ormant TAL	_	TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Full Time	54 462	59.3 53.5	52 339	53.1 47.7	41 356	49.4 45.5	147 1157	54.0 49.1	80 355	87.9 89.6	18 71	94.7 85.5	4 99	100 86.8	102 525	89.5 88.5	249 1682	64.5 57.0
	Part Time	8 93	8.8 10.8	17 102	17.3 14.3	8 91	9.6 11.6	33 286	12.1 12.1	5 24	5.5 6.1	1 8	5.3 9.6	- 8	- 7.0	6 40	5.3 6.7	39 326	10.1 11.1
	Full Time w/ Second Job	9 33	9.9 3.8	2 24	2.0 3.4	3 27	3.6 3.4	14 84	5.1 3.6	5 9	5.5 2.3	- 2	- 2.4	- 6	- 5.3	5 17	4.4 2.9	19 101	4.9 3.4
	Not Employed	18 260	19.8 30.1	24 232	24.5 32.6	30 290	36.1 37.0	<mark>72</mark> 782	26.5 33.2	- 5	- 1.3	- 2	- 2.4	-	-	- 7	- 1.2	<mark>72</mark> 789	18.7 26.7
	Did not answer	2 15	2.2 1.7	3 14	3.1 2.0	1 19	1.2 2.4	6 48	2.2 2.0	1 3	1.1 .8	-	_	1	.9	1 4	. <mark>9</mark> .7	7 52	1.8 1.8
	Total	91 863	100 100	98 711	100 100	83 783	100 100	272 2357	100 100	91 396	100 100	19 83	100 100	4 114	100 100	114 593	100 100	386 2950	100 100

Fifty-seven percent of the *Statewide* sample and 64.5 percent of the *Region V* sample report *full-time* employment, while 26.7 percent of the *Statewide* sample and 18.7 percent of the *Region V* sample were *not employed*.

Table 1.5: CURRENT OCCUPATION (if not working, check category of last employment) **COMMUNITY MEMBERS KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region V Community Key Informant TOTAL Urban Urban Rural Frontier Rural Frontier Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL Ν % Ν % Ν % % Ν % Ν % % Ν % Ν % Ν 2.2 11 11.2 12 14.5 9.2 6.5 25 25 **Agriculture** 13.7 .9 11.0 4.5 116 16.3 168 21.5 323 1 1 .2 324 Construction/Mining 2 3 6 2.2 6 1.6 1.1 2.0 3.6 35 4.1 38 5.3 27 3.4 100 4.2 100 3.4 5.7 Manufacturing 4 4.4 5 5.1 13 15.7 22 8.1 22 28 3.2 29 4.1 24 3.1 81 3.4 81 2.7 Transportation/Utilities 8.8 4 4.1 2 2.4 14 5.1 14 3.6 4.6 21 3.0 21 2.7 82 3.5 82 2.8 Wholesale 2 2.2 2 .7 2 .5 13 20 .7 1.5 .4 4 .5 20 .8 5 5 6.0 5.9 4.1 Retail 6 6.6 5.1 16 16 77 8.9 9.0 42 5.4 183 7.8 183 6.2 64 Finance/Real Estate 1.1 6 6.1 2 2.4 3.3 9 2.3 2.4 3.1 17 2.4 25 3.2 69 2.9 .3 .2 70 **Business and Repair Services** 4.4 5.1 3 3.6 12 4.4 12 3.1 30 3.5 28 3.9 18 2.3 76 3.2 76 2.6 **Professional** 32 35.2 24 24.5 14 16.9 70 25.7 60 65.9 47.4 25.0 70 61.4 140 36.3 245 28.4 139 19.5 172 22.0 556 23.6 246 62.1 44 53.0 48 42.1 338 57.0 894 30.3 Government 8.8 3 3.1 9 10.8 20 7.4 20 22.0 1 5.3 21 18.4 41 10.6 10.0 77 9.8 9.0 14.5 22.8 18.0 10.8 48 6.8 211 69 17.4 12 26 107 318 Leisure and Hospitality 4.4 5 5.1 5 6.0 14 5.1 14 3.6 36 4.2 6.0 47 6.0 126 5.3 2 .5 2 128 4.3 43 .3 Education 13 14.3 20 20.4 10 12.0 43 15.8 11 12.1 8 42.1 3 75.0 19.3 65 16.8 22 14.2 79 13.2 71 17.9 24 28.9 35 30.7 442 15.0 132 15.3 101 10.1 312 130 21.9 Other (selected but did not specify) 4.4 4.1 2.9 2.1 35 4.1 27 3.8 41 5.2 103 4.4 4 1.0 1 1.2 3 2.6 8 1.3 111 3.8 Student 1 1.0 .4 1 .3 2 .3 5 .2 5 .2 1 .1 2 .3 **Entrepreneur/Self-Employed** 1.1 1 .4 .3 .2 .6 2 8 .3 8 .3 4 .3 -Clergy .1 1 .1 3 .4 5 .2 5 .2 3 3.1 5 6.0 9 3.3 .9 10 2.6 Did not answer 1.1 1 5.3 36 4.2 30 4.2 31 4.0 97 4.1 3 .8 2 2.4 .9 1.0 103 3.5 100 272 Total 91 100 98 83 100 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 386 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100

At the *Statewide* level, *Professional* (23.6%), *Agriculture* (13.7%), and *Education* (13.2%) were among the most frequent categories of employment selected by *Community Members*, while *Key Informants* categorized their employment as *Professional* (57.0%), and *Education* (21.9%). Within *Region V*, *Professional* (25.7%), and *Education* (15.8%) were among the most frequent categories of employment selected by *Community Members*, while *Key Informants* categorized their employment as *Professional* (61.4%), *Education* (19.3%), and *Government* (18.4%).

Table 1.6: COM	IMUNITY VOLUNTEERI	NG-0	Churc	h															
				сомі	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	6				KE	Y INFO	DRMA	NTS			OVER	RALL
Region VStatewide		Uri	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier	Comm Member	,	Ur	ban	Rι	ıral	Froi	ntier	,	ormant TAL	тот	AL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Volunteer	50 384	54.9 44.5	45 377	45.9 53.0	44 422	53.0 53.9	139 1183	51.1 50.2	32 153	35.2 38.6	9 43	47.4 51.8	3 60	75.0 52.6	44 256	38.6 43.2	183 1439	47.4 48.8
	Do not volunteer	41 466	45.1 54.0	53 327	54.1 46.0	38 353	45.8 45.1	132 1146	48.5 48.6	59 241	64.8 60.9	10 40	52.6 48.2	1 54	25.0 47.4	70 335	61.4 56.5	202 1481	52.3 50.2
	Did not answer	13	- 1.5	- 7	1.0	1 8	1.2 1.0	1 28	.4 1.2	- 2	- .5	-	-	-	-	- 2	.3	1 30	.3 1.0
	Total	91 863	100 100	98 711	100 100	83 783	100 100	272 2357	100 100	91 396	100 100	19 83	100 100	4 114	100 100	114 593	100 100	386 2950	100 100

Table 1.7: COM	IMUNITY VOLUNTEERI	NG-0	Civic																
				сомі	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	DRMA	NTS			OVEF	RALL
Region VStatewide	•		ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier	Comm Member	•	Ur	ban	Rı	ıral	Fro	ntier	,	formant TAL	тот	ΓAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Volunteer	28 257	30.8 29.8	32 243	32.7 34.2	32 334	38.6 42.7	92 834	33.8 35.4	27 146	29.7 36.9	7 39	36.8 47.0	2 43	50.0 37.7	36 228	31.6 38.4	128 1062	33.2 36.0
	Do not volunteer	63 593	69.2 68.7	66 461	67.3 64.8	50 441	60.2 56.3	1 7 9 1495	65.8 63.4	64 248	70.3 62.6	12 44	63.2 53.0	2 71	50.0 62.3	78 363	68.4 61.2	257 1858	66.6 63.0
	Did not answer	- 13	- 1.5	- 7	1.0	1 8	1.2 1.0	1 28	.4 1.2	- 2	- .5	-	-	-	-	2	- .3	1 30	.3 1.0
	Total	91 863	100 100	98 711	100 100	83 783	100 100	272 2357	100 100	91 396	100 100	19 83	100 100	4 114	100 100	114 593	100 100	386 2950	100 100

Volunteering is often considered to be a primary activity for promoting both social and personal change. Nearly half (48.8%) of *Statewide* respondents indicated they participate in *church-related* community volunteering. Similarly, 47.4 percent of *Region V* respondents indicated participation in *church-related* volunteering while 52.3 percent indicated they do not participate. When asked to specify the type of *church-related* volunteering, responses ranged from providing guidance to youth, teaching bible studies/Sunday school, and fundraising through membership in women's groups.

In regards to *civic-related* volunteering activity, two-thirds of *Statewide* (63.0%) and *Region V* (66.6%) respondents reported that they *do not volunteer*.

Table 1.8: NU	MBER of CHILDREN in YC	UR I	HOM	E															
				сом	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVER	RALL
Region VStatewide		Uri	ban	Rι	ıral	Froi	ntier	Comm Member	,	Ur	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier	Key Inf	ormant TAL	тот	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	None	52	57.1	57	58.2	51	61.4	160	58.8	52	57.1	6	31.6	2	50.0	60	52.6	220	57.0
	(including children NOT living at home)	540	62.6	443	62.3	524	66.9	1507	63.9	202	51.0	39	47.0	46	40.4	287	48.4	1794	60.8
	1-2	28	30.8	31	31.6	16	19.3	75	27.6	30	33.0	7	36.8	1	25.0	38	33.3	113	29.3
		231	26.8	190	26.7	185	23.6	606	25.7	155	39.1	29	34.9	49	43.0	233	39.3	839	28.4
	3+	10	11.0	10	10.2	12	14.5	32	11.8	9	9.9	5	26.3	1	25.0	15	13.2	47	12.2
		83	9.6	72	10.1	64	8.2	219	9.3	39	9.8	14	16.9	17	14.9	70	11.8	289	9.8
	Did not answer	1	1.1	-	-	4	4.8	5	1.8	-	-	1	5.3	-	-	1	.9	<i>6</i>	1.6
		9	1.0	6	.8	10	1.3	25	1.1	-	-	1	1.2	2	1.8	3	.5	28	.9
	Total	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

Overall, roughly sixty percent of *Statewide* and *Region V* respondents reported having *no children (including children NOT living at home)* while almost thirty percent (28.4% *Statewide* and 29.3% *Region V*) indicated *1-2* children. This would be expected given that a considerable number of respondents fell within the 45 and above age groups.

Section 2: Community Perception of Alcohol & Other Drug (AOD) Problem

Items in this category focused on perception of alcohol and other drug use issues within each community. Participants were questioned as to how much of a problem they perceived for both adults and youth regarding the following: use of alcohol; use of tobacco; and use of marijuana to be in their community. Other items consisted of use of Inhalants, Cocaine, Methamphetamine, Over-the-Counter Drugs, Prescription Drugs, and Hallucinogens. Final items in this section included contribution of Drug and Alcohol use to crashes or injuries, and contribution of Drug and Alcohol use to crime.

NOTE: It should be kept in mind that the following tables are **NOT comparative** in nature. In other words, though presented side-by-side, the responses of the community members and those of the key informants are NOT being compared. The tables simply present a descriptive account about how each group responded to each question.

Table 2.1.1: I	Perception of ALCOHOL	use i	n yoı	ır co	mmu	nity													
				сомі	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	S				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VStatewide		Uri	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Ur	ban	Rι	ıral	Froi	ntier	Key Info	ormant FAL	тот	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT A PROBLEM	2	2.2	11	11.2	5	6.0	18	6.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	4.7
		34	3.9	47	6.6	46	5.9	127	5.4	2	.5	-	-	1	.9	3	.5	130	4.4
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	60	65.9	61	62.2	58	69.9	179	65.8	50	54.9	12	63.2	3	75.0	65	57.0	244	<i>63.2</i>
		552	64.0	449	63.2	535	68.3	1536	65.2	219	55.3	48	57.8	77	67.5	344	58.0	1880	63.7
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	25	27.5	19	19.4	14	16.9	58	21.3	38	41.8	6	31.6	1	25.0	45	39.5	103	26.7
ADULT use		239	27.7	155	21.8	152	19.4	546	23.2	168	42.4	33	39.8	35	30.7	236	39.8	782	26.5
ADOLI use	DON'T KNOW	3	3.3	7	7.1	5	6.0	15	5.5	3	3.3	1	5.3	-	-	4	3.5	19	4.9
		32	3.7	52	7.3	36	4.6	120	5.1	7	1.8	2	2.4	1	.9	10	1.7	130	4.4
	Did not answer	1	1.1	-	-	1	1.2	2	.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	.5
		6	.7	8	1.1	14	1.8	28	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	.9
	Total	91	100	<i>98</i>	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

				сомі	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	RMAI	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VStatewide		Uri	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier	Comn Membe	,	Url	ban	Ru	ral	Fror	ntier	,	ormant TAL		TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT A PROBLEM	-	-	5	5.1	1	1.2	6	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	1.6
		13	1.5	39	5.5	23	2.9	75	3.2	1	.3	-	-	-	-	1	.2	76	2.6
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	41	45.1	52	53.1	46	55.4	139	51.1	32	35.2	6	31.6	3	75.0	41	36.0	180	46.6
		336	38.9	327	46.0	404	51.6	1067	45.3	129	32.6	28	33.7	53	46.5	210	35.4	1277	43.3
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	44	48.4	30	30.6	27	32.5	101	37.1	56	61.5	13	68.4	1	25.0	70	61.4	171	44.3
VOLITIL		448	51.9	259	36.4	266	34.0	973	41.3	256	64.6	52	62.7	61	53.5	369	62.2	1342	45.5
YOUTH use	DON'T KNOW	-	-	9	9.2	5	6.0	14	5.1	1	1.1	-	-	-	-	1	.9	15	3.9
		38	4.4	55	7.7	51	6.5	144	6.1	4	1.0	1	1.2	-	-	5	.8	149	5.1
	Did not answer	6	6.6	2	2.0	4	4.8	12	4.4	2	2.2	-	-	-	-	2	1.8	14	3.6
		28	3.2	31	4.4	39	5.0	98	4.2	6	1.5	2	2.4	-	-	8	1.3	106	3.6
	Total	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

Regarding *adult* use of alcohol, 65.2 percent of the *Statewide Community Members* indicated that *adult* use of alcohol is a *minor to moderate* problem within their community and 23.2 percent felt this was a *serious* problem. Similarly, 58.0 percent of the *Statewide Key Informant* participants felt this was a *minor to moderate* problem within their community and 39.8 percent responded this was a *serious* problem. Within *Region V*, 65.8 percent of the *Community Members* and 57.0 percent of the *Key Informants* indicated *adult* use of alcohol to be a *minor to moderate* problem within their community, while 21.3 percent and 39.5 percent respectively felt this was a *serious* problem in the community.

Alcohol use by *youth* was considered to be more of a problem at both the statewide and regional level where roughly ninety percent of respondents perceived use by *youth* as problematic to some degree. Forty-five percent (45.3%) of *Community Members* in the *Statewide* sample perceived *youth* use of alcohol to be a *minor to moderate* problem, while *Key Informants* (62.2%) felt that this was a *serious* problem. Overall, 51.1 percent of the *Region V Community Member* participants felt that *youth* alcohol use was a *minor to moderate* issue and 61.4 percent of *Region V Key Informants* felt that *youth* alcohol use was a *serious* problem.

				СОМ	MUNIT	Y ME	MBERS	6				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VStatewide		Uri	ban	R	ural	Froi	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Uri	ban	Rı	ıral	Froi	ntier	,	ormant	TO.	TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT A PROBLEM	9	9.9	7	7.1	4	4.8	20	7.4	3	3.3	1	5.3	-	-	4	3.5	24	6.2
		59	6.8	43	6.0	62	7.9	164	7.0	10	2.5	3	3.6	8	7.0	21	3.5	185	6.3
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	58	63.7	64	65.3	59	71.1	181	66.5	59	64.8	14	73.7	4	100	77	67.5	258	66.
	CEDIQUE DOOD EN	542	62.8	473	66.5	545	69.6	1560	66.2	237	59.8	51	61.4	83	72.8	371	62.6	1931	65.
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	21 220	23.1 25.5	15 132	15.3 18.6	15	18.1 16.2	51	18.8 20.3	26 138	28.6 34.8	4	21.1 31.3	-	- 19.3	30	26.3 31.4	81 665	21 22.
ADULT use	DON'T KNOW	220	25.5	132	12.2	127 4	4.8	479 18	6.6	2	2.2	26	31.3	22	19.3	186 2	1.8	665 20	5.2
	John I Milest	37	4.3	53	7.5	37	4.7	127	5.4	8	2.0	3	3.6	1	.9	12	2.0	139	4.7
	Did not answer	1	1.1	-	-	1	1.2	2	.7	1	1.1	-	-	-	-	1	.9	3	.8
		5	.6	10	1.4	12	1.5	27	1.1	3	.8	-	-	-	-	3	.5	30	1.0
	Total	91	100	<i>98</i>	100	<i>83</i>	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	NOT A PROBLEM	3	3.3	7	7.1	4	4.8	14	5.1	1	1.1	-	-	-	-	1	.9	15	3.9
		24	2.8	44	6.2	27	3.4	95	4.0	2	.5	1	1.2	4	3.5	7	1.2	102	3.5
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	51	56.0	57	58.2	48	57.8	156	57.4	53	58.2	12	63.2	4	100	69	60.5	225	<i>58.</i>
		448	51.9	397	55.8	474	60.5	1319	56.0	220	55.6	48	57.8	76	66.7	344	58.0	1663	56.
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	27	29.7	19	19.4	19	22.9	65	23.9	33	36.3	7	36.8	-	-	40	35.1	105	27.
YOUTH use		319	37.0	166	23.3	165	21.1	650	27.6	163	41.2	30	36.1	30	26.3	223	37.6	873	29.
rooth use	DON'T KNOW	5	5.5	12	12.2	9	10.8	26	9.6	2	2.2	-	_	-	-	2	1.8	28	7.3
		47	5.4	78	11.0	83	10.6	208	8.8	6	1.5	2	2.4	4	3.5	12	2.0	220	7.5
	Did not answer	5	5.5	3	3.1	3	3.6	11	4.0	2	2.2	-	-	-	-	2	1.8	13	3.4
		25	2.9	26	3.7	34	4.3	85	3.6	5	1.3	2	2.4	-	-	7	1.2	92	3.1
	Total	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	10
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	10

Regarding *adult* use of tobacco, 65.5 percent of *Statewide* respondents overall perceived this as a *minor to moderate* problem. Within *Region V*, 66.8 percent of respondents overall perceived tobacco use among adults as a *minor to moderate* problem.

With respect to *youth* use of tobacco, 56.4 percent of *Statewide* respondents overall perceived this as a *minor to moderate* problem while 29.6 percent rated this as a *serious* problem. Similarly, 58.3 percent of *Region V* respondents overall perceived tobacco use among *youth* as a *minor to moderate* problem and 27.2 percent rated tobacco use by youth as *serious*.

																		1	
				COM	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	6				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVE	RALI
Region V Statewide		Uri	ban	Rι	ıral	Froi	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Uri	ban	Rı	ıral	Froi	ntier	,	ormant		TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT A PROBLEM	7	7.7	11	11.2	10	12.0	28	10.3	-	-	2	10.5	-	-	2	1.8	<i>30</i>	7.
		58	6.7	97	13.6	110	14.0	265	11.2	8	2.0	3	3.6	10	8.8	21	3.5	286	9.
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	47	51.6	43	43.9	34	41.0	124	45.6	66	72.5	12	63.2	3	75.0	81	71.1	205	53
	CERIOUS PROPUENA	413	47.9	270	38.0	302	38.6	985	41.8	257	64.9	52	62.7	74	64.9	383	64.6	1368	46
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	8 124	8.8	5	5.1 9.1	7	8.4 9.6	20	7.4	14	15.4	4	21.1	-	-	18	15.8 18.5	38	9 12
ADULT use	DON'T KNOW	27	14.4 29.7	65 37	37.8	75 30	36.1	264 94	11.2 34.6	78 11	19.7 12.1	17	20.5 5.3	15 1	13.2 25.0	110 13	18.5	374 107	27
	DON'T KNOW	257	29.8	265	37.8	279	35.6	801	34.0	51	12.1	10	12.0	15	13.2	76	12.8	877	2
	Did not answer	2	2.2	2	2.0	2	2.4	6	2.2	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	1
		11	1.3	14	2.0	17	2.2	42	1.8	2	.5	1	1.2	-	-	3	.5	45	1
	Total	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	1
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	10
	NOT A PROBLEM	4	4.4	8	8.2	4	4.8	16	5.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	4
		21	2.4	60	8.4	64	8.2	145	6.2	3	.8	1	1.2	7	6.1	11	1.9	156	5
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	45	49.5	35	35.7	33	39.8	113	41.5	56	61.5	10	52.6	3	75.0	69	60.5	182	4
		360	41.7	266	37.4	308	39.3	934	39.6	206	52.0	47	56.6	66	57.9	319	53.8	1253	42
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	16	17.6	13	13.3	12	14.5	41	15.1	26	28.6	8	42.1	1	25.0	35	30.7	76	1
YOUTH use		230	26.7	107	15.0	108	13.8	445	18.9	148	37.4	24	28.9	20	17.5	192	32.4	637	2.
tooin use	DON'T KNOW	22	24.2	38	38.8	31	37.3	91	33.5	7	7.7	1	5.3	-	-	8	7.0	99	2.
		224	26.0	249	35.0	264	33.7	737	31.3	33	8.3	8	9.6	21	18.4	62	10.5	799	2
	Did not answer	4	4.4	4	4.1	3	3.6	11	4.0	2	2.2	-	-	-	-	2	1.8	13	3
		28	3.2	29	4.1	39	5.0	96	4.1	6	1.5	3	3.6	-	-	9	1.5	105	3
	Total	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	1
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	1

Overall, 46.4 percent of the *Statewide* sample view the use of marijuana by *adults* as a *minor to moderate* problem and 42.5 percent perceived the use of marijuana by *youth* as a *minor to moderate* problem. Nearly one-third of the *Statewide* sample indicated that they *don't know* the extent of marijuana use by *adults* and/or *youth* (29.7% and 27.1% respectively) within their community.

Survey participants residing in *Region V* displayed little variation from the statewide percentages. Overall, 53.1 percent of the *Region V* sample views the use of marijuana by *adults* a *minor to moderate* problem, while 47.2 percent perceived the use of marijuana by *youth* as a *minor to moderate*

problem. Again, nearly one-third of the *Region V* sample indicated that they *don't know* the extent of marijuana use by *adults* and/or *youth* (27.7% and 25.6% respectively) within their community.

Table 2.1.4: Pe	rception of INHALANT	(glue, p	paint, a	erosols,	solvent	s, etc.)	use i	n you	ır con	nmu	nity								
- Darian V				сомі	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	DRMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VStatewide		Url	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier	Comn Membe	,	Ur	ban	Rı	ıral	Froi	ntier	Key Info TO 1		TO	TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT A PROBLEM	20 151	22.0 17.5	28 187	28.6 26.3	20 230	24.1 29.4	68 568	25.0 24.1	22 75	24.2 18.9	6 31	31.6 37.3	2 36	50.0 31.6	30 142	26.3 23.9	98 710	25.4 24.1
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	35 290	38.5 33.6	18 133	18.4 18.7	13 123	15.7 15.7	66 546	24.3 23.2	46 205	50.5 51.8	12 34	63.2 41.0	1 39	25.0 34.2	59 278	51.8 46.9	125 824	32.4 27.9
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	1 35	1.1 4.1	- 11	1.5	- 13	1.7	1 59	.4 2.5	1 12	1.1 3.0	- 1	1.2	-	-	1 13	.9 2.2	2 72	.5 2.4
ADULT use	DON'T KNOW	33 373	36.3 43.2	50 365	51.0 51.3	47 399	56.6 51.0	130 1137	47.8 48.2	22 101	24.2 25.5	1 15	5.3 18.1	1 38	25.0 33.3	24 154	21.1 26.0	154 1291	39.9 43.8
	Did not answer	2 14	2.2 1.6	2 15	2.0 2.1	3 18	3.6 2.3	7 47	2.6 2.0	3	- .8	2	- 2.4	- 1	- .9	6	1.0	7 53	1.8 1.8
	Total	91 863	100 100	98 711	100 100	83 783	100 100	272 2357	100 100	91 396	100 100	19 83	100 100	4 114	100 100	114 593	100 100	386 2950	100 100
	NOT A PROBLEM	9 46	9.9 5.3	21 119	21.4 16.7	14 141	16.9 18.0	44 306	16.2 13.0	3 8	3.3 2.0	2 13	10.5 15.7	- 16	14.0	5 37	4.4 6.2	49 343	12.7 11.6
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	40 343	44.0 39.7	24 186	24.5 26.2	16 177	19.3 22.6	80 706	29.4 30.0	57 248	62.6 62.6	14 51	73.7 61.4	2 53	50.0 46.5	73 352	64.0 59.4	153 1058	39.6 35.9
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	6 121	6.6 14.0	3 28	3.1 3.9	2 39	2.4 5.0	11 188	4.0 8.0	14 76	15.4 19.2	2	10.5 4.8	1 12	25.0 10.5	17 92	14.9 15.5	28 280	7.3 9.5
YOUTH use	DON'T KNOW	32 325	35.2 37.7	47 355	48.0 49.9	48 386	57.8 49.3	127 1066	46.7 45.2	15 58	16.5 14.6	1 13	5.3 15.7	- 31	27.2	16 102	14.0 17.2	143 1168	37.0 39.6
	Did not answer	4	4.4	355	3.1	3	3.6	1066	3.7	2	2.2	-	-	1	25.0	3	2.6	13	3.4
	Total	28 91	3.2 100	23 98	3.2 100	40 83	5.1 100	91 272	3.9 100	6 91	1.5 100	2 19	2.4 100	2 4	1.8 100	10 114	1.7 100	101 386	3.4 100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

Although one-third of *Statewide* and *Region V* respondents perceive inhalant use among adults and youth as a *minor to moderate* problem, they appear to be less aware of the presence and use of inhalants in their community. That is, 43.8 percent of *Statewide* respondents and 39.9 percent of *Region V* respondents indicated they *don't know* when asked to indicate how problematic inhalant use by *adults* was in their community. Similarly, 39.6 percent of *Statewide* respondents and 37 percent of *Region V Community Members* indicated *don't know* when asked about *youth* use of inhalants.

Table 2.1.5: Pe	rception of COCAINE u	se in	you	com	nmun	ity													
				соми	MUNIT	Y MEN	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	RMAI	NTS			OVE	RΛΙΙ
Region VStatewide		Uri	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier	Mer	nunity mber TAL	Ur	ban	Ru	ıral	Fro	ntier		ormant TAL	TO.	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT A PROBLEM	11 96	12.1 11.1	23 135	23.5 19.0	22 203	26.5 25.9	56 434	20.6 18.4	2 14	2.2 3.5	4 18	21.1 21.7	31	- 27.2	6 63	5.3 10.6	62 497	16.1 16.8
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	38	41.8	20	20.4	17	20.5	75	27.6	55	60.4	12	63.2	3	75.0	70	61.4	145	37.6
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	317 6	36.7 6.6	148 1	20.8 1.0	151 2	19.3 2.4	616 9	26.1 3.3	237 12	59.8 13.2	43 2	51.8 10.5	42 -	36.8	322 14	54.3 12.3	938 23	31.8 6.0
ADULT use		59	6.8	25	3.5	26	3.3	110	4.7	48	12.1	5	6.0	3	2.6	56	9.4	166	5.6
112021 400	DON'T KNOW	33 376	36.3 43.6	53 390	54.1 54.9	40 385	48.2 49.2	126 1151	46.3 48.8	22 96	24.2 24.2	1 17	5.3 20.5	1 38	25.0 33.3	24 151	21.1 25.5	150 1302	38.9 44.1
	Did not answer	3	3.3	1	1.0	2	2.4	6	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	1.6
		15	1.7	13	1.8	18	2.3	46	2.0	1	.3	-	-	-	-	1	.2	47	1.6
	Total	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	100
	WOT 4 DOCUMENT	863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	NOT A PROBLEM	13 87	14.3 10.1	25 135	25.5 19.0	21 184	25.3 23.5	59 406	21.7 17.2	6 25	6.6 6.3	4 24	21.1 28.9	1 34	25.0 29.8	11 83	9.6 14.0	70 489	18.1 16.6
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	35	38.5	17	17.3	16	19.3	68	25.0	51	56.0	12	63.2	3	75.0	66	57.9	134	34.7
		303	35.1	138	19.4	134	17.1	575	24.4	228	57.6	36	43.4	40	35.1	304	51.3	879	29.8
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	5	5.5	1	1.0	1	1.2	7	2.6	9	9.9	1	5.3	-	-	10	8.8	17	4.4
VOLITIL		66	7.6	26	3.7	27	3.4	119	5.0	34	8.6	4	4.8	4	3.5	42	7.1	161	5.5
YOUTH use	DON'T KNOW	34	37.4	54	55.1	43	51.8	131	48.2	23	25.3	2	10.5	-	-	25	21.9	156	40.4
		381	44.1	388	54.6	399	51.0	1168	49.6	103	26.0	18	21.7	36	31.6	157	26.5	1325	44.9
	Did not answer	4	4.4	1	1.0	2	2.4	7	2.6	2	2.2	-	-	-	-	2	1.8	9	2.3
		26	3.0	24	3.4	39	5.0	89	3.8	6	1.5	1	1.2	-	-	7	1.2	96	3.3
	Total	91 863	100 100	98 711	100 100	83 783	100 100	272 2357	100 100	91 396	100 100	19 83	100 100	4 114	100 100	114 593	100 100	386 2950	100 100

Similar to perceptions of inhalant use, both *Statewide* and *Region V* respondents, namely *Community Members*, appear to be less aware regarding the presence and use of cocaine in their community. With *adult* use, 48.8 percent of *Statewide Community Members* and 46.3 percent of *Region V Community Members* indicated they *don't know* the extent of cocaine use by *adults* was in their community. Similarly, 49.6 percent of *Statewide Community Members* and 48.2 percent of *Region V Community Members* indicated *don't know* when asked about *youth* use of cocaine. Notably, both *Statewide* and *Region V Key Informants* rating of cocaine use was very different from *Community Members* in that between 51.3 and 61.4 percent perceived adult and youth inhalant use as a *minor to moderate problem*.

				сомі	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	6				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region V Statewide		Uri	ban	Ru	ıral	Fror	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Uri	ban	Rı	ıral	Froi	ntier	,	ormant		TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT A PROBLEM	5	5.5	9	9.2	12	14.5	26	9.6	-	-	2	10.5	-	-	2	1.8	28	7
		42	4.9	84	11.8	113	14.4	239	10.1	5	1.3	3	3.6	9	7.9	17	2.9	256	8.
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	41	45.1	38	38.8	24	28.9	103	37.9	48	52.7	15	78.9	3	75.0	66	57.9	169	43
		315	36.5	222	31.2	238	30.4	775	32.9	164	41.4	52	62.7	60	52.6	276	46.5	1051	35
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	26	28.6	17	17.3	8	9.6	51	18.8	38	41.8	2	10.5	-	-	40	35.1	91	23
ADULT use	DON'T KNOW	304 17	35.2 18.7	145 32	20.4 32.7	126 36	16.1 43.4	575 85	24.4 31.2	205 5	51.8 5.5	23	27.7	26 1	22.8 25.0	254 6	42.8 5.3	829 91	28. 23.
	DON I KNOW	193	22.4	250	35.2	289	36.9	732	31.1	22	5.6	5	6.0	18	15.8	45	5.3 7.6	777	23 26
	Did not answer	2	2.2	2	2.0	3	3.6	7	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	1.
		9	1.0	10	1.4	17	2.2	36	1.5	-	-	-	-	1	.9	1	.2	37	1.
	Total	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	10
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	10
	NOT A PROBLEM	5	5.5	9	9.2	11	13.3	25	9.2	1	1.1	3	15.8	1	25.0	5	4.4	30	7.
		23	2.7	81	11.4	89	11.4	193	8.2	6	1.5	6	7.2	24	21.1	36	6.1	229	7.
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	43	47.3	34	34.7	25	30.1	102	37.5	55	60.4	14	73.7	3	75.0	72	63.2	174	45
		323	37.4	221	31.1	234	29.9	778	33.0	196	49.5	53	63.9	49	43.0	298	50.3	1076	36.
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	19	20.9	19	19.4	7	8.4	45	16.5	23	25.3	1	5.3	-	-	24	21.1	69	17
VOLITU		293	34.0	131	18.4	114	14.6	538	22.8	145	36.6	11	13.3	13	11.4	169	28.5	707	24
YOUTH use	DON'T KNOW	20	22.0	32	32.7	37	44.6	89	32.7	9	9.9	1	5.3	-	-	10	8.8	99	25
		199	23.1	253	35.6	313	40.0	765	32.5	43	10.9	12	14.5	27	23.7	82	13.8	847	28
	Did not answer	4	4.4	4	4.1	3	3.6	11	4.0	3	3.3	_	-	_	_	3	2.6	14	3.
		25	2.9	25	3.5	33	4.2	83	3.5	6	1.5	1	1.2	1	.9	8	1.3	91	3.
	Total	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	10
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	10

Regarding the use of methamphetamines by adults, 32.9 percent of *Statewide Community Members* reported this to be a *minor to moderate* problem, 24.4 percent felt this was a *serious* problem, and 31.1 percent indicated that they *don't know* the extent of the problem. According to *Key Informants*, 46.5 percent believed that methamphetamine use by *adults* was a *minor to moderate* problem and 42.8 percent indicated this to be a *serious* problem. Only 7.6 percent reported that they *don't know* the extent of the problem.

Regarding methamphetamine use by *youth*, 33 percent of the *Community Members* believed that this was a *minor to moderate* problem, 22.8 percent reported this to be a *serious* problem, and 32.5 percent *don't know* the extent of the problem within their community. Of the *Key Informants*, 50.3

percent believed that methamphetamine use by youth was a *minor to moderate* problem, 28.5 percent indicated this was a *serious* problem, and 13.8 percent *don't know* the scope of the problem.

Region V responses from *Community Members* indicate that 37.9 percent felt *adult* use of methamphetamine was a *minor to moderate* problem, 18.8 percent indicated this to be a *serious* problem, and 31.2 percent specified that they *don't know* the extent of the problem. The *Key Informant* population appeared more informed and indicated that methamphetamine use by *adults* was more of an issue than the *Community Members* had perceived; the majority (57.9%) indicated this to be a *minor to moderate* problem, 35.1 percent perceived adult methamphetamine use as a *serious* problem.

Region V responses were very similar when comparing youth to adult use of methamphetamine. Of the *Community Member* participants, 37.5 percent felt *youth* use of methamphetamine was a *minor to moderate* problem, 16.5 percent perceived this as a *serious* problem, and 32.7 specified they *don't know* the extent of the problem. *Key Informants* appeared more informed (with only 8.8% indicating they do not know) and perceived methamphetamine use by *youth* as a *minor to moderate problem* with 63.2 percent indicating such.

Table 2.1.7: Perception of OVER-the-COUNTER DRUG (abuse of cough medicines, Dramamine, ,diet pills, sleeping pills, etc.) use in your community COMMUNITY MEMBERS **KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region V Community **Key Informant** TOTAL Urban Frontier Urban Frontier Rural Rural Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL % Ν % Ν % % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % **NOT A PROBLEM** 17 17.3 5.5 26.3 13.2 12 13.2 12 14.5 41 15.1 5 5 10 8.8 51 77 128 18.0 12 14 12.3 383 8.9 139 17.8 344 14.6 13 3.3 14.5 39 6.6 13.0 MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM 47 51.6 27 27.6 100 36.8 59 64.8 10 52.6 4 100 64.0 173 44.8 26 31.3 73 380 44.0 206 29.0 229 29.2 815 34.6 223 56.3 37 44.6 52 45.6 52.6 1127 38.2 312 **SERIOUS PROBLEM** 8 8.8 7.1 3 3.6 18 6.6 10 11.0 1 5.3 11 9.6 29 7.5 109 12.6 45 6.3 40 5.1 194 8.2 92 23.2 16 19.3 12 10.5 120 20.2 314 10.6 **ADULT** use DON'T KNOW 22 130 24.2 47 48.0 41 49.4 110 40.4 17 18.7 3 15.8 20 17.5 33.7 287 325 45.7 19.9 1094 33.3 364 46.5 976 41.4 65 16.4 17 20.5 36 31.6 118 37.1 Did not answer 2 2.2 1.2 3 1.1 3 .8 1 10 1.2 7 1.0 11 1.4 28 1.2 3 .8 1 1.2 4 .7 32 1.1 Total 91 100 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 386 100 711 783 2357 100 396 100 83 114 2950 863 100 100 100 100 100 593 100 100 **NOT A PROBLEM** 12.1 16 16.3 10 12.0 5.5 5 26.3 8.8 12.2 11 37 13.6 5 10 47 48 8 12.0 5.6 108 15.2 122 15.6 278 11.8 2.0 10 14 12.3 32 5.4 310 10.5 MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM 44 48.4 24.5 27 32.5 95 34.9 52 57.1 7 36.8 3 75.0 54.4 157 40.7 24 62 379 43.9 207 29.1 28.4 808 34.3 221 55.8 42 50.6 55 48.2 318 53.6 1126 38.2 222 **SERIOUS PROBLEM** 15 5 11 12.1 9 9.2 1 1.2 21 7.7 16.5 26.3 25.0 21 18.4 42 10.9 134 15.5 7.0 220 9.3 107 27.0 15 22.3 352 11.9 50 36 4.6 18.1 10 8.8 132 **YOUTH** use DON'T KNOW 21 23.1 48 49.0 43 51.8 17 18.7 2 10.5 16.7 131 33.9 112 41.2 19 284 32.9 326 45.9 374 47.8 984 41.7 52 13.1 15 18.1 35 30.7 102 17.2 1086 36.8 Did not answer 4.4 1.0 2 2.4 2.6 2 2.2 2 1.8 2.3 18 2.1 2.8 2.0 9 1.5 20 29 3.7 67 2.8 8 1 1.2 76 2.6 Total 91 100 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 386 100

When asked to gauge over-the-counter drug abuse in their community, both *Statewide* and *Region V Community Members* appeared to be less aware of such abuse among both *adults* and *youth* where at least forty percent reported they did not know the extent of the problem. On the other hand, both *Statewide* and *Region V Key Informants* perceived the *adult* abuse of over-the-counter drugs as a *minor to moderate* problem with 52.6 percent and 64 percent respectively. With respect to *youth* abuse, 53.6 percent of *Statewide Key Informants* and 54.5 percent of *Region V Key Informants* gauged the problem as *minor to moderate*.

783

100

2357

396

100

100

83

100

114

100

593

100

2950

100

100

711

100

863

Table 2.1.8: Perception of PRESCRIPTION DRUG (abuse of) use in your community **COMMUNITY MEMBERS KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region V Community **Key Informant** TOTAL Rural Frontier Urban Frontier Urban Rural Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL % Ν % Ν % % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % **NOT A PROBLEM** 16.3 3.3 12.4 11 12.1 16 15 18.1 42 15.4 3 3 15.8 6 5.3 48 126 17.7 137 8 352 66 7.6 17.5 329 14.0 6 1.5 9.6 7.9 23 3.9 11.9 MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM 46 50.5 30 30.6 32.5 103 37.9 52 57.1 9 47.4 3 75.0 56.1 167 43.3 27 64 389 45.1 210 29.5 252 32.2 851 36.1 201 50.8 38 45.8 51 44.7 290 48.9 1141 38.7 **SERIOUS PROBLEM** 11 12.1 6 6.1 17 6.2 20 22.0 4 21.1 1 25.0 25 21.9 42 10.9 136 15.8 47 6.6 36 4.6 219 9.3 142 35.9 19 22.9 17 14.9 178 30.0 397 13.5 **ADULT** use DON'T KNOW 125 21 23.1 46 46.9 39 47.0 106 39.0 16 17.6 15.8 19 16.7 32.4 45.6 11.6 32.5 17.0 1037 266 30.8 324 346 44.2 936 39.7 46 18 21.7 37 101 35.2 Did not answer 2 2.2 2 2.4 4 1.5 1.0 6 .7 4 .6 12 1.5 22 .9 1 .3 1 .2 23 .8 Total 91 100 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 386 100 711 783 2357 100 396 100 83 114 2950 863 100 100 100 100 100 593 100 100 **NOT A PROBLEM** 14.3 20 20.4 15 18.1 17.6 5.5 7 36.8 12 10.5 15.5 13 48 5 60 75 8.7 131 18.4 156 19.9 362 15.4 15 3.8 15 18.1 17 14.9 47 7.9 409 13.9 MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM 45 49.5 22 22.4 27.7 33.1 54 59.3 10 3 75.0 58.8 157 40.7 23 90 52.6 67 371 43.0 170 23.9 23.8 727 30.8 228 57.6 44 53.0 49 43.0 321 54.1 1048 35.5 186 **SERIOUS PROBLEM** 5 5.1 12 8 7 7.7 4.4 8.8 25.0 7.9 21 5.4 96 11.1 38 5.3 6.9 71 17.9 5 6 5.3 82 13.8 245 8.3 29 3.7 163 6.0 **YOUTH** use DON'T KNOW 23 25.3 52.0 42 50.6 42.6 22 24.2 2 10.5 24 21.1 140 36.3 51 116 304 35.2 351 49.4 379 48.4 1034 43.9 75 18.9 17 20.5 42 36.8 134 22.6 1168 39.6 Did not answer 3.3 3 3.6 2.2 2 2.2 2 1.8 2.1 17 71 7 1.8 9 1.5 80 2.7 2.0 21 3.0 33 4.2 3.0 2 2.4 Total 91 100 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 386 100 100 396 100 100 2950 100 863 711 100 783 100 2357 100 83 114 100 593 100

Similarly, when asked to gauge prescription drug abuse in their community, both *Statewide* and *Region V Community Members* appeared to be less aware of such abuse among both *adults* and *youth* where at least one-third (range 39% to 43.9%) reported they *don't know* the extent of the problem. On the other hand, both *Statewide* and *Region V Key Informants* perceived the *adult* abuse of prescription drugs as a *minor to moderate* problem with 48.9 percent and 56.1 percent respectively. With respect to *youth* abuse, 54.1 percent of *Statewide Key Informants* and 58.8 percent of *Region V Key Informants* gauged the problem as *minor to moderate*.

Table 2.1.9: Perception of HALLUCINOGEN (LSD, Shrooms, PCP, etc.) use in your community **COMMUNITY MEMBERS KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region V Community **Key Informant** TOTAL Frontier Urban Frontier Urban Rural Rural Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL % Ν % Ν % % Ν % Ν % Ν % N % Ν % **NOT A PROBLEM** 21 21.4 50.0 21.2 19 20.9 20 24.1 60 22.1 12 13.2 8 42.1 2 22 19.3 82 22.8 40 10.1 594 117 13.6 162 213 27.2 492 20.9 28 33.7 34 29.8 102 17.2 20.1 MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM 34 20 20.4 13.3 23.9 51 56.0 10 52.6 53.5 126 32.6 37.4 11 65 61 280 32.4 15.6 14.3 503 21.3 223 56.3 34 41.0 30 26.3 287 48.4 790 26.8 111 112 **SERIOUS PROBLEM** 2 2.2 1 1.0 1 1.2 1.5 1.0 30 3.5 11 1.5 9 1.1 50 2.1 13 3.3 2 1.8 15 2.5 65 2.2 -**ADULT** use DON'T KNOW 34 2 27.2 171 37.4 56 57.1 50 60.2 140 51.5 28 30.8 1 5.3 50.0 31 44.3 429 58.8 55.8 30.3 1472 49.7 418 437 1284 54.5 120 21 25.3 47 41.2 188 31.7 49.9 Did not answer 2 2.2 1.2 3 1.1 3 .8 1 7 .8 9 1.3 12 1.5 28 1.2 .9 1 .2 29 1.0 Total 91 100 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 386 100 783 2357 100 396 100 114 2950 863 100 711 100 100 83 100 100 593 100 100 **NOT A PROBLEM** 22 22.4 17 20.5 19.5 13 7 36.8 2 50.0 22 19.3 75 19.4 14 15.4 53 14.3 80 9.3 142 20.0 179 22.9 401 17.0 33 8.3 26 31.3 39 34.2 98 16.5 499 16.9 MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM 38 16 16.3 13.3 65 23.9 50 8 42.1 25.0 51.8 124 32.1 41.8 11 54.9 1 59 298 34.5 15.9 15.6 533 22.6 228 57.6 33 39.8 27 23.7 288 48.6 821 27.8 113 122 **SERIOUS PROBLEM** 2 2 2 2 2 3.5 2.2 2.0 2.4 2.2 2.2 10.5 10 2.6 49 5.7 2.1 2.0 80 3.4 18 4.5 2 2 3.7 102 3.5 15 16 2.4 1.8 22 **YOUTH** use DON'T KNOW 34 37.4 58 59.2 143 52.6 24 26.4 2 10.5 25.0 27 23.7 170 44.0 51 61.4 418 48.4 419 58.9 436 55.7 1273 54.0 112 28.3 21 25.3 45 39.5 178 30.0 1451 49.2 Did not answer 3.3 2 2.4 5 1.8 2 2.2 2 1.8 1.8 18 2.1 5 1.3 7 77 22 3.1 30 3.8 70 3.0 1 1.2 .9 1.2 2.6 Total 91 100 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 386 100 100 396 100 100 863 711 100 783 100 2357 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950

When asked to gauge the *adult* and *youth* use of hallucinogens in their community, both *Statewide* and *Region V Community Members* appeared to be the least aware of such use across all drugs covered in this section. That is, just over half (range 51.5% to 54.5%) reported they *don't know* the extent of the problem. On the other hand, both *Statewide* and *Region V Key Informants* perceived the *adult* use of hallucinogens as a *minor to moderate* problem with 48.4 percent and 53.5 percent respectively. With respect to *youth* use, 48.6 percent of the *Statewide Key Informants* and 51.8 percent of *Region V Key Informants* gauged the problem as *minor to moderate*.

Table 2.2.1: Contribution of drug and alcohol use to CRASHES or INJURIES (such as automobile, hunting, boating, snowmobiling) **KEY INFORMANTS COMMUNITY MEMBERS OVERALL** Region V Community **Key Informant TOTAL** Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL Ν % Ν % Ν % % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % **NOT A PROBLEM** 3.3 9.2 8.4 4.9 3 9 19 7.0 19 .5 145 22 2.5 55 7.7 64 8.2 141 6.0 2 2 1.8 4 .7 4.9 MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM 41 45.1 46 46.9 45 54.2 132 48.5 34 37.4 68.4 50.0 49 43.0 181 46.9 13 2 401 46.5 352 49.5 422 53.9 1175 49.9 128 32.3 40 48.2 61 53.5 229 38.6 1404 47.6 **SERIOUS PROBLEM** 42 46.2 35 35.7 23 27.7 100 36.8 53 58.2 31.6 50.0 61 53.5 161 41.7 382 44.3 229 32.2 207 26.4 818 34.7 256 64.6 41 49.4 49 43.0 346 58.3 1164 39.5 DON'T KNOW 3.5 23 4.4 8.2 8.4 19 7.0 4.4 4 6.0 55 9.8 209 10 2.5 2 2 223 6.4 70 84 10.7 8.9 2.4 1.8 14 2.4 7.6 Did not answer 1.1 1.2 2 .7 2 .5 3 .3 5 .7 6 .8 .6 14 .5 14 Total 91 100 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 386 100 100 783 2357 100 396 100 100 2950 100

100

83

114

100

593

100

When respondents were asked to indicate their beliefs regarding the contribution of drugs/alcohol to injuries, 49.9 percent of the *Statewide* Community Members indicated that this was a minor to moderate problem, while 34.7 percent felt this was a serious problem within their community. Approximately thirty-eight percent of the Key Informants indicated this to be a minor to moderate problem, while 58.3 percent considered this to be a serious problem.

863

100

711

Region V responses align with those of the statewide participants. That is, 48.5 percent of the Community Members perceived the contribution of drug and alcohol use to crashes/injuries was a *minor to moderate* problem and 36.8 percent indicated this was *serious* problem. Among *Key Informants*, 53.5 percent felt this was a *serious* problem within their community and 43 percent specified this was a *minor to moderate* problem.

In sum, 85.4 percent of *Community Members* and 96.5 percent of *Key Informants* in *Region V* perceive the use of drugs and/or alcohol as a contributing factor to crashes or injuries.

Table 2.2.2: Co	ntribution of drug and	alco	hol u	ise to	CRII	MES													
				сом	МИМІТ	Y MEI	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	RMAI	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VStatewide		Uri	ban	Rι	ıral	Fror	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Ur	ban	Ru	ıral	Fror	ntier	Key Info			TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT A PROBLEM	1	1.1	9	9.2	5	6.0	15	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	3.9
		15	1.7	71	10.0	66	8.4	152	6.4	2	.5	3	3.6	6	5.3	11	1.9	163	5.5
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	41	45.1	40	40.8	43	51.8	124	45.6	29	31.9	12	63.2	2	50.0	43	37.7	167	43.3
		330	38.2	318	44.7	384	49.0	1032	43.8	99	25.0	38	45.8	54	47.4	191	32.2	1223	41.5
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	42	46.2	34	34.7	24	28.9	100	36.8	57	62.6	7	36.8	2	50.0	66	57.9	166	43.0
		434	50.3	208	29.3	200	25.5	842	35.7	280	70.7	38	45.8	48	42.1	366	61.7	1208	40.9
	DON'T KNOW	5	5.5	14	14.3	10	12.0	29	10.7	5	5.5	-	-	-	-	5	4.4	34	8.8
		76	8.8	106	14.9	129	16.5	311	13.2	14	3.5	4	4.8	6	5.3	24	4.0	335	11.4
	Did not answer	2	2.2	1	1.0	1	1.2	4	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	1.0
		8	.9	8	1.1	4	.5	20	.8	1	.3	-	-	-	-	1	.2	21	.7
	Total	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

When respondents were asked about the relationship between drug/alcohol use and crime, 43.8 percent of the *Statewide Community Members* indicated that this was a *minor to moderate* problem, while 35.7 percent felt this was a *serious* problem within their community. Approximately 32 percent of *Key Informants* indicated this to be a *minor to moderate* problem, while the majority (61.7%) considered this to be a *serious* problem.

In terms of *Region V Community Members*, 45.6 percent believed that the contribution of drug/alcohol use to crime was a *minor to moderate* problem and 36.8 percent indicated this was *serious* problem. Among the *Key Informants*, 57.9 percent felt this was a *serious* problem within their community and 37.7 percent specified this was a *minor to moderate* problem.

In sum, 82.4 percent of *Community Members* and 95.6 percent of *Key Informants* in *Region V* perceived drugs and/or alcohol as a contributing factor to crime.

Section 3: Permissiveness of Attitudes Toward AOD Use

This section focused on how tolerant community members may or may not be in relation to AOD issues. Items required participants to indicate the extent to which they either Agreed or Disagreed with the following statements: youth consuming alcohol at parties; youth drinking and driving; youth smoking cigarettes; youth alcohol and other drug experimentation; parents offering alcoholic beverages to youth in their own homes; community acceptance of underage drinking; driving while under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs; and riding in a motor vehicle with someone under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs.

NOTE: It should be kept in mind that the following tables are **NOT comparative** in nature. In other words, though presented side-by-side, the responses of the community members and those of the key informants are NOT being compared. The tables simply present a descriptive account about how each group responded to each question. For this section, response categories were collapsed into **AGREE** (to include agree or strongly agree) and **DISAGREE** (to include disagree or strongly disagree).

				COM		V NACI	MDED	•				VE	VINIE		NITC				
Region VStatewide		Ur	ban	Ī	MUNIT ıral	1	ntier	Comr	nunity er TOTAL	Uri	ban		Y INFO	Ī	ntier	,	ormant		RALL TAL
- Statewide		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	DISAGREE	89 830	97.8 96.2	92 680	93.9 95.6	79 750	95.2 95.8	260 2260	95.6 95.9	86 384	94.5 97.0	19 83	100 100	4 112	100 98.2	109 579	95.6 95.9	369 2839	95.6 96.2
It is okay for youth to drink at	AGREE	2	2.2	5	5.1	3	3.6	10	3.7	4	4.4	-	-	-	-	4	3.5	14	3.6
parties as long as they don't get drunk.	Did not answer	31	3.6	29 1	4.1 1.0	30 1	3.8 1.2	90	3.8	10 1	2.5 1.1	-	-	-	1.8	12 1	3.8 .9	102 3	3.5 .8
ui uiik.	Total	91	.2 100	98	.3 100	3 83	.4 100	7 272	.3 100	91	.5 100	19	100	4	100	2 114	.3 100	386	.3 100
	DISAGREE	863 89	97.8	711	91.8	783 76	91.6	2357 255	93.8	396	97.8	83	100	114	100	<i>593</i>	98.2	2950 367	95.1
	5.67.6.1.22	829	96.1	680	95.6	735	93.9	2244	95.2	386	97.5	83	100	111	97.4	580	97.8	2824	95.7
Youth should be able to drink as	AGREE	2 32	2.2 3.7	8 28	8.2 3.9	6 44	7.2 5.6	16 104	5.9 4.4	2 10	2.2 2.5		-	- 3	- 2.6	2 13	1.8 2.2	18 117	4.7 4.0
long as they don't drive afterwards.	Did not answer	- 2	<u>-</u> .2	3	- .4	1 4	1.2 .5	1 9	.4 .4	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	1 9	. 3 .3
	Total	91 863	100 100	98 711	100 100	83 783	100 100	272 2357	100 100	91 396	100 100	19 83	100 100	4 114	100 100	114 593	100 100	386 2950	100 100
	DISAGREE	91 841	100 97.5	95 699	96.9 98.3	80 766	96.4 97.8	266 2306	97.8 97.8	91 395	100 99.7	19 83	100 100	4 113	100 99.1	114 591	100 99.7	380 2897	98.4 98.2
It is okay for youth to smoke	AGREE	- 19	- 2.2	3 7	3.1 1.0	1 12	1.2 1.5	4 38	1.5 1.6	- 1	- .3	-	-	- 1	- .9	2	.3	4 40	1.0 1.4
cigarettes.	Did not answer	-	3	- 5	- .7	2 5	2.4 .6	2 13	. 7 .6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	2 13	.5 .4
	Total	91 863	100 100	98 711	100 100	83 783	100 100	272 2357	100 100	91 396	100 100	19 83	100 100	4 114	100 100	114 593	100 100	386 2950	100 100

Table 3.1 Cont'd: To what extent do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements? COMMUNITY MEMBERS **KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region V Key Informant Community TOTAL Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Member TOTAL TOTAL Statewide N % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % DISAGREE 83 91.2 94 95.9 254 93.4 93.4 94.7 93.8 77 92.8 85 19 100 4 100 108 362 797 92.4 93.2 93.1 93.2 109 95.6 2753 93.3 663 734 93.7 2194 369 81 97.6 559 94.3 AGREE 8 8.8 4.1 5 17 6.2 6 6.6 5.3 23 6.0 4 6.0 6 Youth who experiment with 62 7.2 45 6.3 44 5.6 151 6.4 26 6.6 2 2.4 5 4.4 33 5.6 184 6.2 alcohol or other drugs almost Did not answer 1 1.2 1 .4 1 .3 always grow out of it. 4 .5 3 .4 5 .6 12 .5 .3 13 .4 1 1 .2 Total 91 100 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 386 100 4 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 DISAGREE 75 82.4 82 83.7 73 88.0 230 84.6 88 96.7 15 78.9 4 100 107 93.9 337 87.3 763 88.4 634 89.2 699 89.3 2096 88.9 377 95.2 78 94.0 108 94.7 563 94.9 2659 90.1 **AGREE** 15.3 14 15.4 15 9 10.8 38 14.0 3 3.3 4 21.1 6.1 45 11.7 It is okay for parents to offer their 6.0 95 11.0 72 10.1 77 9.8 244 10.4 18 4.5 5 6 5.3 29 4.9 273 9.3 youth alcoholic beverages in their Did not answer 2 2.2 1 1.0 1 1.2 4 1.5 1.0 home. 5 .6 5 .7 .9 17 .7 1 .3 .2 18 .6 1 Total 91 100 100 83 100 100 100 100 98 272 91 100 19 100 4 100 114 386 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 DISAGREE 91 100 97 99.0 79 95.2 267 98.2 91 100 19 100 4 100 114 100 381 98.7 99.0 701 98.6 2319 98.4 395 99.7 83 100 2911 854 764 97.6 114 100 592 99.8 98.7 **AGREE** 1.0 2 2.4 3 3 .8 1 1.1 It is okay for parents to offer 8 .9 6 .8 16 2.0 30 1.3 .3 .2 31 1 1 1.1 alcoholic beverages in their home Did not answer 2 .7 .5 2.4 2 to youth (other than their own). 1 .1 .6 3 .4 8 .3 8 .3 4 Total 91 100 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 386 100 4 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 100 DISAGREE 64 70.3 65 66.3 60 72.3 189 69.5 56 61.5 8 42.1 64 56.1 253 65.5 635 73.6 452 63.6 526 67.2 1613 68.4 228 57.6 34 41.0 45 39.5 307 51.8 1920 65.1 **AGREE** 27 29.7 31 31.6 22 26.5 29.4 34 37.4 11 57.9 100 43.0 129 33.4 In my community, drinking among 223 25.8 249 35.0 252 32.2 724 30.7 167 42.2 49 59.0 68 59.6 284 47.9 1008 34.2 Did not answer teenagers is acceptable. 2 2.0 1.2 1.1 1.1 .9 4 1.0 5 1.4 5 .3 2 22 .7 .6 10 .6 20 .8 1 1 .9 .3 Total 91 100 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 386 100 98 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100

				сом	MUNIT	Y ME	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VStatewide		Uri	ban	Rι	ural	Froi	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Uri	ban	Rι	ıral	Froi	ntier	,	formant TAL		TAL
- Statewide		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	DISAGREE	89	97.8	98	100	80	96.4	267	98.2	90	98.9	18	94.7	4	100	112	98.2	379	98.2
	AGREE	845	97.9	701	98.6	757	96.7	2303	97.7	390	98.5	80	96.4	110	96.5	580	97.8	2883	97.7
Driving under the influence of	AGREE	13	2.2 1.5	10	1.4	2 21	2.4 2.7	4 44	1.5 1.9	5	1.3	1	5.3 2.4	3	2.6	1 10	. <mark>9</mark> 1.7	5 54	1.3
drugs and/or alcohol is okay.	Did not answer	-	1.5	10	1.4	1	1.2	1	.4	1	1.1	_	2.4	3	2.0	10	.9	2	1.8 .5
urugs ariu/or alcorior is okay.	Dia not answer	5	.6	_	_	5	.6	10	.4	1	.3	1	1.2	1	.9	3	.5	13	.4
	Total	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	DISAGREE	89	97.8	97	99.0	80	96.4	266	97.8	91	100	18	94.7	4	100	113	99.1	379	98.2
		848	98.3	705	99.2	765	97.7	2318	98.3	393	99.2	82	98.8	113	99.1	588	99.2	2906	98.5
It is okay to ride in a motor vehicle	AGREE	2	2.2	1	1.0	2	2.4	5	1.8	-	-	1	5.3	-	-	1	.9	6	1.6
with someone under the influence		14	1.6	6	.8	16	2.0	36	1.5	3	.8	1	1.2	1	.9	5	.8	41	1.4
of drugs and/or alcohol.	Did not answer	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	.3
	Total	1 91	.1 100	-	100	2 83	.3 100	3 272	.1 100	- 91	- 100	- 19	- 100	4	100	- 114	100	3 386	.1 100
	iotai	91	100	<i>9</i> 8	100	03	100	2/2	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	300	100

The statements contained in Table 3.1 were structured in such a way that agreement would indicate a permissive attitude toward drug and alcohol related issues. The results indicate that overall, *Region V Community Members* and *Key Informants* are *not tolerant* of AOD use and related activity among adults and youth. A strong majority of respondents **disagreed** with following statements and thus, demonstrate this generalization:

- It is okay for youth to drink at parties as long as they don't get drunk (95.6% *disagree-strongly disagree*)
- Youth should be able to drink as long as they don't drive afterwards (95.1% disagree-strongly disagree)
- It is okay for youth to smoke cigarettes (98.4% disagree-strongly disagree)
- Youth who experiment with alcohol or other drugs almost always grow out of it (93.8% disagree-strongly disagree)
- It is okay for parents to offer their youth alcoholic beverages in their home (87.3% disagree-strongly disagree)
- It is okay for parents to offer alcoholic beverages in their home to youth other than their own (98.7% disagree-strongly disagree)
- Driving under the influence of drugs and/or alcohol is okay (98.2% disagree-strongly disagree)
- It is okay to ride in a motor vehicle with someone under the influence of drugs and/or drugs (98.2% disagree-strongly disagree)

On the other hand, when asked whether drinking among teenagers is acceptable within their community, 65.5 percent of respondents overall *disagree-strongly disagree* while 33.4 *percent agree-strongly agree*.

Section 4: Community Support for AOD Prevention

Willingness to support AOD prevention initiatives was the focus of this section of the survey. Participants were asked to rank the items ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree. Items included the following: a focus on law enforcement competency, support for educational and prevention programs, community commitment, advertising devices, tax issues related to alcohol and tobacco, the enforcement of drinking and driving laws, and laws prohibiting parents providing alcohol to their own children.

Additional items in this section assessed responses regarding support for the following statements: drinking age of 21 years; penalties for adults who provide alcohol to youth; compliance checks; laws prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children; and DUI checkpoints. Respondents were also questioned as to whether or not they support bans on the following: liquors advertisements on TV; beer and wine advertisements on TV; and billboard alcohol advertisements. The final series of questions asked respondents if they would be willing to attend the following events if they were alcohol-free: a party; wedding dance/reception; and sporting events.

NOTE: It should be kept in mind that the following tables are **NOT comparative** in nature. In other words, though presented side-by-side, the responses of the community members and those of the key informants are NOT being compared. The tables simply present a descriptive account about how each group responded to each question. Within this section, response categories were collapsed into **AGREE** (to include agree or strongly agree) and **DISAGREE** (to include disagree or strongly disagree).

Table 4.1: To what extent	do you AGI	REE o	r DIS	AGR	EE w	ith th	ne fol	lowii	ng sta	tem	ents	?							
■ Region V				сомі	МUNIТ	Y ME	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
■ Statewide		Url	oan	Ru	ıral	Fro	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Ur	ban	Ru	ıral	Fro	ntier		formant TAL	TO ⁻	TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	DISAGREE	23	25.3	20	20.4	12	14.5	55	20.2	28	30.8	3	15.8	-	-	31	27.2	86	22.3
		177	20.5	114	16.0	96	12.3	387	16.4	90	22.7	11	13.3	11	9.6	112	18.9	499	16.9
Law enforcement should be	AGREE	67	73.6	77	78.6	71	85.5	215	79.0	61	67.0	16	84.2	3	75.0	80	70.2	295	76.4
spending more time enforcing the	0:44	675	78.2	592	83.3	683	87.2	1950	82.7	303	76.5	72	86.7	101	88.6	476	80.3	2426	82.2
minimum drinking age.	Did not answer	1	1.1	1	1.0		-	2	.7	2	2.2	-	-	1	25.0	3	2.6	5	1.3
	Total	11 91	1.3 100	5 98	.7 100	83	.5 100	20 272	.8 100	3 91	.8 100	19	100	2 4	1.8 100	5 114	.8 100	25 386	.8 100
	Total	863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	DISAGREE	14	15.4	17	17.3	9	10.8	40	14.7	14	15.4	5	26.3	_	-	19	16.7	59	15.3
	2.0.10.112	113	13.1	99	13.9	81	10.3	293	12.4	64	16.2	12	14.5	19	16.7	95	16.0	388	13.2
Schools need to be more active in	AGREE	77	84.6	81	82.7	73	88.0	231	84.9	77	84.6	14	73.7	4	100	95	83.3	326	84.5
		746	86.4	604	85.0	697	89.0	2047	86.8	329	83.1	71	85.5	94	82.5	494	83.3	2541	86.1
dealing with alcohol, tobacco, and	Did not answer	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	.3
other drug problems.		4	.5	8	1.1	5	.6	17	.7	3	.8	-	-	1	.9	4	.7	21	.7
	Total	91	100	<i>98</i>	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

Table 4.1 Cont'd: To what extent do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements? COMMUNITY MEMBERS **KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region V Key Informant TOTAL Community Statewide Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Member TOTAL TOTAL Ν Ν % % Ν % % % Ν % % Ν Ν % Ν % DISAGREE 7.7 8 8.2 7.2 21 7.7 4.4 3.5 25 6.5 6 4 4 73 8.5 53 7.5 50 6.4 176 7.5 25 6.3 3 5.7 210 6 7.2 2.6 34 7.1 **AGREE** 84 92.3 90 91.8 76 91.6 250 91.9 87 95.6 19 100 4 100 110 96.5 360 93.3 It is possible to reduce alcohol and 786 91.1 652 91.7 721 92.1 2159 91.6 371 93.7 76 91.6 111 97.4 558 94.1 2717 92.1 Did not answer 1 1.2 1 .4 1 .3 drug problems through prevention. .5 6 .8 12 1.5 22 .9 1.2 23 .8 1 1 .2 Total 91 100 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 386 100 4 100 863 711 783 100 2357 396 83 593 2950 100 100 100 100 100 100 114 100 DISAGREE 4.4 5 5.1 4.8 13 4.8 8 8.8 1 5.3 7.9 22 5.7 3 58 6.7 42 5.9 40 5.1 140 5.9 27 6.8 3.6 3 2.6 33 5.6 173 5.9 Alcohol and other drug prevention **AGREE** 86 94.5 92 93.9 77 92.8 255 93.8 83 91.2 18 94.7 4 100 105 92.1 360 93.3 programs are a good investment 798 92.5 93.1 93.0 93.2 97.4 2748 93.2 662 731 93.4 2191 369 77 92.8 111 557 93.9 Did not answer 1 1.1 1 1.0 2 2.4 4 1.5 1.0 because they save lives and 4 7 .8 7 1.0 12 1.5 26 1.1 3 3.6 3 .5 29 1.0 money. 100 Total 91 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 4 100 114 100 386 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 DISAGREE 5 5.5 15 15.3 9 6 6.6 2 8 *37* 10.8 29 10.7 10.5 7.0 9.6 104 14.6 12.8 12.8 18 7 7.9 5.7 336 11.4 100 302 4.5 8.4 34 11.4 The community has the **AGREE** 86 94.5 82 83.7 72 86.7 240 88.2 85 93.4 17 89.5 100 106 93.0 346 89.6 responsibility to set up prevention 759 87.9 594 83.5 671 85.7 2024 85.9 376 94.9 75 90.4 105 92.1 556 93.8 2580 87.5 programs to help people avoid Did not answer 1.0 2.4 1.1 .8 6 .7 13 1.8 12 1.5 31 1.3 2 .5 1 1.2 3 .5 34 1.2 alcohol and other drug problems. Total 91 100 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 4 100 114 100 386 100 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 DISAGREE 18 19.8 20 20.4 21 25.3 59 21.7 24 26.4 26.3 29 25.4 22.8 165 19.1 115 16.2 120 15.3 400 17.0 84 21.2 16 19.3 27 23.7 127 21.4 527 17.9 Public service announcements are **AGREE** 73 80.2 77 78.6 61 73.5 211 77.6 67 73.6 14 73.7 4 100 85 74.6 296 76.7 a good way to change attitudes 80.5 82.0 309 78.0 2394 695 583 655 83.7 1933 82.0 65 78.3 87 76.3 461 77.7 81.2 Did not answer 1.0 1 1.2 2 .7 .5 about alcohol, tobacco, & other 3 .3 13 1.8 8 1.0 24 1.0 3 .8 2 2.4 5 29 1.0 .8 drugs. Total 91 100 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 4 114 100 386 100 98 100 100 100 783 2357 100 100 2950 100 863 711 100 396 83 100 114 100 593 100

Table 4.1 Cont'd: To what extent do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements? COMMUNITY MEMBERS **KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region V Key Informant TOTAL Community Statewide Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Member TOTAL TOTAL Ν Ν % Ν % N % Ν % % Ν % % % Ν % DISAGREE 47 51.6 49 50.0 37.3 46.7 35 38.5 9 47.4 25.0 45 39.5 172 44.6 31 127 370 42.9 290 40.8 325 36.6 26 31.3 39 34.2 35.4 1195 40.5 41.5 985 41.8 145 210 **AGREE** 43 47.3 47 48.0 51 61.4 141 51.8 55 60.4 10 52.6 3 75.0 68 59.6 209 54.1 Taxes on alcohol should be 485 56.2 414 58.2 446 57.0 1345 57.1 248 62.6 56 67.5 73 64.0 377 63.6 1722 58.4 Did not answer 2 1.1 .9 5 increased. 1 1.1 2.0 1 1.2 1.5 1 1.3 8 .9 1.0 12 1.5 27 1.1 3 .8 1.2 1.8 6 1.0 33 1 1.1 Total 91 100 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 4 100 114 100 386 100 100 863 711 783 100 2357 396 83 114 100 593 100 2950 100 100 100 100 100 DISAGREE 39 42.9 33 33.7 23 27.7 95 34.9 34 37.4 8 42.1 25.0 43 37.7 138 35.8 33.2 290 33.6 236 255 32.6 781 33.1 119 30.1 23 27.7 40 35.1 182 30.7 963 32.6 **AGREE** 51 56.0 63 64.3 59 71.1 173 63.6 56 61.5 11 57.9 3 75.0 70 61.4 243 63.0 Taxes on tobacco should be 65.7 65.6 69.2 1951 566 65.6 467 513 65.5 1546 274 59 71.1 72 63.2 405 68.3 66.1 Did not answer 1.1 2 2.0 1 1.2 1.5 1 1.1 1 .9 5 1.3 1 increased. 7 .8 8 1.1 15 1.9 30 1.3 3 .8 1 1.2 1.8 6 1.0 36 1.2 Total 91 100 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 4 100 114 100 386 100 863 100 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 114 100 593 100 2950 100 711 100 DISAGREE 6 9 18 33 4.4 4 2 10 43 6.6 9.2 21.7 12.1 4 21.1 50.0 8.8 11.1 79 9.2 26.4 29.2 496 34 8.6 29 34 29.8 16.4 593 188 229 21.0 34.9 97 20.1 **AGREE** 85 93.4 88 89.8 74.7 235 86.4 85 93.4 15 78.9 50.0 89.5 337 87.3 62 2 102 Drinking and driving laws are 777 90.0 511 71.9 535 68.3 1823 77.3 359 90.7 54 65.1 77 67.5 490 82.6 2313 78.4 enforced in my local community. Did not answer 1.0 3.6 1.5 2 2.2 1.8 1.6 7 .8 12 1.7 19 2.4 38 1.6 3 .8 3 2.6 6 1.0 44 1.5 Total 91 100 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 4 100 114 100 386 100 100 100 100 863 100 711 783 100 2357 396 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 DISAGREE 34 37.4 47 48.0 31 37.3 112 41.2 29 31.9 6 31.6 25.0 36 31.6 148 38.3 282 32.7 253 35.6 261 33.3 796 33.8 102 25.8 17 20.5 34 29.8 153 25.8 949 32.2 **AGREE** 57 62.6 50 51.0 49 59.0 156 57.4 61 67.0 13 68.4 3 75.0 77 67.5 233 60.4 There should be a law prohibiting 573 66.4 450 63.3 509 65.0 1532 65.0 290 73.2 66 79.5 78 68.4 434 73.2 1966 66.6 Did not answer 1.0 3 3.6 1.5 1.1 .9 1.3 giving alcohol to your children 1 5 8 .9 8 1.1 13 1.7 29 1.2 1.0 2 6 1.0 35 1.2 4 1.8 Total 91 100 272 100 91 100 386 100 100 83 100 19 100 4 100 114 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100

Overall, *Statewide* and *Region V* responses to increasing alcohol and tobacco taxes were parallel. When asked to indicate the extent to which they either agree or disagree with increasing alcohol taxes, 54.1 percent of *Region V* respondents *agree-strongly agree* in support of increasing *alcohol*

taxes while 44.6 percent <i>disagree-strongly disagree</i> . When <i>Region V</i> respondents were asked to indicate the extent to which they agree or disagree with increasing taxes on <i>tobacco</i> , 63 percent overall <i>agree-strongly agree</i> , while 35.8 percent <i>disagree-strongly disagree</i> . Finally, when asked whether there should be a law prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children, 60.4 percent of <i>Region V</i> respondents overall were in support of such as law while 38.3 percent were not; <i>Key Informants</i> were more approving of this notion while the <i>Community Members</i> agreement was somewhat divided.	
41	

Table 4.2: Do you suppor	t the follow	/ing?																	
# Degion V				сом	MUNIT	ГҮ МЕ	MBERS	6				KE	Y INF	ORMA	NTS			OVEF	RALL
Region VStatewide		Uri	ban	Ru	ıral	Fro	ntier	Comm Member	,	Ur	ban	R	ural	Froi	ntier	,	formant OTAL	тот	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	YES	80 761	87.9 88.2	82 629	83.7 88.5	74 700	89.2 89.4	236 2090	86.8 88.7	87 364	95.6 91.9	17 80	89.5 96.4	4 108	100 94.7	108 552	94.7 93.1	344 2642	89.1 89.6
	NO	11 102	12.1 11.8	16 76	16.3 10.7	8 78	9.6 10.0	35 256	12.9 10.9	4 30	4.4 7.6	2	10.5 3.6	- 6	5.3	6 39	5.3 6.6	41 295	10.6 10.0
Minimum legal drinking age of 21	Did not answer	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	.3
	Total	91	100	6 98	.8 100	5 83	.6 100	272	.5 100	91	.5 100	19	100	4	100	2 114	.3 100	13 386	.4 100
	YES	863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	95.6
	163	89 840	97.8 97.3	92 686	93.9 96.5	77 753	92.8 96.2	258 2279	94.9 96.7	89 388	97.8 98.0	18 81	94.7 97.6	4 111	100 97.4	111 580	97.4 97.8	369 2859	96.9
	NO	2 22	2.2 2.5	6 21	6.1 3.0	5 26	6.0 3.3	13 69	4.8 2.9	2 7	2.2 1.8	1 2	5.3 2.4	- 3	2.6	3 12	2.6 2.0	16 81	4.1 2.7
Penalties for adults who provide alcohol to youth	Did not answer	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	.3
alcohol to youth	Total	1 91	.1 100	98	.6 100	83	.5 100	9 272	.4 100	1 91	.3 100	- 19	100	4	100	1 114	.2 100	10 386	.3 100
	Total	863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	YES	86	94.5	93	94.9	78	94.0	257	94.5	89	97.8	19	100	4	100	112	98.2	369	95.6
		828	95.9	684	96.2	752	96.0	2264	96.1	387	97.7	82	98.8	113	99.1	582	98.1	2846	96.5
Compliance checks	NO	4	4.4	5	5.1	4	4.8	13	4.8	2	2.2	-	-	-	-	2	1.8	15	3.9
(used to identify alcohol establishments	Did not answer	33 1	3.8 1.1	24	3.4	25 1	3.2 1.2	82 2	3.5 .7	8	2.0	1	1.2	1	.9	10	1.7	92 2	3.1 .5
that sell alcohol to underage youth)		2	.2	3	.4	6	.8	11	.5	1	.3	-	-	-	-	1	.2	12	.4
	Total	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	YES	61	67.0	56	57.1	51	61.4	168	61.8	63	69.2	13	68.4	3	75.0	79	69.3	247	64.0
	NO	592 30	68.6 33.0	459 40	64.6 40.8	523 30	66.8 36.1	1574 100	66.8 36.8	295 26	74.5 28.6	68	81.9 31.6	82 1	71.9 25.0	445 33	75.0 28.9	2019 133	68.4 34.5
		262	30.4	236	33.2	251	32.1	749	31.8	96	24.2	15	18.1	30	26.3	141	23.8	890	30.2
Laws prohibiting giving alcohol to	Did not answer	-	-	2	2.0	2	2.4	4	1.5	2	2.2	-	-	-	-	2	1.8	6	1.6
your own children		9	1.0	16	2.3	9	1.1	34	1.4	5	1.3	-	-	2	1.8	7	1.2	41	1.4
	Total	91 863	100 100	98 711	100 100	83 783	100 100	272 2357	100 100	91 396	100 100	19 83	100 100	4 114	100 100	114 593	100 100	386 2950	100 100

Table 4.2 Cont'd: Do you	support the	e foll	owin	g?															
■ Region V				сомі	MUNI	ГҮ МЕ	MBERS	5				KI	Y INF	ORMA	NTS			OVER	RALL
Statewide		Uri	ban	Ru	ral	Fro	ntier	Comm Member	,	Ur	ban	R	ural	Froi	ntier	,	formant TAL	тот	AL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	YES	72	79.1	84	85.7	72	86.7	228	83.8	82	90.1	18	94.7	4	100	104	91.2	332	86.0
		742	86.0	627	88.2	674	86.1	2043	86.7	357	90.2	80	96.4	105	92.1	542	91.4	2585	87.6
DUI checkpoint	NO	19	20.9	14	14.3	10	12.0	43	15.8	9	9.9	1	5.3	-	-	10	8.8	53	13.7
(used by law enforcement to deter or		118	13.7	78	11.0	101	12.9	297	12.6	38	9.6	3	3.6	9	7.9	50	8.4	347	11.8
detect a drunk driver through the use of	Did not answer	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	.3
roadblocks or sobriety checkpoints)		3	.3	6	.8	8	1.0	17	.7	1	.3	-	-	-	-	1	.2	18	.6
	Total	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

Overall, both *Statewide* and *Region V* respondents supported a "Minimum legal drinking age of 21," "Penalties for adults who provide alcohol to youth," "Compliance checks," and the use of "DUI checkpoints". However, there was somewhat of a discrepancy in terms of support for "Laws prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children". While 68.4 percent of the *Statewide* respondent supported this law, 30.2 percent were not in favor of this type of law. Within *Region V*, 64.0 percent indicated that *yes* they would be in support of this type of law and 34.5 percent indicated that *no*, they would not be in support of this type of law.

Table 4.3: Do you support BANS on the following? COMMUNITY MEMBERS **KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region V Key Informant TOTAL Community Statewide Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Member TOTAL TOTAL Ν % Ν % % Ν % Ν % % % Ν % Ν Ν % Ν Ν YES 52 57.1 67 68.4 54 65.1 173 63.6 63 69.2 13 68.4 50.0 78 68.4 251 65.0 2 539 62.5 472 66.4 525 1536 65.2 269 67.9 64 70.2 69.6 1949 67.0 77.1 80 413 66.1 NO 36 39.6 31 31.6 29 34.9 96 35.3 28 30.8 6 31.6 1 25.0 35 30.7 131 33.9 174 309 35.8 233 32.8 249 31.8 791 33.6 124 31.3 19 22.9 31 27.2 29.3 965 32.7 Liquor advertisements on TV Did not answer 3 3 25.0 1 .9 4 3.3 1.1 1.0 15 1.7 6 .8 9 30 1.3 3 .8 6 1.0 36 1.1 2.6 1.2 Total 91 100 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 100 114 100 386 100 19 100 4 2357 711 396 593 100 2950 863 100 100 783 100 100 100 83 100 114 100 100 YES 52 57.1 63 64.3 54 65.1 169 62.1 63 69.2 13 68.4 2 50.0 78 68.4 247 64.0 519 60.1 458 64.4 506 64.6 1483 62.9 265 66.9 64 77.1 77 67.5 406 68.5 1889 64.0 NO 36 39.6 34 34.7 29 34.9 99 36.4 28 30.8 6 31.6 25.0 35 30.7 134 34.7 35.7 32.3 1021 330 38.2 244 34.3 267 34.1 841 128 19 22.9 33 28.9 180 30.4 34.6 Beer & wine advertisements on TV Did not answer 3 3.3 1 1.0 4 1.5 1 25.0 .9 5 1 1.3 14 1.6 9 1.3 10 1.3 33 1.4 3 .8 3.5 7 1.2 40 1.4 386 Total 91 100 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 114 100 593 100 2950 100 100 YES 56.0 60 49 160 66 72.5 12 2 50.0 80 70.2 240 51 61.2 59.0 58.8 63.2 62.2 526 63.7 63.0 262 66.2 63 77 67.5 67.8 1886 61.0 453 505 64.5 1484 75.9 402 63.9 NO 37 40.7 37 37.8 39.7 25 27.5 7 25.0 28.9 34 41.0 108 36.8 1 33 141 36.5 323 37.4 250 35.2 266 839 35.6 131 33.1 20 24.1 33 28.9 184 31.0 1023 34.7 34.0 Billboard alcohol advertisements Did not answer 3.3 1.0 1.5 25.0 1 .9 1.3 14 1.6 8 1.1 12 1.5 34 1.4 3 .8 3.5 7 1.2 41 1.4 Total 91 100 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 4 100 114 100 386 100 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100

The results presented in Table 4.3 are very consistent across questions. Over sixty-three percent (range 63.9% to 66.1%) of the *Statewide* respondents support bans on television and billboard forms of alcohol advertisements while one-third (range 32.7% to 34.7%) would not support such bans. Results from *Region V* respondents were also very similar regarding all three questions related to alcohol advertising. Over fifty-eight percent (range 58.8% to 63.6%) of the *Community Member* respondents were in support of banning alcohol advertisements on either television or billboards and just over thirty-five percent (range 35.3% to 38.7%) were not in support of banning this type of advertising. *Community Members* showed the least support for banning "Billboard Advertisements" On the other hand, *Key Informants* favored banning alcohol advertisements more than *Community*

Members in that over sixty-eight percent indicated that *yes* they would support banning this form of advertising and about twenty-eight percent responded that *no*, they would not support of banning alcohol advertising.

Table 4.4: Would you attend any of the following events if they were alcohol-free? COMMUNITY MEMBERS **KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region V Key Informant TOTAL Community Statewide Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Member TOTAL TOTAL % % % % Ν % Ν % % Ν % % Ν 98.9 75.0 96.4 YES 85 93.4 95 96.9 80 96.4 260 95.6 90 19 100 3 112 98.2 372 821 95.1 683 96.1 737 94.1 2241 95.1 388 98.0 98.8 109 95.6 579 97.6 2820 95.6 NO 6 6.6 3 3.1 1.2 3.7 1.1 .9 11 2.8 1 10 1 1 38 4.4 25 3.5 35 4.5 98 4.2 8 2.0 1 1.2 2 1.8 11 1.9 109 3.7 **Party** Did not answer 2 .7 .9 3 2.4 25.0 .8 .5 3 11 1.4 3 2.6 3 .5 21 .7 .4 18 .8 Total 91 100 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 4 100 114 100 386 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 YES 86 94.5 95.9 79 95.2 259 95.2 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 373 96.6 95.8 97.6 820 95.0 681 742 94.8 2243 95.2 393 99.2 81 111 97.4 585 98.7 2828 95.9 NO 5 5.5 4 4.1 2 2.4 11 4.0 11 2.8 37 4.3 24 3.4 33 4.2 4.0 3 .8 2 2.4 .9 6 1.0 100 94 3.4 Wedding Dance/Reception Did not answer 2 2.4 2 .7 2 .5 6 .7 6 .8 8 1.0 20 .8 2 1.8 2 .3 22 .7 Total 91 100 386 100 98 100 83 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 YES 89 97.8 96 98.0 81 97.6 266 97.8 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 380 98.4 825 95.6 693 97.5 755 96.4 2273 96.4 393 99.2 82 98.8 111 97.4 586 98.8 2859 96.9 NO 2.2 2 2.0 1.5 1.0 34 3.9 12 1.7 18 2.3 2.7 3 .8 1 1.2 1 .9 5 .8 69 2.3 64 **Sporting Event** Did not answer 2 2.4 .7 .5 .5 .8 10 .8 22 .7 1.3 20 1.8 .3 Total 91 100 272 100 100 100 98 100 83 100 91 100 19 100 4 114 100 386 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100

The absence of alcohol at parties, wedding related activities, or sporting events would not curb attendance as indicated by an overwhelming majority of both the *Statewide* and *Region V* respondents.

Section 5: Access to Alcohol and Other Drugs

This series of questions focused on the availability of alcohol and other drugs by youth within the sampled communities. Respondents were asked to rank the level of difficulty in which youth can obtain alcohol under the following circumstances; liquor store accessibility, bar accessibility, at home accessibility, and alcohol provided by parents and/or other family members. Regarding the accessibility of illegal substances within the community, respondents were asked to rank how difficult it is for youth to access marijuana, inhalants, cocaine, methamphetamine, and hallucinogens.

NOTE: It should be kept in mind that the following tables are **NOT comparative** in nature. In other words, though presented side-by-side, the responses of the community members and those of the key informants are NOT being compared. The tables simply present a descriptive account about how each group responded to each question.

Table 5.1: Please resp	ond accordingly	to t	he fo	llow	ing q	uesti	ons r	egar	ding a	acces	ss in v	your	com	muni	ity.				
				сомі	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	6				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVEI	RALL
Region VStatewide		Uri	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier	Comn Membe	nunity r TOTAL	Ur	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier	Key Info	ormant TAL	TOT	ΓAL
	Level of Difficulty	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT AT ALL	5	5.5	3	3.1	8	9.6	16	5.9	6	6.6	1	5.3	-	1	7	6.1	23	6.0
		55	6.4	65	9.1	74	9.5	194	8.2	28	7.1	13	15.7	9	7.9	50	8.4	244	8.3
How difficult is it for youth	SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT	46	50.5	42	42.9	30	36.1	118	43.4	45	49.5	10	52.6	2	50.0	57	50.0	175	45.3
to buy beer, wine, or hard	QUITE/EXTREMELY	442 38	51.2 41.8	297 49	41.8 50.0	342 44	43.7 53.0	1081 131	45.9 48.2	229 37	57.8 40.7	41 8	49.4 42.1	64 2	56.1 50.0	334 47	56.3 41.2	1415 178	48.0 46.1
	QUITE/EXTREMELT	343	39.7	326	45.9	337	43.0	1006	48.2	134	33.8	29	34.9	38	33.3	201	33.9	1207	40.9
liquor at stores	Did not answer	2	2.2	4	4.1	1	1.2	7	2.6	3	3.3	-	-	-	-	3	2.6	10	2.6
themselves?		23	2.7	23	3.2	30	3.8	76	3.2	5	1.3	-	-	3	2.6	8	1.3	84	2.8
	Total	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	NOT AT ALL	30	33.0	33	33.7	28	33.7	91	33.5	42	46.2	7	36.8	3	75.0	52	45.6	143	37.0
		332	38.5	290	40.8	324	41.4	946	40.1	190	48.0	44	53.0	66	57.9	300	50.6	1246	42.2
	SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT	50	54.9	54	55.1	42	50.6	146	53.7	41	45.1	12	63.2	1	25.0	54	47.4	200	51.8
How difficult is it for youth	QUITE/EXTREMELY	439	50.9	351	49.4	359	45.8	1149	48.7	185 5	46.7	38	45.8	43	37.7	266	44.9	1415	48.0
to get an older person to	QUITE/EXTREMELY	9 65	9.9 7.5	8 48	8.2 6.8	11 66	13.3 8.4	28 179	10.3 7.6	14	5.5 3.5	1	1.2	2	1.8	5 17	4.4 2.9	33 196	8.5 6.6
buy alcohol for them?	Did not answer	2	2.2	3	3.1	2	2.4	7	2.6	3	3.3	-	-	_	-	3	2.6	10	2.6
		27	3.1	22	3.1	34	4.3	83	3.5	7	1.8	-	-	3	2.6	10	1.7	93	3.2
	Total	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

Table 5.1 Cont'd: Please respond accordingly to the following questions regarding access in your community. COMMUNITY MEMBERS KEY INFORMANTS **OVERALL** Region V Community **Key Informant TOTAL** Urban Urban Frontier Rural Frontier Rural Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL **Level of Difficulty** % Ν % Ν % % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % **NOT AT ALL** 3.3 1.3 3 1 1.0 1.5 1.1 .9 5 1 1 22 2.5 16 2.3 25 3.2 63 2.7 4 1.0 3 3.6 3 2.6 10 1.7 73 2.5 SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT 37 40.7 37 37.8 25 30.1 99 36.4 40 44.0 9 47.4 3 75.0 45.6 151 39.1 52 388 45.0 275 38.7 271 34.6 934 39.6 199 50.3 41 49.4 62 54.4 302 50.9 1236 41.9 How difficult is it for youth QUITE/EXTREMELY 49 53.8 56 57.1 56 67.5 161 59.2 47 51.6 10 52.6 1 25.0 58 50.9 219 56.7 to order a drink at a bar? 428 49.6 396 55.7 455 58.1 1279 54.3 187 47.2 39 47.0 45 39.5 271 45.7 1550 52.5 Did not answer 2.2 4.1 2 2.4 8 2.9 3 3.3 3 2.6 11 2.8 25 3.4 6 91 2.9 24 32 4.1 81 3.4 1.5 3.5 10 1.7 3.1 Total 91 100 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 386 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 NOT AT ALL 53 58.2 52.9 44 53.0 148 54.4 69.2 73.7 25.0 78 68.4 226 58.5 51 63 14 1 504 58.4 328 46.1 387 49.4 1219 51.7 269 67.9 61 73.5 78 68.4 408 68.8 1627 55.2 SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT 31 34.1 41 41.8 35 42.2 107 39.3 27 29.7 4 21.1 3 75.0 29.8 36.5 34 141 298 34.5 322 45.3 936 39.7 30.3 21 25.3 33 28.9 29.3 1110 316 40.4 120 174 37.6 How difficult is it for youth **OUITE/EXTREMELY** 3 3.3 3 3.1 3 3.7 3.3 1 5.3 1 .9 10 2.6 to sneak alcohol from their 35 4.1 35 4.9 45 5.7 115 4.9 2 .5 1 1.2 3 .5 118 4.0 home or a friend's home? Did not answer 4 4.4 1 3 3.1 1 1.2 2.9 1.1 .9 2.3 26 3.0 26 3.7 35 4.5 87 3.7 5 1.3 3 2.6 8 1.3 95 3.2 100 Total 91 100 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 4 100 114 386 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 114 100 593 100 2950 100 100 **NOT AT ALL** 7.8 6.6 9 9.2 8.4 22 8.1 7.7 5.3 8 7.0 30 6 1 77 8.9 81 11.4 95 12.1 253 10.7 34 8.6 9 10.8 17 14.9 60 10.1 313 10.6 SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT 53 58.2 59 60.2 54 65.1 166 61.0 65 71.4 12 63.2 3 75.0 80 70.2 246 63.7 533 61.8 407 57.2 449 57.3 1389 58.9 279 70.5 56 67.5 77 67.5 412 69.5 1801 61.1 How difficult is it for youth QUITE/EXTREMELY 30 33.0 27.6 17 25.0 26.2 27 20 24.1 77 28.3 18.7 6 31.6 1 24 21.1 101 to get their parents to give 220 25.5 195 27.4 206 26.3 26.3 75 18.9 20.5 15 13.2 18.0 728 24.7 621 17 107 them alcohol? Did not answer 2 2.2 1.8 2.2 3 3.1 2 2.4 2.6 2 2 2.3 33 3.8 28 3.9 33 4.2 94 4.0 8 2.0 1 1.2 5 4.4 14 2.4 108 3.7 Total 91 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 98 83 100 272 91 19 114 100 386 863 100 783 2357 100 396 100 100 2950 100 711 100 100 83 114 100 593 100

Table 5.1 Cont'd: Please respond accordingly to the following questions regarding access in your community. **COMMUNITY MEMBERS KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region V Community **Key Informant TOTAL** Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL **Level of Difficulty** % Ν % Ν % % Ν % N % Ν % Ν % Ν % **NOT AT ALL** 12.1 16 16.3 19.8 21.1 16.3 11 14 16.9 41 15.1 18 22 19.3 63 134 15.5 131 18.4 137 17.5 402 17.1 73 18.4 21 25.3 30 26.3 124 20.9 526 17.8 SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT 62.6 58 59.2 62.7 167 61.4 58 63.7 14 100 66.7 243 57 52 73.7 76 63.0 How difficult is it for youth 557 64.5 407 57.2 471 60.2 1435 60.9 276 69.7 56 67.5 73 64.0 405 68.3 1840 62.4 to get other family QUITE/EXTREMELY 20.4 15 20.6 14.3 21 23.1 20 18.1 56 13 1 5.3 14 12.3 70 18.1 members to give them 142 16.5 146 20.5 142 18.1 430 18.2 40 10.1 5 6.0 6 5.3 51 8.6 481 16.3 alcohol? Did not answer 2 2.2 4.1 2 2.4 2.9 2 2.2 2 1.8 10 2.6 3.5 27 3.8 33 4.2 90 3.8 1.8 1.2 4.4 13 2.2 103 3.5 Total 91 100 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 100 100 863 100 711 100 783 2357 100 396 100 83 100 100 593 100 2950 100 114

When *Statewide Community Members* were asked "How difficult is it for youth to get an older person to buy alcohol for them", 40.1 percent indicated it was *not at all* difficult and 48.7 percent believed that it is *slightly/somewhat* difficult. Similarly, 50.6 percent of the *Key Informants* felt this was *not at all* difficult and 44.9 percent responded as *slightly/somewhat* difficult and only 2.9 percent.

Consistent with the above, 33.5 percent of *Region V Community Members* believed that getting an older person to buy alcohol for youth *not at all* difficult, 53.7 percent indicated it was *slightly/somewhat* difficult, and only 10.3 percent believed this to be *quite/extremely* difficult. Among the *Key Informant* population, 45.6 percent of respondents indicated that it was *not at all* difficult for youth to get an older person to buy alcohol for them and 47.4 responded that this was only *slightly/somewhat* difficult.

When the *Statewide Community Members* were asked "How difficult is it for youth to sneak alcohol from their home or a friend's home", 51.7 percent reported that this was *not at all* difficult and 39.7 percent perceived this to be *slightly/somewhat* difficult. Regarding the question above, 68.8 percent of the *Statewide Key Informants* specified that this was *not at all* difficult and 29.3 percent thought this was *slightly/somewhat* difficult.

Within *Region V*, when *Community Members* were asked, "How difficult is it for youth to sneak alcohol from their home or a friend's home", 54.4 percent believed this was *not* at all difficult and 39.3 percent indicated this was only *slightly/somewhat* difficult. Of the *Key Informant* population, 68.4 percent specified that it was *not* at all difficult for youth to obtain alcohol in this manner, while 29.8 percent felt that this was only *slightly/somewhat* difficult.

Table 5.2: How difficult is it for youth or adult to ACCESS the following in your community. COMMUNITY MEMBERS KEY INFORMANTS **OVERALL** Region V **Key Informant TOTAL** Community Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL **Level of Difficulty** Ν % Ν % % Ν % % Ν % % N % Ν % Ν **NOT AT ALL** 33 36.3 31 31.6 18 21.7 82 30.1 53 58.2 8 42.1 2 50.0 55.3 145 37.6 63 331 38.4 220 30.9 234 29.9 785 33.3 217 54.8 35 42.2 44 38.6 296 49.9 1081 36.6 SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT 48 52.7 47 48.0 54.2 140 51.5 34 37.4 10 52.6 2 50.0 40.4 186 48.2 45 46 398 40.7 43 48.2 44.9 46.1 326 45.9 343 43.8 1067 45.3 161 51.8 55 259 43.7 1326 QUITE/EXTREMELY 7 7.7 12 12.2 13 15.7 32 11.8 2 2.2 1 5.3 3 2.6 35 9.1 62 7.2 101 14.2 120 15.3 283 12.0 8 2.0 5 6.0 8 7.0 21 3.5 304 10.3 Marijuana Indicated "Do not know" 3 3.3 7.1 8.4 17 6.2 1 1.1 .9 18 4.7 52 6.0 41 5.8 8.4 159 6.7 8 2.0 5 4.4 13 2.2 172 5.8 66 Did not answer 1.0 .4 1.1 .9 .5 20 2.3 3.2 2.7 2 .5 .7 67 23 20 2.6 63 1.8 4 2.3 Total 91 100 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 100 100 114 100 386 100 98 19 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 47 83.3 **NOT AT ALL** 58 63.7 48.0 28 33.7 133 48.9 78 85.7 14 73.7 3 75.0 228 59.1 95 506 58.6 318 44.7 325 41.5 1149 48.7 324 81.8 61 73.5 71 62.3 456 76.9 1605 54.4 SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT 21 23.1 32 32.7 40 48.2 93 34.2 10 11.0 5 26.3 25.0 14.0 109 28.2 1 16 231 242 34.0 31.8 722 30.6 57 28 825 28.0 26.8 249 14.4 18 21.7 24.6 103 17.4 QUITE/EXTREMELY 8.8 10 10.2 8 9.6 9.6 1.1 .9 27 7.0 48 5.6 81 11.4 113 14.4 242 10.3 5 1.3 4 4.8 6.1 16 2.7 258 8.7 Inhalants Indicated "Do not know" 4.4 7.1 7 8.4 18 6.6 1.1 1 .9 19 4.9 1 (glue, paint, aerosols, solvents, etc.) 54 7 177 6.3 41 5.8 70 8.9 165 7.0 1.8 4.4 12 2.0 6.0 Did not answer 2.0 .7 1 1.1 .9 .8 1 3 24 2.8 29 4.1 26 3.3 79 3.4 3 .8 3 2.6 6 1.0 85 2.9 Total 91 100 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 100 114 100 386 100 19 100 4 863 711 100 2357 100 396 100 83 114 100 100 2950 100 100 783 100 100 593 **NOT AT ALL** 11.2 2.4 9 9.9 11 2 22 8.1 17 18.7 2 10.5 19 16.7 41 10.6 123 14.3 10.8 73 9.3 62 8 13.3 352 77 273 11.6 15.7 9.6 7.9 79 11.9 SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT 65.8 220 56 61.5 48 49.0 41 49.4 145 53.3 59 64.8 13 68.4 3 75.0 75 57.0 458 53.1 334 47.0 323 41.3 1115 47.3 263 66.4 51 61.4 60 52.6 374 63.1 1489 50.5 QUITE/EXTREMELY 30.6 20 22.2 30 32 38.6 82 30.1 13 14.3 4 21.1 25.0 18 15.8 100 25.9 189 21.9 217 30.5 275 35.1 681 28.9 55 13.9 22 26.5 35 30.7 18.9 793 26.9 112 Indicated "Do not know" 5 5.5 7 7.1 8.4 19 7.0 1 1.1 .9 20 5.2 1 Cocaine 62 7.2 45 6.3 79 10.1 186 7.9 11 2.8 1 1.2 7 6.1 19 3.2 205 6.9 .9 Did not answer 1 1.1 2 2.0 1 1.2 1.5 1 1.1 1.3 31 3.6 38 5.3 33 4.2 102 4.3 5 1.3 1 1.2 3 2.6 9 1.5 111 3.8 Total 91 100 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 386 100 98 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100

Table 5.2 Cont'd: How difficult is it for youth or adult to ACCESS the following in your community. **COMMUNITY MEMBERS KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region V Community **Key Informant** TOTAL Urban Frontier Urban Rural Rural Frontier Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL **Level of Difficulty** % Ν % Ν % % Ν % Ν % Ν % N % Ν % **NOT AT ALL** 21 21.4 8.4 35.2 15.8 21.0 18 19.8 7 46 16.9 32 3 35 30.7 81 22.6 137 252 29.2 161 154 19.7 567 24.1 34.6 18 21.7 26 22.8 181 30.5 748 25.4 SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT 50 51.0 58.8 53 58.2 15 78.9 4 100 63.2 232 60.1 60 65.9 50 60.2 160 72 442 51.2 347 48.8 45.7 1147 48.7 225 56.8 54 65.1 67 58.8 58.3 1493 50.6 358 346 QUITE/EXTREMELY 8 8.8 18 18.4 19 22.9 45 16.5 4.4 1 5.3 4.4 50 13.0 90 10.4 125 17.6 172 22.0 387 16.4 24 6.1 10 12.0 14 12.3 48 8.1 435 14.7 Indicated "Do not know" Methamphetamine 5.5 7.1 7 8.4 19 7.0 1 1.1 1 .9 20 5.2 55 6.2 7.3 8 2.0 5 2.2 184 6.4 44 72 9.2 171 4.4 13 6.2 Did not answer _ 2 2.0 2 .7 1 1.1 1 .9 3 .8 24 2.8 34 4.8 27 85 3.6 2 .5 1 1.2 2 5 .8 90 3.4 1.8 3.1 Total 91 100 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 386 100 711 100 783 2357 396 100 83 114 2950 863 100 100 100 100 100 593 100 100 **NOT AT ALL** 12 12.2 2 2.4 8.8 18 19.8 1 5.3 19 16.7 43 11.1 10 11.0 24 59 136 15.8 79 11.1 70 8.9 285 14.9 6 7.2 7.9 74 12.5 359 12.1 12.2 SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT 56 61.5 45 45.9 42 50.6 143 52.6 57 62.6 75.0 62.3 214 55.4 11 57.9 3 71 463 53.7 328 46.1 309 39.5 1100 46.7 254 64.1 51 61.4 58 50.9 363 61.2 1463 49.6 QUITE/EXTREMELY 19.8 31 31.6 31 37.3 29.4 13 14.3 36.8 25.0 21 18.4 101 26.2 170 19.7 222 31.2 288 36.8 680 28.9 67 16.9 24 28.9 37 32.5 128 21.6 808 27.4 Hallucinogens Indicated "Do not know" 6 6.6 7 7.1 7 8.4 20 7.4 2 2.2 2 1.8 22 5.7 (LSD, Shrooms, PCP, etc.) 6.5 10.2 8.1 12 3.0 1.2 7 6.1 3.4 210 64 7.4 46 80 190 1 20 7.1 .9 Did not answer 1 1.1 3 3.1 1 1.2 1.8 1.1 1 1.6 30 3.5 36 5.1 36 4.6 102 4.3 4 1.0 1 1.2 3 2.6 8 1.3 110 3.7 Total 91 100 100 272 100 100 100 386 100 98 83 100 91 19 100 4 100 114 100 100 711 100 100 2357 100 396 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 863 783

One-third (33.3%) of *Statewide Community Members* perceived marijuana as *not at all* difficult for adults/youth to obtain in their community, whereas 45.3 percent felt access was *slightly/somewhat* difficult. Among the *Key Informants*, almost half (49.9%) perceived marijuana was *not at all* difficult for adults/youth to access, while 43.7 percent felt accessing marijuana was *slightly/somewhat* difficult.

Within *Region V*, 30.1 percent of *Community Member* respondents perceived access to marijuana as *not at all* difficult for adults/youth to obtain, 51.5 percent felt access was *slightly/somewhat* difficult, and only 11.8 percent perceived this to be *quite/extremely* difficult. Over half (55.3%) of *Key Informant* respondents perceived access to marijuana as *not at all* difficult for adults/youth to obtain, while 40.4 percent perceived access to marijuana as *slightly/somewhat* difficult.

One quarter (24.1%) of *Statewide Community Member* respondents perceived access to methamphetamine as *not at all* difficult to access, whereas 48.7 percent believed that access was *slightly/somewhat* difficult. Among the *Key Informants*, 30.5 percent believed that methamphetamine was *not at all* difficult to access, over half (58.3%) believed that access was *slightly/somewhat* difficult, and 8.1 percent responded that methamphetamine was *quite/extremely* difficult to access.

Within *Region V*, 16.9 percent of *Community Member* respondents perceived methamphetamine as *not at all* difficult to access, while 58.8 percent believed that access was *slightly/somewhat* difficult. Two-thirds (63.2%) of *Key Informant* respondents indicated that access to methamphetamine was *slightly/somewhat* difficult, whereas 30.7 percent believed that accessing methamphetamine was *not at all* difficult.

Respondents perceived access to cocaine, and hallucinogens in a similar way (i.e., *slightly/somewhat* difficult to access) although one-quarter thought access would be *quite/extremely* difficult. Overall, inhalants were perceived as the most accessible to youth and adults by 54.4 percent of *Statewide* respondents and 59.1 percent of *Region V* respondents.

Section 6: Perception of Community Commitment

Lastly, participants were asked to rank the community's overall commitment to addressing the perceived problems of youth alcohol and substance use. The questions focused on the importance of preventing alcohol and drug use among youth, concern for the availability of sufficient prevention programs, the most effective method for achieving drug free youth, through educating youth, parents, or community members, the presence of leaders within the community willing to address these issues, where to seek assistance within the community regarding these matters, the presence of a community action plan, and primary sources of information regarding crime.

NOTE: It should be kept in mind that the following tables are **NOT comparative** in nature. In other words, though presented side-by-side, the responses of the community members and those of the key informants are NOT being compared. The tables simply present a descriptive account about how each group responded to each question. Within this section, response categories were collapsed into **AGREE** (to include agree or strongly agree) and **DISAGREE** (to include disagree or strongly disagree).

■ Region V				COM	MUNIT	TY ME	MBER:	S				KE	Y INFO	DRMAI	NTS			OVE	RALL
Statewide		Url	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Ur	ban	Rı	ral	Fron	ntier	- /	ormant TAL	TO [*]	TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	DISAGREE	2	2.2	2	2.0	5	6.0	9	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	2.3
	4.0055	23	2.7	15	2.1	28	3.6	66	2.8	12	3.0	2	2.4	4	3.5	18	3.0	84	2.8
Preventing alcohol and other	AGREE	89	97.8	96	98.0	78	94.0	263	96.7	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	377	97.7
drug use among youth is	Did not answer	836	96.9	693	97.5	748	95.5	2277	96.6	384	97.0	81	97.6	108	94.7	573	96.6	2850	96.6
mportant.	Dia not answer	-	- .5	3	.4	7	- .9	14	.6	_	-	-	-	2	1.8	2	.3	16	.5
	Total	91	100	98	100	83	.9 100	272	.0 100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	DISAGREE	21	23.1	32	32.7	17	20.5	70	25.7	19	20.9	7	36.8	-	-	26	22.8	96	24.9
am concerned about whether		182	21.1	139	19.5	134	17.1	455	19.3	80	20.2	16	19.3	20	17.5	116	19.6	571	19.4
	AGREE	70	76.9	66	67.3	65	78.3	201	73.9	71	78.0	12	63.2	4	100	87	76.3	288	74.6
my community has sufficient		668	77.4	565	79.5	636	81.2	1869	79.3	312	78.8	67	80.7	92	80.7	471	79.4	2340	79.3
AOD abuse prevention	Did not answer	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	.4	1	1.1	-	-	-	-	1	.9	2	.5
programs.	Total	13	1.5	7	1.0	13	1.7	33	1.4	4	1.0	-	-	2	1.8	6	1.0	39	1.3
	Intal	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	100

Table 6.1 Cont'd: To what extent do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements? COMMUNITY MEMBERS KEY INFORMANTS **OVERALL** Region V Kev Informant TOTAL Community Statewide Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Member TOTAL TOTAL % Ν % Ν % Ν Ν % Ν % % % % % Ν DISAGREE 11.0 10 10.2 10 12.0 30 11.0 9 9.9 4 21.1 13 11.4 43 11.1 10 7.9 59 8.3 75 9.6 202 8.6 55 13.9 10 12.0 11 76 12.8 278 9.4 9.6 AGREE 80 87.9 88 89.8 73 88.0 241 88.6 81 89.0 15 78.9 4 100 100 87.7 341 88.3 Alcohol and drug free youth can 90.8 784 647 91.0 698 89.1 2129 90.3 338 85.4 72 86.7 101 88.6 511 86.2 2640 89.5 best be achieved by educating Did not answer 1 1 1 1.1 .9 2 .5 1.1 .4 vouth themselves. .7 11 1.3 5 10 1.3 26 1.1 3 .8 1 1.2 1.8 6 1.0 32 1.1 Total 91 100 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 4 100 114 100 386 100 863 711 783 100 2357 396 100 83 114 100 593 2950 100 100 100 100 100 100 DISAGREE 18.7 10 10.2 10.8 36 13.2 7.7 1 5.3 8 7.0 11.4 10.5 7.5 10.1 9.5 10.1 4 273 91 53 79 223 40 4.8 6 5.3 50 8.4 9.3 AGREE 74 81.3 88 89.8 73 88.0 235 86.4 84 92.3 18 94.7 4 100 106 93.0 341 88.3 Alcohol and drug free youth can 765 88.6 89.3 89.9 78 93.0 2645 89.7 650 91.4 690 88.1 2105 356 94.0 106 540 91.1 best be achieved by educating Did not answer 1 1.2 1 .4 1 .3 parents. 7 .8 8 1.1 14 1.8 29 1.2 1 1.2 2 1.8 3 .5 32 1.1 **Total** 100 272 386 91 100 98 83 100 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 DISAGREE 16 17.6 14 14.3 8 38 6 6.6 6 44 9.6 14.0 5.3 11.4 9.7 29 73 10.3 237 10.1 7.3 4 4.8 10 8.8 7.3 280 9.5 10.2 76 43 AGREE 91.2 75 82.4 83 84.7 74 89.2 232 85.3 83 19 100 100 106 93.0 338 87.6 Alcohol and drug free youth can 767 88.9 630 88.6 692 88.4 2089 88.6 365 92.2 78 94.0 101 88.6 544 91.7 2633 89.3 best be achieved by educating Did not answer 1.0 1.2 2 2.2 1.8 4 1.0 the community. 8 .9 8 1.1 15 1.9 31 1.3 2 .5 1 1.2 3 2.6 6 1.0 37 1.3 Total 100 91 98 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 4 100 114 100 386 100 100 100 863 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 114 100 593 100 2950 100 DISAGREE 7.7 19 19.4 14 16.9 40 14.7 3 3.3 3 15.8 50.0 8 7.0 48 12.4 75 8.7 134 18.8 137 17.5 346 14.7 26 6.6 17 20.5 18 15.8 61 10.3 407 13.8 There are leaders in my AGREE 84 92.3 77 78.6 67 80.7 228 83.8 88 96.7 16 84.2 1 25.0 105 92.1 333 86.3 community who are interested 77.2 92.9 79.5 93 2457 83.3 765 88.6 549 616 78.7 1930 81.9 368 66 81.6 527 88.9 Did not answer 2 2.0 2 2.4 1.5 25.0 .9 5 1.3 in reducing access & abuse of 1 23 2.7 28 3.9 30 3.8 81 3.4 2 .5 3 2.6 5 .8 86 2.9 alcohol and other drugs. Total 91 100 100 83 100 272 100 91 100 19 100 100 114 100 386 100 100 100 783 2357 100 100 100 2950 100 863 711 100 396 83 114 100 593 100

Table 6.1 Cont'd: To what	extent do y	ou A	GRE	E or I	DISAC	GREE	with	the	follov	wing	state	emer	nts?						
■ Region V				сомі	МИМІТ	Y MEI	MBER:	S				KE	Y INFO	ORMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Statewide		Uri	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Ur	ban	Rı	ıral	Froi	ntier	Key Inf	ormant TAL	TO	ΓAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	DISAGREE	7	7.7	10	10.2	20	24.1	37	13.6	5	5.5	-	-	-	-	5	4.4	42	10.9
I the second second		132	15.3	137	19.3	159	20.3	428	18.2	18	4.5	4	4.8	11	9.6	33	5.6	461	15.6
I know who to go to if I need	AGREE	83	91.2	88	89.8	61	73.5	232	85.3	86	94.5	19	100	4	100	109	95.6	341	<i>88.3</i>
help for myself or family		716	83.0	559	78.6	607	77.5	1882	79.8	378	95.5	79	95.2	101	88.6	558	94.1	2440	82.7
members(s) who are abusing	Did not answer	1	1.1	-	-	2	2.4	3	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	.8
alcohol and other drugs.		15	1.7	15	2.1	17	2.2	47	2.0	-	-	-	-	2	1.8	2	.3	49	1.7
alconor and other drugs.	Total	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

When asked whether *Statewide* and *Region V* respondents considered alcohol and other drug use prevention among youth as important, a strong majority (96.6% and 97.7% respectively) *agree-strongly agree*. When asked whether they are concerned about the sufficiency of their community's AOD abuse prevention programs, 79.3 percent of *Statewide* respondents and 74.6 percent *Region V* respondents indicated that they were concerned.

Finally, at least eighty-eight percent of the *Statewide* and *Region V* respondents agreed that alcohol and drug free youth can best be achieved by:

1) educating youth themselves; 2) educating parents; and 3) educating the community.

Table 6.2:	Our community has an action	on pl	an fo	r de	aling	with	issu	es sui	roun	ding	subs	stanc	e abı	use					
_				сомі	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	DRMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VStatewide	e	Uri	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Ur	ban	Rι	ıral	Froi	ntier	,	formant TAL		TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Yes	41 321	45.1 37.2	9 93	9.2 13.1	12 108	14.5 13.8	62 522	22.8 22.1	34 170	37.4 42.9	3 20	15.8 24.1	- 23	20.2	37 213	32.5 35.9	99 735	25.6 24.9
	No	5 39	5.5 4.5	15 161	15.3 22.6	15 164	18.1 20.9	35 364	12.9 15.4	6 42	6.6 10.6	6 36	31.6 43.4	2 37	50.0 32.5	14 115	12.3 19.4	49 479	12.7 16.2
	Don't know	44 481	48.4 55.7	72 440	73.5 61.9	56 495	67.5 63.2	172 1416	63.2 60.1	50 181	54.9 45.7	9 23	47.4 27.7	2 53	50.0 46.5	61 257	53.5 43.3	233 1673	60.4 56.7
	Did not answer	1 22	1.1 2.5	2 17	2.0 2.4	- 16	- 2.0	3 55	1.1 2.3	1 3	1.1 .8	1 4	5.3 4.8	- 1	- .9	2 8	1.8 1.3	5 63	1.3 2.1
	Total	91 863	100 100	98 711	100 100	83 783	100 100	272 2357	100 100	91 396	100 100	19 83	100 100	4 114	100 100	114 593	100 100	386 2950	100 100

For *Statewide Community Members*, 22.1 percent responded that *yes* they do have a community action plan in place, 15.4 percent specified that *no* there was not a plan in place, and 60.1 percent of respondents *don't know* if their community had any action plan in place. For the *Key Informant* respondents, 35.9 percent answered that *yes* their community does have an action plan to deal with alcohol/substance abuse issues, 19.4 percent indicated that *no* the community has no plan in place, and 43.3 percent *don't know* whether there was a substance abuse action plan in their community.


Among *Region V Community Members*, 22.8 percent responded that *yes* they do have a community action plan in place, 12.9 percent specified that *no* there was not a plan in place, and the majority (63.2%) *don't know* if their community had any action plan in place. *Key Informant* respondents appeared to be as informed about the presence of a community action plan where 32.5 percent indicated that *yes* there was a plan in place within their community, 12.3 percent specified that *no* there was not a plan in place and 53.5 percent *don't know* if there was any community action plan in place.

Table 6.3	3: From which one source do	you	get n	nost	of yo	ur ne	ews a	bout	crim	e?									
				сомі	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	S				KE	Y INFO	DRMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
RegionStatewin		Uri	ban	Rı	ıral	Froi	ntier		munity er TOTAL	Ur	ban	Rι	ıral	Fro	ntier	,	ormant TAL	тот	TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Television	39	42.9	42	42.9	32	38.6	113	41.5	43	47.3	5	26.3	3	75.0	51	44.7	164	42.5
		307	35.6	302	42.5	287	36.7	896	38.0	170	42.9	35	42.2	52	45.6	257	43.3	1153	39.1
	Newspapers	26	28.6	18	18.4	14	16.9	58	21.3	15	16.5	8	42.1	1	25.0	24	21.1	82	21.2
		280	32.4	150	21.1	177	22.6	607	25.8	119	30.1	21	25.3	26	22.8	166	28.0	773	26.2
	Co-workers	2	2.2	2	2.0	5	6.0	9	3.3	3	3.3	1	5.3	-	-	4	3.5	13	3.4
		15	1.7	19	2.7	35	4.5	69	2.9	17	4.3	2	2.4	7	6.1	26	4.4	95	3.2
	Friends	1	1.1	10	10.2	6	7.2	17	6.2	1	1.1	-	-	-	-	1	.9	18	4.7
		19	2.2	29	4.1	45	5.7	93	3.9	1	.3	-	-	5	4.4	6	1.0	99	3.4
	Neighbors	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	.4	1	1.1	-	-	-	-	1	.9	2	.5
		4	.5	10	1.4	10	1.3	24	1.0	1	.3	1	1.2	2	1.8	4	.7	28	.9
	Other	19	20.9	16	16.3	18	21.7	53	19.5	18	19.8	5	26.3	-	-	23	20.2	76	19.7
	(combination of above to include internet)	172	19.9	146	20.5	151	19.3	469	19.9	61	15.4	19	22.9	19	16.7	99	16.7	568	19.3
	Do not know	1	1.1	-	-	-	-	1	.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	.3
		4	.5	2	.3	5	.6	11	.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	.4
	Did not answer	3	3.3	10	10.2	7	8.4	20	7.4	10	11.0	-	-	-	-	10	8.8	30	7.8
		62	7.2	53	7.5	73	9.3	188	8.0	27	6.8	5	6.0	3	2.6	35	5.9	223	7.6
	Total	91	100	98	100	83	100	272	100	91	100	19	100	4	100	114	100	386	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

The leading source of information about crime for <i>Statewide Community Members</i> was <i>Television</i> (38.0%) followed by <i>Newspapers</i> (25.8%) Responses for <i>Key Informants</i> were similar to those of the community members: <i>Television</i> (43.3%); and <i>Newspapers</i> (28%) Likewise, the most common sources of information regarding crime cited by <i>Region V Community Members</i> and <i>Key Informants</i> were <i>Televis</i> (42.5%) and <i>Newspapers</i> (21.2%).	
56	

References

- Madison County Council on Alcoholism and Substance Abuse, Inc., BRiDGES. *Youth Prevention Programs*. Retrieved June 16, 2008, from http://www.bridges-mccasa.org/ypp.html.
- Minnesota Institute of Public Health. (1999). Community Readiness Survey. Retrieved from www.miph.org.
- Nesharim K. (2006). The Negative Influence of the Media on Public Opinion. Retrieved July 8, 2008, from http://www.wz.nrw.de.
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Office of Applied Studies. *State Estimates of Substance Use from the 2004-2005 National Surveys on Drug Use and Health*. OAS Series #H-31, DHHS Publication No. (SMA) 07-4235, Rockville, MD, 2007 [Electronic Version].
- Bureau of the Census: *State and County QuickFacts.* (2008, July 25). Retrieved August 12, 2008, from http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/38000.html.
- Youth Risk Behavior Survey. (2007). North Dakota High School (Grades 7-8) YRBS: Summary of the Statewide, Regional, and Urban vs. Rural Results. Retrieved June 16, 2008, from http://www.dpi.state.nd.us/health/YRBS/index.shtm.
- Youth Risk Behavior Survey. (2007). North Dakota High School (Grades 9-12) YRBS: Summary of the Statewide, Regional, and Urban vs. Rural Results. Retrieved June 16, 2008, from http://www.dpi.state.nd.us/health/YRBS/index.shtm.





Sample Sizes for Prevention Readiness Survey (based on pop 18+, 2006), 10% margin of error *inflated by 10x's

		85%		90%	95%
Region 1					
Frontier	Divide/McKenzie	520	600	680	960
Rural	Williams	520	600	680	960
Urban	Williams (Williston)	520	600	680	960
Region 2					
Frontier	Bottineau/Burke/McHenry/Mountrail/Renville	520	600	680	960
Rural	Pierce/Ward	520	600	680	960
Urban	Ward (Minot)	520	600	680	960
Region 3					
Frontier	Benson/Cavalier/Eddy/Towner	520	600	680	960
Rural	Ramsey/Rolette	520	600	680	960
Urban	Ramsey (Devils Lake)	520	600	680	960
Region 4					
Frontier	Nelson	520	600	680	960
Rural	Grand Forks/Pembina/Walsh	520	600	680	960
Urban	Grand Forks (Grand Forks)	520	600	680	960
Region 5					
Frontier	Sargent/Steele	520	600	680	960
Rural	Cass/Ransom/Richland/Traill	520	600	680	960
Urban	Cass (Fargo/West Fargo)/Richland (Wahpeton)	520	600	680	960
Region 6					
Frontier	Dickey/Foster/Griggs/Lamoure/Logan/McIntosh/Wells	520	600	680	960
Rural	Barnes/Stutsman	520	600	680	960
Urban	Barnes (Valley City)/Stutsman (Jamestown)	520	600	680	960
Region 7					
Frontier	Emmons/Grant/Kidder/McLean/Oliver/Sheridan/Sioux	520	600	680	960
Rural	Burleigh/Mercer/Morton	520	600	680	960
Urban	Burleigh (Bismarck)/Morton (Mandan)	520	600	680	960
Region 8					
Frontier	Adams/Billings/Bowman/Dunn/Golden Valley/Hettinger/Slope	520	600	680	960
Rural	Stark	520	600	680	960
Urban	Stark (Dickinson)	520	600	680	960
	Total Sample	12480	14400	16320	23040



Dear Community Member:

Congratulations! You have been selected to participate in the enclosed survey designed to gauge your community's perceptions of alcohol and other drug use. As our thank-you for completing this survey, you have the opportunity to enter your name in a drawing to win one of several **pre-paid gas cards worth up to \$500**.

The Rural Crime and Justice Center (RCJC), along with the North Dakota Department of Human Services, has designed this survey to discuss alcohol and other drug (AOD) use in your community. With your help, we can identify perceptions of the AOD problem, attitudes toward AOD use, support for AOD prevention, adolescent access to AOD, and level of commitment within *your* community to address these issues.

It will only take 5-10 minutes to complete this brief survey. Your responses are confidential and anonymous, and will be used to develop effective responses to AOD issues at the community level. Following completion, please return the survey in the enclosed pre-paid return envelope by **June 15, 2008**. Results of the survey will be available to the public this fall. If you would like to participate in the drawing for a pre-paid gas card, please refer to the enclosed postcard for more information.

Your support and participation are greatly appreciated. If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me at 1-800-777-0750, ext. 3441.

Sincerely,

Trista Ortynsky Project Coordinator

Rural Crime and Justice Center

Spista Ortynsky





Alcohol and Other Drugs(AOD) in North Dakota:





A Community Readiness Survey to Gauge perceptions of Alcohol and Other Drug Use



north dakota department of human services

Mental Health & Substance Abuse Services

John Hoeven, Govenor Carol K. Olson, Executive Director

1237 West Divide Ave Suite 1C, Bismarck, ND 58501-1208

Toll Free 1-800-755-2719 Fax (701) 328-8969 (701) 328-8920

www.state.nd.us/humanservices

Prevention Resource Center 1237 West Divide Ave Suite 1D

Toll Free 1-800-642-6744 (701) 328-8943

Please refer to the following information regarding your participation in this survey:

The RCJC follows the guidelines stated in the United States Code of Federal Regulations 45 CFR 46.116. Any information gathered in this survey is viewed as confidential. The RCJC will follow all necessary protocol to ensure the confidentiality and integrity of all information supplied. Each survey will remain anonymous and identifiable only to the selected researchers assigned to this project. All information obtained will be restricted in a password protected database. Hard copies are stored in a protected file in a secure location. Computer and hard copy files pertaining to this data collection device will be destroyed three years following the completion of the project. Such procedures are necessary to guarantee your anonymity and to decrease any risk to your involvement as a contributor.

This survey has been approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Minot State University. For additional information regarding IRB regulations, please contact Dr. Margi Coxwell, IRB Chair, at (701) 858-3125. Please understand that completion of this survey constitutes that you fully comprehend the information contained in this letter, you provide consent to partake in completion of this survey, you fully understand that all the information you provide in this survey will be kept confidential, and you have the choice to withdraw from participation in this survey at any time.

INTRODUCTION: North Dakota's Department of Human Services has designed a Community Readiness survey to evaluate alcohol, and other drug (AOD) use. This survey is intended to measure **perceptions** about AOD use as well as current prevention efforts. The results will be used to help identify how prepared communities are to take action on AOD, and utilized by prevention specialists and community members to decide the best strategies for North Dakota communities.

Keeping "your community" in mind, please indicate your choice by placing a in the appropriate box. For open-ended questions, please write your thoughts in the space provided.

Section 1: Demographics

This information will be used for statistical purposes only. Please mark your answers in the appropriate boxes.

□ 18-20 □ 21-24 □ 25-44 □ 45-64 □ 65 and Over 1.2 Sex: □ Male □ Female 1.3 Race (please mark all that apply): □ Caucasian □ Black or African American □ American Indian or Alaska Native □ Asian □ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander □ Other (please specify): □ 1.4 Are you of Hispanic Origin? □ Yes □ No 1.5 Employment Status: □ Full Time □ Part Time □ Part Time □ Full Time with Second Job □ Not Employed – Looking □ Not Employed – Not looking (i.e. retired, have a disability, stay-at-home spouse/parent)	1.1 What is	your age?
□ 25-44 □ 45-64 □ 65 and Over 1.2 Sex: □ Male □ Female 1.3 Race (please mark all that apply): □ Caucasian □ Black or African American □ American Indian or Alaska Native □ Asian □ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander □ Other (please specify): □ Yes □ No 1.5 Employment Status: □ Full Time □ Part Time □ Part Time □ Full Time with Second Job □ Not Employed – Looking		□ 18-20
□ 45-64 □ 65 and Over 1.2 Sex: □ Male □ Female 1.3 Race (please mark all that apply): □ Caucasian □ Black or African American □ American Indian or Alaska Native □ Asian □ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander □ Other (please specify): □ Yes □ No 1.4 Are you of Hispanic Origin? □ Yes □ No 1.5 Employment Status: □ Full Time □ Part Time □ Part Time □ Full Time with Second Job □ Not Employed – Looking		□ 21-24
☐ 65 and Over 1.2 Sex: ☐ Male ☐ Female 1.3 Race (please mark all that apply): ☐ Caucasian ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native ☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Other (please specify): ☐ Yes ☐ No 1.4 Are you of Hispanic Origin? ☐ Yes ☐ No 1.5 Employment Status: ☐ Full Time ☐ Part Time ☐ Full Time with Second Job ☐ Not Employed — Looking		□ 25-44
1.2 Sex: Male Female 1.3 Race (please mark all that apply): Caucasian Black or African American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander Other (please specify): 1.4 Are you of Hispanic Origin? Yes No 1.5 Employment Status: Full Time Part Time Full Time with Second Job Not Employed – Looking		□ 45-64
☐ Male ☐ Female 1.3 Race (please mark all that apply): ☐ Caucasian ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native ☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Other (please specify): 1.4 Are you of Hispanic Origin? ☐ Yes ☐ No 1.5 Employment Status: ☐ Full Time ☐ Part Time ☐ Part Time ☐ Full Time with Second Job ☐ Not Employed — Looking		☐ 65 and Over
☐ Female 1.3 Race (please mark all that apply): ☐ Caucasian ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native ☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Other (please specify): ☐ Yes ☐ No 1.4 Are you of Hispanic Origin? ☐ Yes ☐ No 1.5 Employment Status: ☐ Full Time ☐ Part Time ☐ Full Time with Second Job ☐ Not Employed — Looking	1.2 Sex	:
1.3 Race (please mark all that apply): Caucasian Black or African American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander Other (please specify): 1.4 Are you of Hispanic Origin? Yes No 1.5 Employment Status: Full Time Part Time Full Time with Second Job Not Employed – Looking		□ Male
□ Caucasian □ Black or African American □ American Indian or Alaska Native □ Asian □ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander □ Other (please specify): 1.4 Are you of Hispanic Origin? □ Yes □ No 1.5 Employment Status: □ Full Time □ Part Time □ Full Time with Second Job □ Not Employed – Looking		□ Female
□ Black or African American □ American Indian or Alaska Native □ Asian □ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander □ Other (please specify): 1.4 Are you of Hispanic Origin? □ Yes □ No 1.5 Employment Status: □ Full Time □ Part Time □ Full Time with Second Job □ Not Employed – Looking	1.3 Rac	e (please mark all that apply):
☐ American Indian or Alaska Native ☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Other (please specify): ☐ Yes ☐ No 1.5 Employment Status: ☐ Full Time ☐ Part Time ☐ Full Time with Second Job ☐ Not Employed — Looking		☐ Caucasian
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Other (please specify): ☐ Yes ☐ No 1.5 Employment Status: ☐ Full Time ☐ Part Time ☐ Full Time with Second Job ☐ Not Employed – Looking		☐ Black or African American
□ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander □ Other (please specify): 1.4 Are you of Hispanic Origin? □ Yes □ No 1.5 Employment Status: □ Full Time □ Part Time □ Full Time with Second Job □ Not Employed – Looking		
☐ Other (please specify): 1.4 Are you of Hispanic Origin? ☐ Yes ☐ No 1.5 Employment Status: ☐ Full Time ☐ Part Time ☐ Full Time with Second Job ☐ Not Employed — Looking		☐ Asian
1.4 Are you of Hispanic Origin? Yes No 1.5 Employment Status: Full Time Part Time Full Time with Second Job Not Employed – Looking		
☐ Yes ☐ No 1.5 Employment Status: ☐ Full Time ☐ Part Time ☐ Full Time with Second Job ☐ Not Employed — Looking		☐ Other (please specify):
☐ No 1.5 Employment Status: ☐ Full Time ☐ Part Time ☐ Full Time with Second Job ☐ Not Employed – Looking	1.4 Are	you of Hispanic Origin?
1.5 Employment Status: ☐ Full Time ☐ Part Time ☐ Full Time with Second Job ☐ Not Employed – Looking		□ Yes
☐ Full Time ☐ Part Time ☐ Full Time with Second Job ☐ Not Employed – Looking		□ No
☐ Part Time ☐ Full Time with Second Job ☐ Not Employed — Looking	1.5 Emլ	ployment Status:
☐ Full Time with Second Job ☐ Not Employed — Looking		☐ Full Time
☐ Not Employed – Looking		☐ Part Time
☐ Not Employed — Not looking (i.e. retired, have a disability, stay-at-home spouse/parent)		
		□ Not Employed — Not looking (i.e. retired, have a disability, stay-at-home spouse/parent)

Construction/Mining Manufacturing Transportation/Utilities Wholesale Retail Finance and Real Estate Business and Repair Services Professional Government Leisure and Hospitality Education Other		☐ Agriculture					
Transportation/Utilities Wholesale Retail Finance and Real Estate Business and Repair Services Professional Government Leisure and Hospitality Education Other		☐ Construction/Min	ing				
□ Wholesale □ Retail □ Finance and Real Estate □ Business and Repair Services □ Professional □ Government □ Leisure and Hospitality □ Education □ Other L.7 Community Volunteering: Church (Please specify:		☐ Manufacturing					
Retail Finance and Real Estate Business and Repair Services Professional Government Leisure and Hospitality Education Other		☐ Transportation/Ut	ilities				
Finance and Real Estate Business and Repair Services Professional Government Leisure and Hospitality Education Other		☐ Wholesale					
Business and Repair Services Professional Government Leisure and Hospitality Education Other Church (Please specify: (Please specify: 1.8 City of Residence: 1.9 County of Residence:		☐ Retail					
☐ Professional ☐ Government ☐ Leisure and Hospitality ☐ Education ☐ Other L.7 Community Volunteering: ☐ Church ☐ (Please specify:) ☐ Civic ☐ (Please specify:) L.8 City of Residence:		☐ Finance and Real ®	Estate				
Government Leisure and Hospitality Education Other Church (Please specify: (Please specify: 1.8 City of Residence: 1.9 County of Residence:			air Services				
Leisure and Hospitality Education Other							
☐ Education ☐ Other L.7 Community Volunteering: ☐ Church ☐ (Please specify:) ☐ Civic ☐ (Please specify:) L.8 City of Residence:							
□ Other L.7 Community Volunteering: □ Church (Please specify:) □ Civic (Please specify:) L.8 City of Residence:			tality				
L.7 Community Volunteering: Church (Please specify:) Civic (Please specify:) L.8 City of Residence:							
Church (Please specify:) Civic (Please specify:) L.8 City of Residence:		□ Other					
Church (Please specify:) Civic (Please specify:) L.8 City of Residence:							
(Please specify:) Civic (Please specify:) L.8 City of Residence:			3.				
Civic (Please specify:) 1.8 City of Residence: 1.9 County of Residence:						1	
(Please specify:) L.8 City of Residence: L.9 County of Residence:		(Flease specify:)	
(Please specify:) L.8 City of Residence: L.9 County of Residence:		☐ Civic					
1.8 City of Residence:)	
1.9 County of Residence:							
	L.8 City	of Residence:					
L.10 Number of Children in Your Home:							
L.10 Number of Children in Your Home:	L.9 Cou	nty of Residence:		 _			

Section 2: Community Perception of an Alcohol & Other Drug (AOD) Problem

2.1 How much of a problem do you perceive the use of the following substances to be in your community?

	Not a Problem	Minor Problem	Moderate Problem	Serious Problem	Don't Know
Alcohol					
Adults					
Youth					
Tobacco					
Adults					
Youth					
Marijuana					
Adults					
Youth					
Inhalants (glue, paint, aero	osols, solvents, etc.)				
Adults					
Youth					
Cocaine					
Adults					
Youth					
Methamphetamine					
Adults					
Youth					
Over-the-Counter Drug	s (abuse of cough med	dicines, Dramamine	e, diet pills, sleeping p	oills, etc.)	
Adults					
Youth					
Prescription Drugs (abus	se of)				
Adults					
Youth					
Hallucinogens (LSD, Shroo	oms, PCP, etc.)				
Adults					
Youth					

Contribution of drug and alcohol use to crimes. ction 3: Permissiveness of Attitudes Toward AOD Use To what extent do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements? Strongly Disagree Agree	hol use to mobile, hunting,	m Proble	
crashes or injuries (such as automobile, hunting, boating, snowmobiling). Contribution of drug and alcohol use to crimes. Cotion 3: Permissiveness of Attitudes Toward AOD Use To what extent do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements? Strongly Disagree Disagree Agree Objact drunk. It is okay for youth to drink at parties as long as they don't get drunk. Youth should be able to drink as long as they don't drive afterwards. It is okay for youth to smoke cigarettes. Youth who experiment with alcohol or other drugs almost always grow out of it. It is okay for parents to offer their youth alcoholic beverages in their home. It is okay for parents to offer alcoholic beverages in their home to youth (other than their own children). In my community, drinking among teenagers is	mobile, hunting,		tribution of drug and alcohol use to
Contribution of drug and alcohol use to crimes. Ction 3: Permissiveness of Attitudes Toward AOD Use To what extent do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements? Strongly Disagree Disagree Agree Strongly Disagree Agree Strongly Disagree It is okay for youth to drink at parties as long as they don't get drunk. Youth should be able to drink as long as they don't drive afterwards. It is okay for youth to smoke cigarettes. Youth who experiment with alcohol or other drugs almost always grow out of it. It is okay for parents to offer their youth alcoholic beverages in their home. It is okay for parents to offer alcoholic beverages in their home to youth (other than their own children). In my community, drinking among teenagers is	hol use to		shes or injuries (such as automobile, hunting, \Box
To what extent do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements? Strongly Disagree Disagree Agree St Disagree Disagree Agree Disagree Disagr			tribution of drug and alcohol use to
It is okay for youth to drink at parties as long as they don't get drunk. Youth should be able to drink as long as they don't drive afterwards. It is okay for youth to smoke cigarettes. Youth who experiment with alcohol or other drugs almost always grow out of it. It is okay for parents to offer their youth alcoholic beverages in their home. It is okay for parents to offer alcoholic beverages in their home to youth (other than their own children).	E or DISAGREE with the following statements? Strongly Strongly	llowing stat	
don't get drunk. Youth should be able to drink as long as they don't drive afterwards. It is okay for youth to smoke cigarettes. Youth who experiment with alcohol or other drugs almost always grow out of it. It is okay for parents to offer their youth alcoholic beverages in their home. It is okay for parents to offer alcoholic beverages in their home to youth (other than their own children).	Disagree Agree	Disagree	
drive afterwards. It is okay for youth to smoke cigarettes. Youth who experiment with alcohol or other drugs almost always grow out of it. It is okay for parents to offer their youth alcoholic beverages in their home. It is okay for parents to offer alcoholic beverages in their home to youth (other than their own children). In my community, drinking among teenagers is			n't get drunk.
Youth who experiment with alcohol or other drugs almost always grow out of it. It is okay for parents to offer their youth alcoholic beverages in their home. It is okay for parents to offer alcoholic beverages in their home to youth (other than their own children). In my community, drinking among teenagers is	c as long as they don't		•
almost always grow out of it. It is okay for parents to offer their youth alcoholic beverages in their home. It is okay for parents to offer alcoholic beverages in their home to youth (other than their own children). In my community, drinking among teenagers is	cigarettes.		okay for youth to smoke cigarettes.
beverages in their home. It is okay for parents to offer alcoholic beverages in their home to youth (other than their own children). In my community, drinking among teenagers is	· 11 11 11 11		•
their home to youth (other than their own children). In my community, drinking among teenagers is	their youth alcoholic		· · · ·
	· II II II II		· · ·
·			eptable.
Driving under the influence of drugs and/or alcohol is okay.			ay.
It is okay to ride in a motor vehicle with someone under the influence of drugs and/or alcohol.			•

Section 4: Community Support for AOD Prevention

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
Law enforcement should be spending more time enforcing the minimum drinking age.				
Schools need to be more active in dealing with alcohol, tobacco, and other drug problems.				
It is possible to reduce alcohol and drug problems through prevention.				
Alcohol and other drug prevention programs are a good investment because they save lives and money.				
The community has the responsibility to set up prevention programs to help people avoid alcohol and other drug problems.				
Public service announcements are a good way to change attitudes about alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use.				
Taxes on alcohol should be increased.				
Taxes on tobacco should be increased.				
Drinking and driving laws are enforced in my local community.				
There should be a law prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children.				
2 Please check YES or NO for the following sets of questions Do you support the following?	5.		Yes	No
Minimum legal drinking age of 21				
Penalties for adults who provide alcohol to youth				
Compliance checks (used to identify alcohol establishmen underage youth)	ts that sell alcoho	Ito		
Laws prohibiting giving alcohol to your own childre	n			
DUI checkpoint (used by law enforcement to deter or determine the use of roadblocks or sobriety checkpoints.)	ct a drunk driver t	hrough		

you support BANS on the following?	Yes	No
Liquor advertisements on TV		
Beer and wine advertisements on TV		
Billboard alcohol advertisements		
ould you attend any of the following events if they were a	alcohol-free? Yes	No
Party		
Wedding Dance/Reception		
Sporting Event		
ction 5: Access to Alcohol and Other Drugs		
Please respond accordingly to the following questions regardi	na access in your community	

Difficult

How difficult is it for youth to buy beer,

person to buy alcohol for them?

at a bar?

wine, or hard liquor at stores themselves? How difficult is it for youth to get an older

How difficult is it for youth to order a drink

How difficult is it for youth to sneak alcohol

from their home or a friend's home? How difficult is it for youth to get their

How difficult is it for youth to get other

family members to give them alcohol?

parents to give them alcohol?

Difficult

Difficult

Difficult

Difficult

5.2 How difficult is it for a youth or adult to access the following in your community?

	Not at All Difficult	Slightly Difficult	Somewhat Difficult	Quite Difficult	Extremely Difficult
Marijuana					
Inhalants (glue, paint, aerosols, solvents, etc.)					
Cocaine					
Methamphetamine					
Hallucinogens (LSD, Shrooms, PCP, etc.)					

Section 6: Perception of Community Commitment

6.1 To what extent do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements?

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
Preventing alcohol and other drug use among youth is important.				
I am concerned about whether my community has sufficient alcohol and other drug abuse prevention programs.				
Alcohol and drug free youth can best be achieved by educating youth themselves.				
Alcohol and drug free youth can best be achieved by educating parents.				
Alcohol and drug free youth can best be achieved by educating the community.				
There are leaders in my community who are interested in reducing access and abuse of alcohol and other drugs.				
I know who to go to if I need help for myself or family member(s) who are abusing alcohol or other drugs.				

	☐ Yes ☐ No	on prant jor aca.	ling with issues surrounding		
	☐ Don't Know				
6.3 From w	hich one source do	you get most of	fyour news about crime?		
	☐ Television	, ,	☐ Neighbors		
	□ Newspapers		☐ Other (Please specify: _)
	☐ Co-workers		☐ Do not know		
	☐ Friends				
	ind of information on the contraction of the contra		ır community need regardii	ng the prevention of al	cohol and
I					
6 F M/batu	yould be the best w	umu to dolivor thi	c information?		
6.5 What w	vould be the best w	ay to deliver thi	s information?		
6.5 What w	vould be the best w	ay to deliver thi	s information?		
6.5 What w	vould be the best w	ay to deliver thi	s information?		
6.5 What w	vould be the best w	ay to deliver thi	s information?		
6.5 What w	vould be the best w	ay to deliver thi	s information?		
6.5 What w	vould be the best w	ay to deliver thi	s information?		
6.5 What w	vould be the best w	ay to deliver thi	s information?		
				ociated with substance	a uso and
			is information?	ociated with substance	e use and
6.6 Please I				ociated with substance	e use and
6.6 Please I				ociated with substance	e use and
6.6 Please I				ociated with substance	e use and
6.6 Please I				ociated with substance	e use and
6.6 Please I				ociated with substance	e use and
6.6 Please I				ociated with substance	e use and
6.6 Please I				ociated with substance	e use and
6.6 Please I				ociated with substance	e use and
6.6 Please I				ociated with substance	e use and
6.6 Please I				ociated with substance	e use and
6.6 Please I				ociated with substance	e use and